arXiv:1411.2947v3 [math.AP] 18 Dec 2014

A PROOF FOR THE MODE STABILITY OF A SELF-SIMILAR WAVE MAP

O. COSTIN, R. DONNINGER, AND X. XIA

Abstract. We study the fundamental self-similar solution to the SU(2) sigma model, found by Shatah and Turok-Spergel. We give a rigorous proof for its mode stability. Based on earlier results by the second author, the present paper constitutes the last building block for a completely rigorous proof of the nonlinear stability of the Shatah-Turok-Spergel wave map.

1. Introduction

A map $u : \mathbb{R}^{1,d} \to M$ from $(d+1)$-dimensional Minkowski space to a Riemannian manifold M is called a *wave map* if it satisfies

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu u^a + \Gamma^a_{bc}(u)\partial_\mu u^b \partial^\mu u^c = 0$$

where Γ^a_{bc} are the Christoffel symbols on M. Wave maps are natural geometric nonlinear generalizations of the wave equation and they attracted a lot of interest in the recent past. We refer the reader to the survey article [13] and the references in [5, 6] for more background.

In the special case $d = 3$ and $M = \mathbb{S}^3$, the three-sphere, the wave maps equation reduces to the well-known SU(2) sigma model from particle physics [12, 14]. In hyperspherical coordinates on $\mathbb{S}^3$ and for so-called *co-rotational maps* of the form $u(t, r, \theta, \varphi) = (\psi(t, r), \theta, \varphi)$, where (t, r, θ, φ) are the standard spherical coordinates on Minkowski space, the SU(2) sigma model is described by the single semilinear wave equation

$$\psi_{tt} - \psi_{rr} - \frac{2}{r}\psi_r + \frac{\sin(2\psi)}{r^2} = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Eq. (1.1) is a supercritical wave equation and it exhibits finite-time blowup. This was demonstrated by Shatah [15, 8] who proved the existence of a self-similar solution to Eq. (1.1) which was later found in closed form by Turok and Spergel [16],

$$\psi^T(t, r) = 2 \arctan\left(\frac{r}{T - t}\right),$$

where T is a free parameter (the blowup time). The relevance of ψ^T for generic time evolutions depends on its stability. Numerical investigations by Bizoń, Chmaj and Tabor [7] suggest that ψ^T describes a stable blowup regime.

1.1. Mode stability.

A first, heuristic stability analysis (which was already performed in [7]) addresses the question of *mode stability* and proceeds as follows. One introduces a new coordinate system (τ, ρ) which is adapted to self-similarity and given by

$$\tau = -\log(T - t), \qquad \rho = \frac{r}{T - t}.$$

It is natural to restrict the analysis to the backward lightcone of the blowup point $(T, 0)$ which yields the coordinate domain $\tau \geq -\log T$ and $\rho \in [0, 1]$ (note that $t \to T-$ corresponds to $\tau \to \infty$). Eq. (1.1) transforms into

$$\phi_{\tau\tau} + \phi_\tau + 2\rho\phi_{\tau\rho} - (1 - \rho^2)\phi_{\rho\rho} - 2\frac{1 - \rho^2}{\rho}\phi_\rho + \frac{\sin(2\phi)}{\rho^2} = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

Roland Donninger is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation via a Sofja Kovalevskaja Award endowed by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research.

where $\psi(t,r) = \phi(\tau,\rho)$. The point of this transformation is of course that the self-similar Shatah-Turok-Spergel solution ψ^T becomes static in the new coordinates and is simply given by $2\arctan\rho$. In order to obtain information on its stability one inserts the mode ansatz

$$\phi(\tau,\rho) = 2\arctan(\rho) + e^{\lambda\tau}u_\lambda(\rho), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

and linearizes in u_λ which yields the ODE spectral problem

$$-(1-\rho^2)u_\lambda'' - 2\frac{1-\rho^2}{\rho}u_\lambda' + 2\lambda\rho u_\lambda' + \lambda(\lambda+1)u_\lambda + \frac{V(\rho)}{\rho^2}u_\lambda = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$V(\rho) = 2\cos(4\arctan\rho) = \frac{2(1-6\rho^2+\rho^4)}{(1+\rho^2)^2}. \tag{1.4}$$

Eq. (1.3) has the two regular singular points 0 and 1 and we call a (nontrivial) solution u_λ of Eq. (1.3) *admissible* if $u_\lambda \in C^\infty[0,1]$. It follows from the functional framework developed in [6] that one may restrict oneself to smooth functions here. Admissible solutions of Eq. (1.3) with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq 0$ are called *unstable modes*. Obviously, the existence of unstable modes is expected to indicate instabilities of ψ^T. In fact, it is easy to see that there exists an unstable mode $u_\lambda(\rho) = 2\rho\frac{d}{d\rho}\arctan\rho = \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho^2}$ with $\lambda = 1$. However, this instability is a coordinate effect (a "symmetry mode") and it is related to the freedom of choosing the blowup time T (see [6] for a thorough discussion on this). Consequently, the solution ψ^T is called *mode stable* if there do not exist unstable modes except for the symmetry mode.

In and by itself, the study of mode solutions does not yield any information on the *nonlinear* stability of ψ^T. However, the second author proved that **mode stability of ψ^T implies nonlinear stability** [5].

1.2. **Known results.** There are convincing numerical studies that exclude the existence of unstable modes [7, 1, 11]. Unfortunately, it seems to be very challenging to prove the mode stability of ψ^T rigorously. The spectral problem (1.3) is nonstandard since λ appears in the coefficient of u_λ'. Thus, in principle it is possible that there exist unstable modes for nonreal λ which complicates matters tremendously. Of course, one may remove the first derivative by transforming Eq. (1.3) into Liouville normal form. This transformation, however, involves the spectral parameter and it turns out that it is only admissible if $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 1$ [9, 10, 6]. It is thus easy to exclude unstable modes with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 1$ by Sturm oscillation theory [10] but the domain $0 \leq \mathrm{Re}\lambda \leq 1$ remains open. In [9] it is proved that there do not exist unstable modes for $\lambda \in (0,1)$. Furthermore, in [6] the existence of unstable modes for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda \neq 1$ is excluded. Finally, also in [6] there is given an argument why there cannot exist unstable modes for λ far away from the real axis.

1.3. **Content of the paper.** As a first step, we quantify the constants in the argument from [6] to show that there are no unstable eigenvalues λ with $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \geq 380$. The remaining compact region $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \mathrm{Re}\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}, |\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \leq 380\}$ is then studied by a new approach which is in some sense "brute force". This means that we work with suitable approximations to solutions of the equation, e.g. truncated Chebyshev expansions, and a rigorous version of a method used by Bizoń [1] which relates the existence of eigenvalues to the convergence of a certain continued fraction. We provide rigorous error estimates for all our approximations. Furthermore, we emphasize that all computations are free of rounding errors since we work exclusively with rational numbers. As a consequence, our result is completely rigorous although we have to admit that it seems unrealistic to perform all our computations without the aid of some computer algebra system.

In the present paper we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *The Shatah-Turok-Spergel wave map is mode stable, i.e., there does not exist a nontrivial solution $u_\lambda \in C^\infty[0,1]$ to Eq. (1.3) if $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq 0$ and $\lambda \neq 1$.*

We reiterate that Theorem 1.1 in conjunction with the result in [5] provides a completely rigorous proof of the *nonlinear* stability of ψ^T in the sense of [5].

In view of our method of proof it is natural to split the problem as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *There are no unstable modes for λ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \in [0,\frac{1}{2}]$ and $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \geq 380$.*

Theorem 1.3. *There are no unstable modes for λ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \in [0,\frac{1}{2}]$ and $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \leq 10$.*

Theorem 1.4. *There are no unstable modes for λ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \in [0,\frac{1}{2}]$ and $10 \leq |\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \leq 380$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

2.1. **Functional setup.** We use the functional framework from [6]. Recall the following spaces used in [6]. Let

$$\tilde{H}_1 := \{u \in C^2[0,1] : u(0) = u'(0) = 0\}$$
$$\tilde{H}_2 := \{u \in C^1[0,1] : u(0) = 0\}$$

and

$$\|u\|_1^2 := \int_0^1 |u'(\rho)|^2 \frac{d\rho}{\rho^2}, \qquad \|u\|_2^2 := \int_0^1 |u'(\rho)|^2 d\rho.$$

We denote by H_j the completion of $\tilde{H}_j$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_j$, $j \in \{1,2\}$. We define two linear operators (one unbounded, the other one bounded) acting on $H := H_1 \times H_2$,

$$\mathbf{L}_0\mathbf{u}(\rho) := \begin{pmatrix} -\rho u_1'(\rho) + u_1(\rho) + \rho u_2'(\rho) - u_2(\rho) \\ \frac{1}{\rho}u_1'(\rho) - \rho u_2'(\rho) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{u}(\rho) := \begin{pmatrix} -V_1(\rho)\int_0^\rho s u_2(s)ds \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $V_1(\rho) = -\frac{16}{(1+\rho^2)^2}$. The precise domain of $\mathbf{L}_0$ is given in [6] but irrelevant for our purposes. We only note that $\mathbf{L}_0$ is a closed operator. We also set $\mathbf{L} := \mathbf{L}_0 + \mathbf{L}'$. A straightforward computation shows that $(\lambda - \mathbf{L})\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ is equivalent to

$$-(1-\rho^2)u'' - 2\frac{1-\rho^2}{\rho}u' + 2\lambda\rho u' + \lambda(\lambda+1)u + \frac{V(\rho)}{\rho^2}u = 0$$

where

$$u_1(\rho) = \rho^2 u_2(\rho) + (\lambda - 2)\int_0^\rho s u_2(s)ds$$
$$u(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho^2}\int_0^\rho s u_2(s)ds$$

and

$$V(\rho) = \rho^2 V_1(\rho) + 2 = \frac{2(1 - 6\rho^2 + \rho^4)}{(1+\rho^2)^2}.$$

Thus, our goal is to show that λ is not an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{L}$ if $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq 0$ and $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \geq 380$.

2.2. **Resolvent bounds.** In order to show absence of eigenvalues, we construct the resolvent. By the Birman-Schwinger principle we have

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}}(\lambda) = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}_0}(\lambda)[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{L}'\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}_0}(\lambda)]^{-1}.$$

Thus, we need to estimate $\|\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}_0}(\lambda)\|$ where

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 := \|u_1\|_1^2 + \|u_2\|_2^2.$$

If λ is such that $\|\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}_0}(\lambda)\| < 1$ then $[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{L}'\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}_0}(\lambda)]^{-1}$ exists (Neumann series) and thus, λ is not an eigenvalue. By recalling the definition of $\mathbf{L}'$ this boils down to estimating

$$\|V_1 K[\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}_0}(\lambda)\mathbf{f}]_2\|_1$$

in terms of $\mathbf{f}$, where $Ku(\rho) := \int_0^\rho s u(s)ds$. To this end, we use Hardy's inequality,

$$\left\| \frac{u}{|\cdot|} \right\|_{L^2} \leq 2\|u'\|_{L^2}, \qquad u \in H_1,$$

and the estimate $\|u\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|u'\|_{L^2}$ for $u \in H_2$, which is a consequence of Cauchy-Schwarz (all function spaces are on the interval $(0,1)$, i.e., $L^2 = L^2(0,1)$). Furthermore, we use the bound $\|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}_0}(\lambda)\| \leq \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}}$

which follows from semigroup theory [6]. First, we estimate the operator norm of V_1, viewed as a map from H_1 to H_1. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|V_1u\|_1 = \left\|\frac{(V_1u)'}{|\cdot|}\right\|_{L^2} &\le \left\|\frac{V_1u'}{|\cdot|}\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|\frac{V_1'u}{|\cdot|}\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\le \|V_1\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_1 + 2\|V_1'\|_{L^\infty}\|u'\|_{L^2}\\ &\le 50\|u\|_1.\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate $\|K[\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}_0}(\lambda)\mathbf{f}]_2\|_1$. If we set $\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}_0}(\lambda)\mathbf{f}$, we obtain $(\lambda - \mathbf{L}_0)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$ which implies

$$u_1(\rho) = \rho^2 u_2(\rho) + (\lambda - 2)Ku_2(\rho) - Kf_2(\rho)$$

as a straightforward computation shows. Consequently, we find

$$\begin{aligned}|\lambda - 2|\|Ku_2\|_1 &\le \|u_1\|_1 + \||\cdot|^2u_2\|_1 + \|Kf_2\|_1\\ &= \|u_1\|_1 + \||\cdot|^{-1}(|\cdot|^2u_2)'\|_{L^2} + \||\cdot|^{-1}(Kf_2)'\|_{L^2}\\ &\le \|u_1\|_1 + 2\|u_2\|_{L^2} + \|u_2'\|_{L^2} + \|f_2\|_{L^2}\\ &\le \|u_1\|_1 + (\tfrac{2}{\sqrt{2}} + 1)\|u_2\|_2 + \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|f_2\|_2\\ &\le (2 + \sqrt{2})\|\mathbf{u}\| + \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|\mathbf{f}\|\end{aligned}$$

and this yields

$$|\lambda - 2|\|K[\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}_0}(\lambda)\mathbf{f}]_2\|_1 \le \frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{\mathrm{Re}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}}\|\mathbf{f}\| + \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|\mathbf{f}\| < 7.6\|\mathbf{f}\|$$

provided $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \ge 0$. In summary, we find

$$\|\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}_0}(\lambda)\| < \frac{50 \cdot 7.6}{|\lambda - 2|} = \frac{380}{|\lambda - 2|}$$

and this shows that there are no eigenvalues with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \ge 0$ and $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \ge 380$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

3.1. **Preparatory remarks.** A number λ for which equation (1.3), (1.4) has a solution $u_\lambda \in C^\infty[0,1]$ will be simply called an *eigenvalue* of the equation on $[0,1]$.

Note that $\rho = 0$ is a regular singular point with indices 1 and -2, and $\rho = 1$ is a regular singular point with indices 0 and $1 - \lambda$. For λ noninteger, by Fuchsian theory, a solution is $C^\infty[0,1]$ if and only if it is analytic on $[0,1]$.

It is convenient to substitute

$$F(t) = u_\lambda(\sqrt{t})/\sqrt{t}, \quad G(t) = F(1-t) \tag{3.5}$$

(where $\sqrt{t} > 0$ for $t > 0$) which transforms (1.3), (1.4) to

$$t(1-t)G''(t) + \left[-\frac{5}{2}t + \lambda(1-t)\right]G'(t) + \left[-\frac{\lambda^2 + 3\lambda}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t(4-t)}{(2-t)^2}\right]G(t) = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

Remark. *A number λ with $0 \le \mathrm{Re}(\lambda) \le 1/2$ is an eigenvalue of* (1.3), (1.4) *on* $[0,1]$ *if and only if λ is an eigenvalue of* (3.6) *on* $[0,1]$.

Indeed, it is easy to check using Taylor series at 0 that a solution u_λ analytic at $\rho = 0$ has the form $\rho F(\rho^2)$ with F analytic at 0, hence u_λ is analytic on $[0,1]$ if and only if F, and therefore G, are analytic on $[0,1]$.

Denote

$$R = \left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, 0 \le \mathrm{Re}\lambda \le \tfrac{1}{2},\ -10 \le \mathrm{Im}\lambda \le 10\right\}$$

The proof is slightly different on each of the three subsets $R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3$ where

$$R_1 = \{\lambda \,|\, 0 \le \mathrm{Re}\lambda \le \tfrac{1}{2},\ |\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \le \tfrac{1}{2}\} \tag{3.7}$$

$$R_2 = \{\lambda \,|\, 0 \le \mathrm{Re}\lambda \le \tfrac{1}{2},\ \tfrac{1}{2} \le |\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \le 4\} \tag{3.8}$$

$$R_3 = \{\lambda \,|\, 0 \le \mathrm{Re}\lambda \le \tfrac{1}{2},\ 4 \le |\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \le 10\} \tag{3.9}$$

Note that λ is an eigenvalue of (3.6) if and only if $\bar{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue. Therefore it suffices to consider λ with $\mathrm{Im}\lambda \ge 0$. Denote the upper half of R_i by S_i, $(i = 1, 2, 3)$.

3.2. **Absence of eigenvalues in S_1.** Let

$$S_1 = \{\lambda \in R_1 \,|\, \mathrm{Im}\lambda \ge 0\}$$

be the upper half of the rectangle (3.7). In this section we show that no λ in S_1 is an eigenvalue.

Outline of the proof
$t = 0$ and $t = 1$ are regular singularities of eq. (3.6) with Frobenius indices $\{0, 1-\lambda\}$ at $t = 0$ and $\{-3/2, 0\}$ at $t = 1$. For a fixed eigenvalue λ, if $G(t)$ is a solution analytic at $t = 0$ and $H(t)$ is a solution analytic at $t = 1$, then $G(t)$ and $H(t)$ must be linearly dependent, in other words, their Wronskian $W(G, H) \equiv 0$. Thus it suffices to show that $W(G, H)(1/2) \neq 0$ if $\lambda \in S_1$.

We will prove this by first constructing approximations $G_a(t)$ of $G(t)$ and $H_a(t)$ of $H(t)$, showing that the Wronskian $W(G_a, H_a)$ of the approximations is nonzero at $t = 1/2$ and that the difference $|W(G_a, H_a) - W(G, H)|(1/2)$ is smaller than $|W(G_a, H_a)|$. The differences between actual solutions and the chosen approximations are proved rigorously to be small.

Proof (I) *Approximation.*
The way the approximation is obtained is irrelevant for the proof. However we describe the method to motivate the approach.

We would like to construct an approximation of $G(t)$ on $[0, 1/4]$ and on $(1/4, 1/2]$, and an approximation of $H(t)$ on $[3/4, 1]$ and on $[1/2, 3/4)$. We explain below the process of constructing an approximation of $G(t)$ on interval $[0, 1/4]$.

Let $G(t)$ be the solution which is analytic at $t = 0$ satisfying $G(0) = \lambda \neq 0$, and $H(t)$ be the solution which is analytic at $t = 1$ satisfying $H(1) = 1$. They have series expansions

$$G(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n \qquad H(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n (t-1)^n \tag{3.10}$$

Both series have radii of convergence at least one, since the only singularities in $\mathbb{C}$ of (3.6) are 0, 1 and 2. It is straight forward to see that each c_n is a rational function of λ and analytic in S_1, the denominators of c_n vanishes only for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^-$, and each d_n is a polynomial in λ. We obtain an approximation G_a of $G(t)$ as follows.

(i) We compute c_n for $n \le 11$ and differentiate the Taylor polynomial of $G(t)$ twice. We obtain:

$$P_0(t, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{9} (n+2)(n+1) c_{n+2} t^n \tag{3.11}$$

(ii) For each coefficient $(n+2)(n+1)c_{n+2}$, we expand $(n+2)(n+1)c_{n+2}$ as a Taylor series in λ at $\lambda_0 = \frac{1+i}{4}$. We then approximate $(n+2)(n+1)c_{n+2}$ by its 6-th order Taylor polynomial $c_{T,n}$. After expanding the polynomial, we have

$$c_{T,n} = \sum_{i=0}^{6} a_{n,i} \lambda^i \tag{3.12}$$

so $P_0(t, \lambda)$ is approximated by

$$P_1(t, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{9} c_{T,n} t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{9} \sum_{i=0}^{6} a_{n,i} \lambda^i t^n = \sum_{i=0}^{6} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{9} a_{n,i} t^n \right) \lambda^i = \sum_{i=0}^{6} b_i \lambda^i \tag{3.13}$$

(iii) Next, we use a Chebyshev polynomial approximation in t on $[0, 1/4]$ for $\mathrm{Re}(b_i)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(b_i)$, with precision $2 \cdot 10^{-3}$. Denote the approximations by $c_{c,i}^{(r)}$ and $c_{c,i}^{(i)}$, respectively, $P_1(t, \lambda)$ is approximated by

$$P_2(t, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{6} (c_{c,i}^{(r)} + i c_{c,i}^{(i)}) \lambda^i = \sum_{i,n} c_{c,n,i} \lambda^i t^n \tag{3.14}$$

(iv) Each $c_{c,n,i}$ is replaced by a rational number $c_{n,i}$ within e^{-7} of it, to allow for rigorous proofs. Finally we have an approximation of $G''(t)$ in the form

$$P_a(t, \lambda) = \sum_{i,n} c_{n,i} \lambda^i t^n \tag{3.15}$$

(v) The approximation $G_a(t)$ of $G(t)$ on $[0, 1/4]$ is obtained by integrating P_a with respect to t twice and the constants of integration are determined by the initial condition:

$$G_a(0) = G(0) = \lambda, \quad G_a'(0) = G'(0) = c_1 = \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2 + \frac{3}{4}\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.16}$$

To obtain the approximation G_a of G on $(1/4, 1/2]$ we work with an approximate Taylor polynomial of $G(t)$ at $t = 1/4$ obtained by finding a series solution of (3.6) with the initial conditions $G_a(1/4)$, $G_a'(1/4)$. In order to obtain "nicer" expansion coefficients, we allow for a slight discontinuity of G_a at $t = 1/4$.

Similarly, we obtain a piecewise function H_a as an approximation of H on [1/2,1]. See the appendix §5.4 for the expressions of G_a and H_a.

(II) Estimate of $W(G, H)(1/2)$.

Let $\delta_1(t, \lambda) = G(t, \lambda) - G_a(t, \lambda)$ for $t \in [0, 1/2]$, and $\delta_2(t, \lambda) = H(t, \lambda) - H_a(t, \lambda)$ for $t \in [1/2, 1]$. Both δ_1 and δ_2 are analytic at $t = 1/2$. In a small neighborhood of $t = 1/2$ we have

$$W(G, H) = W(G_a, H_a) + G_a\delta_2' + \delta_1 H_a' + \delta_1\delta_2' - H_a\delta_1' - \delta_2 G_a' - \delta_2\delta_1' \tag{3.17}$$

It is not hard to show that

$$|W(G_a, H_a)(1/2)| > 0.46 \tag{3.18}$$

(the proof is found in §5.2).

We will show that the sum of the absolute values of the other terms on the right hand side of (3.17) less than 0.03, implying that $W(G, H)(1/2)$ is not zero.

We detail below the estimates of $\delta_1(1/2)$ and $\delta_1'(1/2)$, obtained in two steps.

Denote

$$\epsilon_1 = \mathcal{L}\delta_1 = -\mathcal{L}G_a, \quad t \in (0, 1/4) \cup (1/4, 1/2) \tag{3.19}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the differential operator in (3.6). More precisely,

$$\mathcal{L}\delta_1 = t(1-t)\delta_1'' + \left[-\frac{5}{2}t + \lambda(1-t)\right]\delta_1' + [\alpha + g(t)]\,\delta_1 = \epsilon_1 \tag{3.20}$$

where $\alpha = -\frac{\lambda^2+3\lambda}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$, $g(t) = \frac{t(4-t)}{(2-t)^2}$ and $(2-t)^2\epsilon_1$ has an explicit expression as a polynomial.

(i) Estimates for $\delta_1(t)$ and $\delta_1'(t)$ on $[0, 1/4]$.

Note that $G_a(0) = G(0)$, $G_a'(0) = G'(0)$, so $\delta_1(t) = t^2\tilde{\delta}_1(t)$, where $\tilde{\delta}_1(t)$ is analytic at $t = 0$. It is also obvious from (3.20) that $\epsilon_1(t, \lambda) = t\,\tilde{\epsilon}_1(t, \lambda)$ where $(2-t)^2\tilde{\epsilon}_1(t)$ is a polynomial as well.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\lambda \in S_1$.*

(i) For all $t \in [0, 1/4]$, we have the following bounds:

$$|\tilde{\epsilon}_1(t)| \le e_1 = 0.0015 \tag{3.21}$$

$$|\alpha| \le A = \sqrt{\frac{117}{256}} \qquad (\textit{independent of } t) \tag{3.22}$$

$$1 \le \frac{4-t}{(2-t)^2} \le \frac{60}{49} \tag{3.23}$$

(ii) For $t \in (1/4, 1/2]$:

$$\frac{15}{49} \le \frac{t\,(4-t)}{(2-t)^2} \le \frac{7}{9} \tag{3.24}$$

$$|\epsilon_1(t)| \le e_2 = 2.7 \cdot 10^{-3} \tag{3.25}$$

The proof of 3.1 is given in the Appendix §5.1.

For $t \in [0, 1/4]$, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big((1-t)^{5/2} t^{\lambda} \delta_1'(t)\big) = (1-t)^{3/2} t^{\lambda} \tilde{\epsilon_1}(t) - (1-t)^{3/2} t^{\lambda+1} \big(\alpha + g(t)\big) \tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_1(t) \tag{3.26}$$

Integrating from 0 to t, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1'(t) &= (1-t)^{-5/2} t^{-\lambda} \int_0^t (1-s)^{3/2} s^{\lambda} \tilde{\epsilon}_1(s)\ \mathrm{d}s \\ &\quad - (1-t)^{-5/2} t^{-\lambda} \int_0^t (1-s)^{3/2} s^{\lambda+1} \big(\alpha + g(s)\big) \tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_1(s) \mathrm{d}s \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

One more integration gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(t) &= \int_0^t (1-s)^{-5/2} s^{-\lambda} \mathrm{d}s \int_0^s (1-u)^{3/2} u^{\lambda} \tilde{\epsilon}_1(u)\ \mathrm{d}u \\ &\quad - \int_0^t (1-s)^{-5/2} s^{-\lambda} \mathrm{d}s \int_0^s (1-u)^{3/2} u^{\lambda+1} \big(\alpha + g(u)\big) \tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_1(u)\ \mathrm{d}u \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Let $x = \mathrm{Re}\lambda$; (3.28) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_1(t)| &\le \int_0^t (1-s)^{-5/2} s^{-x} \mathrm{d}s \int_0^s (1-u)^{3/2} u^{x} |\tilde{\epsilon}_1(u)|\ \mathrm{d}u \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (1-s)^{-5/2} s^{-x} \mathrm{d}s \int_0^s (1-u)^{3/2} u^{x+1} \big(|\alpha| + |g(u)|\big) |\tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_1(u)|\ \mathrm{d}u \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Denote by D_1 an uniform bound of $\tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_1$ for $t \in [0, 1/4]$ and $\lambda \in S_1$. From (3.29) we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 |\tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_1(t)| &\le e_1 \int_0^t (1-s)^{-5/2} s^{-x} \mathrm{d}s \int_0^s (1-u)^{3/2} u^{x}\ \mathrm{d}u \\ &\quad + A\, D_1 \int_0^t (1-s)^{-5/2} s^{-x} \mathrm{d}s \int_0^s (1-u)^{3/2} u^{x+1}\ \mathrm{d}u \\ &\quad + \frac{60}{49} D_1 \int_0^t (1-s)^{-5/2} s^{-x} \mathrm{d}s \int_0^s (1-u)^{3/2} u^{x+2}\ \mathrm{d}u \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

and, using the fact that $\int_0^s (1-u)^{3/2}u^\xi du \le \frac{s^{\xi+1}}{\xi+1}$ for any $\xi \ge 0$, we obtain further

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^2|\tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_1(t)| \\
& \le \frac{e_1}{x+1}\int_0^t (1-s)^{-5/2}s\,\mathrm{d}s + \frac{A\,D_1}{x+2}\int_0^t (1-s)^{-5/2}s^2\mathrm{d}s \\
& \quad + \frac{60}{49}\,\frac{D_1}{x+3}\int_0^t (1-s)^{-5/2}s^3\mathrm{d}s \\
& \le e_1\,\frac{2}{3}\frac{-2+3t+2(1-t)^{3/2}}{(1-t)^{3/2}} + \frac{A\,D_1}{2}\,\frac{16}{3}\frac{-1+3t/2-3t^2/8+(1-t)^{3/2}}{(1-t)^{3/2}} \\
& \quad + \frac{60}{49}\,\frac{D_1}{3}\,\frac{32}{3}\frac{-1+3t/2-3t^2/8-t^3/16+(1-t)^{3/2}}{(1-t)^{3/2}} \\
& \le e_1\,\frac{2}{3}\frac{\sqrt{3}t^2/2}{(1-t)^{3/2}} + \frac{A\,D_1}{2}\,\frac{16}{3}\,\frac{t^3/6\sqrt{3}}{(1-t)^{3/2}} + \frac{60}{49}\,\frac{D_1}{3}\,\frac{32}{3}\,\frac{t^4/12\sqrt{3}}{(1-t)^{3/2}} \\
& \le \frac{8}{9}e_1t^2 + \frac{32}{81}AD_1t^3 + \frac{1280}{3969}D_1t^4 \qquad (3.31)
\end{aligned}
$$

After canceling t^2 and taking supremum of $|\tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_1(t)|$ over $[0,1/4]$, we have

$$D_1 \le \frac{8}{9}e_1 + \left(\frac{32}{81}\cdot A\cdot\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1280}{3969}\cdot\frac{1}{4^2}\right)\cdot D_1 \qquad (3.32)$$

Using the bounds of Lemma 3.1, we get

$$D_1 < 0.0015 \qquad (3.33)$$

and consequently

$$|\delta_1(t)| = t^2|\tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_1(t)| < \frac{15}{16}\cdot 10^{-4} \qquad (3.34)$$

In a similar way, using (3.27) and we obtain

$$|\delta_1'(t)| < 9\cdot 10^{-4} \text{ on } [0,1/4) \qquad (3.35)$$

(ii) Estimate of G_a on $(1/4,1/2]$.

For $t \in (1/4,1/2]$, we integrate (3.26) and obtain the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_1'(t) &= (1-t)^{-5/2}t^{-\lambda}(3/4)^{5/2}\,(1/4)^\lambda\delta_1'(1/4+0) \\
&\quad + (1-t)^{-5/2}t^{-\lambda}\int_{1/4}^t (1-s)^{3/2}s^{\lambda-1}\epsilon_1(s)\mathrm{d}s \\
&\quad - (1-t)^{-5/2}t^{-\lambda}\int_{1/4}^t (1-s)^{3/2}s^{\lambda-1}\,(\alpha+g(s))\;\delta_1(s)\mathrm{d}s \qquad (3.36)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_1(t) &= \delta_1(1/4+0) + \int_{1/4}^t (1-s)^{-5/2}s^{-\lambda}(3/4)^{5/2}\,(1/4)^\lambda\delta_1'(1/4+0)\mathrm{d}s \\
&\quad + \int_{1/4}^t (1-s)^{-5/2}s^{-\lambda}\mathrm{d}s\int_{1/4}^s (1-u)^{3/2}u^{\lambda-1}\epsilon_1(u)\mathrm{d}u \\
&\quad - \int_{1/4}^t (1-s)^{-5/2}s^{-\lambda}\mathrm{d}s\int_{1/4}^s (1-u)^{3/2}u^{\lambda-1}\,(\alpha+g(u))\;\delta_1(u)\mathrm{d}u \qquad (3.37)
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimating the integrals (3.36) and (3.37) as in (3.30) and (3.31), in the end we get

$$|\delta_1(t)| < 1.6\cdot 10^{-3} \quad \text{and} \qquad (3.38)$$

$$|\delta_1'(t)| < 1.3\cdot 10^{-2} \quad \text{for all } t \in (1/4,1/2] \qquad (3.39)$$

The estimates of $\delta_2(t)$ and $\delta_2'(t)$ on $[3/4, 1]$ and then on $[1/2, 3/4)$ are obtained similarly. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_2(1/2)| &< 1.3 \cdot 10^{-3} \\ |\delta_2'(1/2)| &< 8 \cdot 10^{-3} \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

Also, we have the following estimates for the approximating polynomials using the method in §5.1:

$$\begin{aligned} |G_a(1/2)| &< 1.07 \\ |G_a'(1/2)| &< 2.63 \\ |H_a(1/2)| &< 0.59 \\ |H_a'(1/2)| &< 0.74 \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Finally, using (3.38), (3.40) and (3.41) in (3.17), we get, at $t = 1/2$:

$$\begin{aligned} |W(G, H)| &\geq |W(G_a, H_a)| - (|G_a\delta_2'| + |\delta_1 H_a'| + |\delta_1\delta_2'| + |H_a\delta_1'| + |\delta_2 G_a'| + |\delta_2\delta_1'|) \\ &> 0.46 - 0.03 = 0.43 > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Hence S_1 contains no eigenvalues.

3.3. **Absence of eigenvalue in S_2.** Next we show that the rectangle (3.8), adjacent to R_1, does not contain any eigenvalues either.

Proposition 3.2. *λ is not an eigenvalue of (3.6) if $\lambda \in S_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, 0 \leq \mathrm{Re}(z) \leq 1/2, 1/2 \leq \mathrm{Im}(z) \leq 4\}$.*

Proof
The idea is similar to the proof in §3.2: we look for an approximation $G_a(t)$ of a solution $G(t)$ which is real analytic at $t = 0$, and an approximation $H_a(t)$ of a solution $H(t)$ which is real analytic at $t = 1$ then estimate and compare the Wronskians.

Let the solutions have the following expansions:

$$G(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \qquad H(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (1-t)^n \tag{3.43}$$

where $G(0) = H(1) = 1$.

The coefficients a_n and b_n satisfy the following recurrence relations:

$$a_{n+1} = p_n(\lambda)\, a_n - \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+\lambda)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{n-k+1}{2^{n-k}} a_k \tag{3.44}$$

$$b_{n+1} = q_n(\lambda)\, b_n + \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+\frac{5}{2})} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 4(-1)^{n-k+1}(n-k+1) b_k \tag{3.45}$$

where

$$p_n = \frac{n^2 + (\lambda + \frac{3}{2})n + \frac{\lambda^2+3\lambda}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}{(n+1)(n+\lambda)} \tag{3.46}$$

$$q_n = \frac{n^2 + (\lambda + \frac{3}{2})n + \frac{\lambda^2+3\lambda}{4} - \frac{7}{2}}{(n+1)(n+\frac{5}{2})} \tag{3.47}$$

First we prove the following estimates:

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $\lambda \in S_2$. There are constants J_n and M_n so that*
(i) $|a_n| \leq J_n$, for all $n \geq 0$. For $n \geq 30$, $J_n \leq k_1^n$, where $k_1 = 1.026$.
(ii) $|b_n| \leq M_n$, for all $n \geq 0$. For $n \geq 30$, $M_n \leq k_2^n$, where $k_2 = 1.2$.
The values of J_n and M_n for $0 \leq n \leq 30$ are given in §5.8.

The proof is given in §5.3.

Now we obtain estimates of remainders $G(t) - G_a(t)$ and $H(t) - H_a(t)$, where G_a is the type of rational functions discussed in §5.5 and H_a is a polynomial where we choose:

$$G_a(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{8} a_k t^k, \qquad H_a(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{10} b_k (1-t)^k \tag{3.48}$$

and consider the Wronskians $W(G, H)$ and $W(G_a, H_a)$ at $t_0 = 0.56$. With the same notations as in the proof for S_1, $\delta_1 = G(t) - G_a(t)$ and $\delta_2 = H(t) - H_a(t)$, we have (3.17) for $\lambda \in S_2$. Using the method in §5.5 and §5.6 we have the following estimates :

$$|G_a(t_0)| \leq 2.14 \tag{3.49}$$

$$|H_a(t_0)| \leq 0.61 \tag{3.50}$$

$$|G_a'(t_0)| \leq 5.83 \tag{3.51}$$

$$|H_a'(t_0)| \leq 1.32 \tag{3.52}$$

The lower bound of $|W(G_a, H_a)(t_0)|$ on S_2 is proven in §5.7.

$$|W_a(t_0)| = |G_a(t_0)H_a'(t_0) - G_a'(t_0)H_a(t_0)| > 1.06 \tag{3.53}$$

$$|\delta_1(t_0)| \leq \sum_{i=9}^{\infty} |a_i| t_0^i \leq \sum_{i=9}^{30} J_i t_0^i + \sum_{i=31}^{\infty} (k_1 t_0)^i < 0.014 \tag{3.54}$$

$$|\delta_1'(t_0)| \leq \sum_{i=9}^{\infty} i|a_i| t_0^{i-1} \leq \sum_{i=9}^{30} i J_i t_0^{i-1} + \sum_{i=31}^{\infty} i k_1^i t_0^{i-1} < 0.252 \tag{3.55}$$

Similarly:

$$|\delta_2(t_0)| < 0.003 \tag{3.56}$$

$$|\delta_2'(t_0)| < 0.058 \tag{3.57}$$

Combining equations (3.49) - (3.57) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |W(G,H)(t_0)| &\geq |W(G_a,H_a)(t_0)| - |G_a\delta_2'|(t_0) - |\delta_1 H_a'|(t_0) - |\delta_1\delta_2'|(t_0) \\ &\quad - |H_a\delta_1'|(t_0) - |\delta_2 G_a'|(t_0) - |\delta_2\delta_1'|(t_0) \\ &\geq 1.06 - 2.14 \cdot 0.058 - 0.014 \cdot 1.32 - 0.014 \cdot 0.073 \\ &\quad - 0.61 \cdot 0.252 - 0.003 \cdot 5.83 - 0.003 \cdot 0.252 > 0.744 \end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

Hence no $\lambda \in S_2$ is an eigenvalue. Proposition 3.2 is proved.

3.4. **Absence of eigenvalues in S_3.**

Proposition 3.4. *λ is not an eigenvalue of* (1.3), (1.4) *if* $\lambda \in S_3 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, 0 \leq \mathrm{Re}(z) \leq 1/2, 4 \leq \mathrm{Im}(z) \leq 10\}$.

Proof

The proof follows the idea of [1]. First we introduce some notation. Make a change of variable

$$y(x) = \left(\frac{2}{1+\rho^2}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}} \rho\, u(\rho), \qquad x = \frac{2\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} \tag{3.59}$$

and (1.3), (1.4) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} x^2(1-x)(2-x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2}y(x) + x\left[1-(1+\lambda)x(2-x)\right]\frac{d}{dx}y(x) \\ - \frac{1}{4}\left[\lambda^2 x(1-x) + 9x^2 - 17x + 4\right] y(x) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

We can see from the Frobenius indices of $u(\rho)$ (see the §2.1) that the change of variable preserves analyticity of solutions at 0 and 1. So the eigenvalues of $u(\rho)$ are the same as those of $y(x)$.

Let y be the solution of (3.60) which is analytic at $x = 0$ and satisfies $y'(0) = 1$. We write

$$y(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \tag{3.61}$$

If λ is an eigenvalue then the radius of convergence of the series is strictly larger than 1, therefore, it is at least 2. By substituting the series into (3.60) we find that c_n satisfy the recurrence relation:

$$\begin{aligned} &p_2(0)c_2 + p_1(0)c_1 = 0 \\ &p_2(n)c_{n+2} + p_1(n)c_{n+1} + p_0(n)c_n = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, ... \end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

where $c_0 = 0$ and $c_1 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(n) &= 8n^2 + 28n + 20, \\ p_1(n) &= -12n^2 - (20 + 8\lambda)n - \lambda^2 - 8\lambda + 9, \\ p_0(n) &= 4n^2 + 4\lambda n + \lambda^2 - 9. \end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

Also, let

$$A_n = \frac{p_1(n)}{p_2(n)}, \quad B_n = \frac{p_0(n)}{p_2(n)}, \quad r_n = \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n}. \tag{3.64}$$

Then (3.62) is rewritten as

$$r_n = -\frac{B_n}{A_n + r_{n+1}}, \tag{3.65}$$

We notice that the recurrence relation (3.62) is a second order difference equation of Poincaré type with characteristic roots 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$, so by Poincaré's theorem ([3]) either $c_n \equiv 0$ for n large or

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n \in \left\{1, \frac{1}{2}\right\} \tag{3.66}$$

Suppose that $c_n \equiv 0$ for n large for some values of λ, then there are only finitely many values of n such that $c_n \neq 0$. Assume that $n = N$ is the largest positive integer such that $c_n \neq 0$, then $c_{N+1} = c_{N+2} = 0$. Using recurrence relation (3.62) and the fact that $p_0(n) \neq 0$ for any values of λ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \in [0, 1/2]$ we have $c_N = 0$, contradicting the assumption $c_N \neq 0$. Hence the situation that $c_n \equiv 0$ for n large will not arise for the values of λ in concern.

Note that λ is an eigenvalue if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = 1/2$ (meaning that the radius convergence of of (3.61) is 2). In this case c_n is a minimal solution [(1)] ([3]) of the recurrence (3.62).

For an arbitrary λ, let $\psi_n = \phi_{n+1}/\phi_n$ where $(\phi_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a minimal solution of (3.62), then $(\psi_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is unique. By Pincherle's theorem [3, 4], for each $n \geq 1$:

$$\psi_n = -\frac{B_n}{A_n -} \frac{B_{n+1}}{A_{n+1} -} \frac{B_{n+2}}{A_{n+2} -} \cdots \tag{3.67}$$

Therefore we just need to show that for some $n \geq 1$ the equation

$$\frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} = -\frac{B_n}{A_n -} \frac{B_{n+1}}{A_{n+1} -} \frac{B_{n+2}}{A_{n+2} -} \cdots \tag{3.68}$$

does not have any roots in S_3.

[(1)] A solution $\Phi(n)$ of a second-order difference equation

$$x(n+2) + p_1(n)\, x(n+1) + p_2(n)\, x(n) = 0$$

is said to be minimal if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{\Psi(n)} = 0$$

for any other solution $\Psi(n)$ of the equation that is not a multiple of $\Phi(n)$.

Let $n_0 = 10$. Consider the Banach space of sequences

$$\mathcal{B} := \{(a_n)_{n\geq n_0} : a_n \in \mathbb{C}\} \tag{3.69}$$

with sup norm: $\|(a_n)_{n\geq n_0}\| := \sup_{n\geq n_0} |a_n|$, and the closed ball in $\mathcal{B}$

$$S = \{(a_n)_{n\geq n_0} \in \mathcal{B} : \|(a_n)_{n\geq n_0}\| \leq 0.6\}. \tag{3.70}$$

Define an operator $\mathcal{N}$ in S:

$$(\mathcal{N}[(a_n)_{n\geq n_0}])_n = -\frac{B_n}{A_n + a_{n+1}} \tag{3.71}$$

Lemma 3.5. *(i) The operator $\mathcal{N}$ preserves S and*
(ii) $\mathcal{N}$ is contractive on S with a contractivity factor less than 0.8.

Proof
(i) $\mathcal{N}$ preserves S.
Let $(r_n)_{n\geq n_0} \in S$, write

$$(\mathcal{N}[(r_n)_{n\geq n_0}])_n = -\frac{B_n}{A_n + r_{n+1}} = -\frac{B_n/A_n}{1 + r_{n+1}/A_n} \tag{3.72}$$

We obtain estimates of upper bounds of $|B_n/A_n|$ and lower bounds of $|A_n|$ in S_3, which are provided in the formula (5.263), (5.283) and (5.304) in §5.9, and from them we know that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$\Big| - \frac{B_n/A_n}{1 + r_{n+1}/A_n} \Big| \leq \frac{|B_n/A_n|}{1 - 0.6/|A_n|} \leq 0.6 \tag{3.73}$$

(ii) $\mathcal{N}$ is contractive on S with a contraction factor less than 0.8.
Similarly, let $(r_n)_{n\geq n_0}$,$(s_n)_{n\geq n_0} \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{N}[(r_n)_{n\geq n_0}])_n - (\mathcal{N}[(s_n)_{n\geq n_0}])_n &= -\frac{B_n}{A_n + r_{n+1}} + \frac{B_n}{A_n + s_{n+1}} \\
&= \frac{B_n}{(A_n + r_{n+1})(A_n + s_{n+1})} (r_{n+1} - s_{n+1}) \\
&= \frac{B_n/A_n^2}{(1 + r_{n+1}/A_n)(1 + s_{n+1}/A_n)} (r_{n+1} - s_{n+1})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.74}$$

With estimates of upper bounds of $|B_n/A_n^2|$ (see (5.304) and (5.306)), we are able to show that the contraction factor is less than 0.8, so that proves this part of the lemma.

Hence by contractive mapping theorem, $\mathcal{N}^m(\mathbf{0}) \to \mathcal{N}^\infty(\mathbf{0})$ as $m \to \infty$, where $\mathcal{N}^\infty(\mathbf{0})$ is used to denote the unique fixed point in S. On the other hand, by Pincherle's theorem, if λ is an eigenvalue then $(r_n)_{n\geq n_0} = (c_{n+1}/c_n)_{n\geq n_0}$ is the fixed point. In particular, eigenvalues λ are roots of the equation

$$(\mathcal{N}^\infty(\mathbf{0}))_{n_0} = r_{n_0} \tag{3.75}$$

We then observe that over the boundary of R_3

$$\|(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0})) - (\mathcal{N}^2(\mathbf{0}))\| < 0.106 \tag{3.76}$$

$$|(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}))_{n_0} - r_{n_0}| > 0.595 \tag{3.77}$$

(see §5.10.1 and §5.10.2 for the proof). So

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0})) - (\mathcal{N}^\infty(\mathbf{0}))\| &\leq \|\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}) - \mathcal{N}^2(\mathbf{0})\|(\mathrm{I} - \|\mathcal{N}\|)^{-1} \\
&< |(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}))_{n_0} - r_{n_0}|
\end{aligned} \tag{3.78}$$

Now since over the boundary of S_3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|(\mathcal{N}^\infty(\mathbf{0}))_{n_0} - (\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}))_{n_0}| \\
&= |[(\mathcal{N}^\infty(\mathbf{0}))_{n_0} - r_{n_0}] - [(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}))_{n_0} - r_{n_0}]| \\
&< |(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}))_{n_0} - r_{n_0}|
\end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

By Rouché's theorem, $(\mathcal{N}^\infty(\mathbf{0}))_{n_0} - r_{n_0}$ and $(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}))_{n_0} - r_{n_0}$ have the same number of roots in S_3, but the latter is an explicit rational function with no roots in S_3, hence there are no eigenvalues in S_3.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

4.1. **Introduction of notations.**

Denote

$$S_4 := \{\lambda \mid 0 \le \mathrm{Re}\lambda \le \frac{1}{2},\ 10 \le \mathrm{Im}\lambda \le 380\} \tag{4.80}$$

With the notations as in §3.4, we rewrite (3.62) as

$$r_{n+1} = -A_n - \frac{B_n}{r_n}, \tag{4.81}$$

Proving Theorem 1.4 is equivalent to proving that for $\lambda \in S_4$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = 1 \tag{4.82}$$

The method of obtaining the estimates of r_n is as follows. We first obtain a "quasi-solution" $\tilde{r}_n$ of the recurrence (4.81) (more precisely for $r_n = c_{n+1}/c_n$). That is, a function $\tilde{r}_n$ which is close enough to r_n. For this purpose the analysis need not be rigorous, since in the sequel we prove that the relative error $|r_n/\tilde{r}_n - 1|$ is small. The concrete construction of $\tilde{r}_n$ is as follows.

For $n \le 50$ we project a suitable branch of $\log r_n$ on Chebyshev polynomials in $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)$, of degree at most 6. For $n > 50$ we simply define $\tilde{r}_n$ to be one of the exact solutions of the approximate equation

$$r_n = -A_n - B_n/r_n \tag{4.83}$$

The proof of the estimate of $|r_n/\tilde{r}_n - 1|$ is based on calculations with polynomials with rational coefficients and is rigorous.

The boundary of S_4 (see (4.80)) is partitioned into 6 line segments,

$$\begin{array}{lll} l_1 = [10\,i, 70\,i] & l_3 = [10\,i + 1/2, 70\,i + 1/2] & l_5 = [10\,i, 10\,i + 1/2] \\ l_2 = (70\,i, 380\,i] & l_4 = (70\,i + 1/2, 380\,i + 1/2] & l_6 = [380\,i, 380\,i + 1/2] \end{array} \tag{4.84}$$

Let $\lambda = t\,i + s$. We choose the following quasi-solution

$$r_n^{(1)} = e^{W_n(\lambda)} \qquad (1 \le n \le 50) \tag{4.85}$$

to r_n, where

$$W_n(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{5} \Big((1-2s)a_{n,i}^{(1)} + 2s\,a_{n,i}^{(2)}\Big) T_i\left(\frac{t-40}{30}\right): & 10 \le t \le 70 \\ \sum_{i=0}^{5} \Big((1-2s)b_{n,i}^{(1)} + 2s\,b_{n,i}^{(2)}\Big) T_i\left(\frac{t-225}{155}\right): & 70 < t \le 380 \end{cases} \tag{4.86}$$

where $a_{n,i}^{(j)}$ and $b_{n,i}^{(j)}$ $(1 \le j \le 2,\ 1 \le i \le 5,\ 1 \le n \le 50)$ are given in Table 5.14 in the Appendix. Define also

$$r_n^{(2)} = -\frac{A_n}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\,B_n}{A_n^2}}\right) \tag{4.87}$$

where the branch of square root is the one which is positive on the positive real axis. We note that $r_n^{(2)}$ is a solution to the quadratic equation (4.83). Also write

$$\begin{aligned} r_n &= r_n^{(1)}\left(1 + \delta_n^{(1)}\right) \\ r_n &= r_n^{(2)}\left(1 + \delta_n^{(2)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.88}$$

4.2. **Proof of the Theorem.** We prove Theorem 1.4 in four steps.

Lemma 4.1. *(i)*

$$\left|\delta_1^{(1)}(\lambda)\right| \le D_1 = \frac{19}{1000} \qquad \forall \lambda \in \partial S_4 \tag{4.89}$$

(ii)

$$\left|\delta_n^{(1)}(\lambda)\right| \le D_2 = \frac{9}{200} \qquad \forall \lambda \in \partial S_4,\ 1 \le n \le 50 \tag{4.90}$$

(iii)

$$\left|\delta_{50}^{(2)}(\lambda)\right| \le D_3 = \frac{3}{20} \qquad \forall \lambda \in \partial S_4 \tag{4.91}$$

(iv)

$$\left|\delta_{n}^{(2)}(\lambda)\right| \le D_3 = \frac{3}{20} \qquad \forall \lambda \in \partial S_4,\, n \ge 50 \tag{4.92}$$

Corollary 4.2.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = 1 \qquad \forall \lambda \in S_4 \tag{4.93}$$

4.2.1. *Proof of Lemma 4.1 (i).* Direct application of an elementary method described in Section 5.11 in the Appendix.

4.2.2. *Proof of Lemma 4.1 (ii).* By the definitions (4.85) and (4.88) we have for $1 \le n \le 50$.

$$r_n^{(1)}(\lambda) = e^{W_n(\lambda)} \left(1 + \delta_n^{(1)}(\lambda)\right) \tag{4.94}$$

Substituting the equation (4.94) into (4.81) we obtain

$$\delta_{n+1}^{(1)}(\lambda) = \epsilon_{n+1}^{(1)} + C_n^{(1)} \frac{\delta_n^{(1)}(\lambda)}{1 + \delta_n^{(1)}(\lambda)} \tag{4.95}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{n+1}^{(1)} = -1 - A_n e^{-W_{n+1}} - B_n e^{-W_{n+1}-W_n} \tag{4.96}$$

$$C_n^{(1)} = B_n e^{-W_{n+1}-W_n} \tag{4.97}$$

We use the method in Section 5.11 to obtain the following upper bounds

$$\left|\epsilon_{n+1}^{(1)}\right| \le 21/1000 \quad (1 \le n \le 49,\, \lambda \in l_1 \cup l_3 \cup l_5) \tag{4.98}$$

$$\left|\epsilon_{n+1}^{(1)}\right| \le 27/1000 \quad (1 \le n \le 49,\, \lambda \in l_2 \cup l_4 \cup l_6) \tag{4.99}$$

$$\left|C_n^{(1)}\right| \le 1/2 \quad (1 \le n \le 49,\, \lambda \in l_1 \cup l_3 \cup l_5) \tag{4.100}$$

$$\left|C_n^{(1)}\right| \le 1/3 \quad (1 \le n \le 49,\, \lambda \in l_2 \cup l_4 \cup l_6) \tag{4.101}$$

Hence if $\delta_n^{(1)} \le D_2 = 21/500$, it is straightforward to check that $\delta_{n+1}^{(1)} \le D_2$ by (4.95) and the estimates (4.98) – (4.101) hold.

4.2.3. *Proof of Lemma 4.1 (iii).* Define $\delta^{(3)}$ by

$$r_{50}^{(2)} = r_{50}^{(1)} \left(1 + \delta^{(3)}\right) \tag{4.102}$$

By the definition (4.88) we have

$$\left(1 + \delta_{50}^{(1)}\right) r_{50}^{(1)} = \left(1 + \delta_{50}^{(2)}\right) r_{50}^{(2)} \tag{4.103}$$

Substituting (4.102) into (4.103) we have

$$\delta_{50}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta_{50}^{(1)} - \delta^{(3)}}{1 + \delta^{(3)}} \tag{4.104}$$

Thus

$$\left|\delta_{50}^{(2)}\right| \le \frac{\left|\delta_{50}^{(1)}\right| + \left|\delta^{(3)}\right|}{1 - \left|\delta^{(3)}\right|} \tag{4.105}$$

By definitions (4.85) and (4.102) we have

$$r_{50}^{(2)}(\lambda) = e^{W_{50}(\lambda)} \left(1 + \delta^{(3)}(\lambda)\right) \tag{4.106}$$

Substituting (4.106) into (4.83) we get

$$\delta^{(3)} = \epsilon^{(3)} + C^{(3)} \frac{\delta^{(3)}}{1+\delta^{(3)}} \tag{4.107}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{(3)} &= -1 - A_{50}\, e^{-W_{50}} - B_{50}\, e^{-2\,W_{50}} \\ C^{(3)} &= B_{50}\, e^{-2\,W_{50}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.108}$$

Using the method in Section 5.11 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\epsilon^{(3)}\right| &< \frac{1}{50} \qquad (\lambda \in l_1 \cup l_3 \cup l_5) \\ \left|C^{(3)}\right| &\le 1/2 \end{aligned} \tag{4.109}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\epsilon^{(3)}\right| &< \frac{19}{500} \qquad (\lambda \in l_2 \cup l_4 \cup l_6) \\ \left|C^{(3)}\right| &\le 1/3 \end{aligned} \tag{4.110}$$

Rewriting (4.107), we obtain a quadratic equation for $\delta^{(3)}$

$$\left(\delta^{(3)}\right)^2 + \left(1 - C^{(3)} - \epsilon^{(3)}\right)\delta^{(3)} - \epsilon^{(3)} = 0 \tag{4.111}$$

Denoting the two roots of the equation above by s_1 and s_2 and using the estimates (4.109) and (4.110) we have

$$||s_1| - |s_2|| > 19/50 \qquad (\lambda \in l_1 \cup l_3 \cup l_5) \tag{4.112}$$

$$||s_1| - |s_2|| > 49/100 \qquad (\lambda \in l_2 \cup l_4 \cup l_6) \tag{4.113}$$

Assume without loss of generality that $s_1(\lambda)$ is the root with the larger modulus. Then (4.112) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &|s_1| > 19/50 \\ &|s_2| = |\epsilon^{(3)}/s_1| < \frac{1/50}{19/50} = \frac{1}{19} \qquad (\lambda \in l_1 \cup l_3 \cup l_5) \end{aligned} \tag{4.114}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &|s_1| > 49/100 \\ &|s_2| = |\epsilon^{(3)}/s_1| < \frac{19/500}{49/100} = \frac{19}{245} \qquad (\lambda \in l_2 \cup l_4 \cup l_6) \end{aligned} \tag{4.115}$$

By definition, $\delta^{(3)}$ is continuous on $l_1 \cup l_3 \cup l_5$ and on $l_2 \cup l_4 \cup l_6$. In addition, using the definition of $r_n^{(1)}$ in (4.85) and (4.86), the definition of $r_n^{(2)}$ in (4.87) and the definition of $\delta^{(3)}$ in (4.102) we can check that

$$\left|\delta^{(3)}(10\,i)\right| < 1/100\,, \qquad \left|\delta^{(3)}(380\,i)\right| < 1/25 \tag{4.116}$$

This implies that for all $\lambda \in \partial S_4$, $\delta^{(3)}(\lambda)$ is the root s_2 of (4.111). Lemma 4.1 (iii) follows from the estimates (4.114), (4.115), Lemma 4.1 (ii) and (4.105).

4.2.4. *Proof of Lemma 4.1 (iv).* Substituting the second equation in (4.88) into (4.81) and using the fact that $r_n^{(2)}$ is a solution of the equation (4.83) we obtain

$$\delta_{n+1}^{(2)} = \epsilon_n^{(2)} + C_n^{(2)} \frac{\delta_n^{(2)}}{1+\delta_n^{(2)}} \tag{4.117}$$

where

$$\epsilon_n^{(2)} = \frac{r_n^{(2)}}{r_{n+1}^{(2)}} - 1 \tag{4.118}$$

$$C_n^{(2)} = \frac{B_n}{r_n^{(2)}\, r_{n+1}^{(2)}} \tag{4.119}$$

Denoting

$$F_n = 1 - \frac{4\, B_n}{A_n^2} \tag{4.120}$$

we get

$$r_n^{(2)} = -\frac{A_n}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{F_n}\right) \tag{4.121}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_n^{(2)} &= \frac{A_n\left(1+\sqrt{F_n}\right)}{A_{n+1}\left(1+\sqrt{F_{n+1}}\right)} - 1 = \frac{A_n}{A_{n+1}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{F_n}}{1+\sqrt{F_{n+1}}} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{A_n}{A_{n+1}} - 1\right) \\ &= \frac{A_n}{A_{n+1}} \frac{F_n - F_{n+1}}{\left(1+\sqrt{F_{n+1}}\right)\left(\sqrt{F_n}+\sqrt{F_{n+1}}\right)} + \left(\frac{A_n}{A_{n+1}} - 1\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.122}$$

$$C_n^{(2)} = \frac{4\, B_n}{A_n A_{n+1}\left(1+\sqrt{F_n}\right)\left(1+\sqrt{F_{n+1}}\right)} \tag{4.123}$$

We estimate $\epsilon_n^{(2)}$ and $C_n^{(2)}$ by first showing the following inequalities valid for λ on all sides of ∂S_4 and $n \geq 50$, proved in Section 5.12

$$\left|\frac{A_n}{A_{n+1}} - 1\right| \leq U_1 := \frac{1}{30} \tag{4.124}$$

$$|F_n - F_{n+1}| \leq U_2 := \frac{7\sqrt{2}}{500} \tag{4.125}$$

$$\mathrm{Re}\sqrt{F_n} \geq L_1 := \frac{8}{25} \tag{4.126}$$

$$\left|\frac{B_n}{A_n A_{n+1}}\right| \leq U_3 := \frac{9}{40} \tag{4.127}$$

Using (4.124) – (4.127) in (4.122) and (4.123) we have

$$\left|\epsilon_n^{(2)}\right| \leq (1+U_1)\,\frac{U_2}{(1+L_1)\,(2\,L_1)} + U_1 < 29/500 \tag{4.128}$$

$$\left|C_n^{(2)}\right| \leq \frac{4U_3}{(1+L_1)^2} < 517/1000 \tag{4.129}$$

Hence $\left|\delta_n^{(2)}\right| \leq D_3 = 3/20$ implies $\left|\delta_{n+1}^{(2)}\right| \leq D_3$ by (4.117), the estimates (4.128) and (4.129). Also from Lemma 4.1 (iii) we know that $\left|\delta_{50}^{(2)}\right| \leq D_3$. Lemma 4.1 (iv) is proved by straightforward induction.

4.2.5. *Proof of Corollary 4.2.* First we show that for each $n > 0$, r_n is analytic in S_4 since we later make use of the maximum principle. We provide below all the details, but conceptually the proof is simple: from the recurrence (4.81) one can easily check that if r_n has a pole, then r_{n-1} has a zero; we use the argument principle to show that there are no zeros in the strip of interest.

Each r_n is meromorphic since each c_n is a polynomial by recurrence (3.62) and (3.63). On the boundary ∂S_4, the boundedness of the quasi-solutions $r_n^{(1)}$ and $r_n^{(2)}$ together with the estimates in Lemma 4.1 show that r_n is analytic for each n and therefore also nonzero. Assume that $n = n_0$ is the smallest index such that r_n has a zero in S_4. $n_0 > 1$ obviously.

If $n_0 \geq 50$, $r_{n_0}^{(2)}$ is manifestly analytic. Definition of $\delta^{(2)}$ in (4.88) and Lemma 4.1 (iv) imply that

$$\left|r_{n_0} - r_{n_0}^{(2)}\right| < \frac{3}{20}\left|r_{n_0}^{(2)}\right| < \left|r_{n_0}^{(2)}\right| \qquad (\lambda \in \partial S_4) \tag{4.130}$$

By Rouché's Theorem r_{n_0} has the same number of roots as $r_{n_0}^{(2)}$ in S_4, which is zero.

If $n_0 < 50$, let $\phi : [0, 741] \to \partial S_4$ denote a parameterization of ∂S_4 by arc length (the perimeter of S_4 is 741), satisfying $\phi(0) = \phi(741) = 10i$ and that as t increases ∂S_4 is traversed counterclockwise. We observe that the W_n given in (4.86) is continuous in $S_4 \backslash \{\lambda : \mathrm{Im}\lambda = 70\}$. It is straightforward to check that for each $n < 50$ there exists a suitable integer k_n such that if we redefine W_n as $W_n + 2\pi k_n i$ in $\{\lambda : 70 < \mathrm{Im}\lambda \leq 380\}$ we obtain the following estimates at the only two discontinuities $70i$ and $70i + 1/2$ of W_n on ∂S_4

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{t\to 0^+} |W_n(70i + ti) - W_n(70i - ti)| < 2/250 \\ &\lim_{t\to 0^+} |W_n(70i + 1/2 + ti) - W_n(70i + 1/2 - ti)| < 7/1000 \end{aligned} \tag{4.131}$$

Thus there exists a function $\widetilde{W}_n$ which is continuous on $\partial S_4 \backslash \{10i\}$ and such that both $\left(\widetilde{W} \circ \phi\right)(0+)$ and $\left(\widetilde{W} \circ \phi\right)(741-)$ exist, $\widetilde{W}(10i) = \left(\widetilde{W} \circ \phi\right)(0+)$ and the following holds:

$$\left|W_n - \widetilde{W}_n\right| < 2/250 \qquad (\lambda \in \partial S_4) \tag{4.132}$$

Consequently, if we let $\tilde{\delta}_n := e^{W_n}/e^{\widetilde{W}_n} - 1$ it is straightforward from (4.132) that

$$\left|\tilde{\delta}_n\right| < 13/1000 \tag{4.133}$$

Next we choose a branch $\overline{W}_n$ of $\log r_n$ on ∂S_4, which is continuous on $\partial S_4 \backslash \{10i\}$ with $\overline{W}_n(10i) := \overline{W}_n(\phi(0+))$. Denoting $\tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_n = r_n/e^{\widetilde{W}_n} - 1$, we have from (4.133) and Lemma 4.1 (ii) that

$$\left|\tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_n\right| = \left|e^{\overline{W}_n - \widetilde{W}_n} - 1\right| = \left|\left(1 + \delta_n^{(1)}\right)\left(1 + \tilde{\delta}_n\right) - 1\right| < 3/50 \tag{4.134}$$

Therefore $\left|\ln(1 + \tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_n)\right| < 1/10$ where by definition $\mathrm{Im}(\ln z) \in (-\pi, \pi]$. For each $\lambda \in \partial S_4$ we have

$$\overline{W}_n - \widetilde{W}_n = \ln(1 + \tilde{\tilde{\delta}}_n) + 2\pi k i \qquad (k \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{4.135}$$

In other words, for each $t \in [0, 741)$ we have

$$\left(\overline{W}_n \circ \phi\right)(t) - \left(\widetilde{W}_n \circ \phi\right)(t) \subset \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} B(0, 1/10) + 2k\pi i \tag{4.136}$$

where $B(0, 1/10) = \{z : |z| < 1/10\}$. Since $\overline{W}_n \circ \phi - \widetilde{W}_n \circ \phi$ is continuous on $[0, 741)$, its image must be connected, and the right hand side of (4.136) is a disjoint union of open balls, so the image must be contained in only one of them, say $B(0, 1/10) + 2k_0\pi i$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\overline{W}_n(\phi(0+)) - \overline{W}_n(\phi(741-))\right| \\ &< \left|\left(\overline{W}_n - \widetilde{W}_n\right)(\phi(0+)) - \left(\overline{W}_n - \widetilde{W}_n\right)(\phi(741-))\right| + \left|\widetilde{W}_n(\phi(0+)) - \widetilde{W}_n(\phi(741-))\right| \\ &< 1/10 \cdot 2 + 2/250 \cdot 2 < 3/10 \end{aligned} \tag{4.137}$$

By argument principle $\overline{W}_n(\phi(0+)) = \overline{W}_n(\phi(741-))$ and thus r_{n_0} has no zeros in S_4. Therefore each r_n is analytic in S_4.

We know that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = -\frac{3}{2} \tag{4.138}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} B_n = \frac{1}{2} \tag{4.139}$$

so by the definition (4.87),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n^{(2)} = 1 \tag{4.140}$$

Also, for each $n \geq 50$, $r_n^{(2)}$ is analytic in S_4, thus $\delta_n^{(2)} = r_n/r_n^{(2)} - 1$ is also analytic in S_4. Therefore the estimate (4.92) in Lemma 4.1 (iv) and the maximum modulus principle imply that

$$\left|\delta_n^{(2)}\right| \leq 3/20 \qquad (\lambda \in S_4) \tag{4.141}$$

In addition (3.66) implies that either $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_n^{(2)} = 0$, which means $r_n \to 1$ or $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_n^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2}$, which means $r_n \to 1/2$. Since (4.141) implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_n^{(2)} \neq -\frac{1}{2}$, Corollary 4.2 is proved.

5. Appendix

5.1. **Bounds of polynomials and Proof of Lemma 3.1.** First we describe the method used to estimate upper bounds of absolute values of polynomials of the form

$$P(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} x^i \lambda^j \tag{5.142}$$

where $x \in [-1,1]$, $\lambda \in S_1 \subseteq B(\frac{1+i}{4}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4})$, where $B(\frac{1+i}{4}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4})$ denotes the closed disk centered at $\frac{1+i}{4}$ with radius $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$.

Reexpand the polynomial $P(x,\lambda)$ at $\lambda_0 = \frac{1+i}{4}$.

$$P(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i,j} \tilde{a}_{i,j} x^i (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j \tag{5.143}$$

Then we express each x^i as a linear combination of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind.

$$x^i = \sum_{l=0}^{i} c_l T_l(x) \tag{5.144}$$

Rewrite the polynomial again

$$P(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i,j} \tilde{a}_{i,j} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{i} c_l T_l(x) \right) (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j \tag{5.145}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l=0}^{i} \tilde{a}_{i,j} c_l T_l(x) (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j \tag{5.146}$$

and use the facts that for each n, $|T_n(x)| \leq 1$ on $[0,1]$ and $|\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$ to obtain the following estimate:

$$|P(x,\lambda)| \leq \sum_{i,j} \sum_{l=0}^{i} |\tilde{a}_{i,j}||c_l| \left(\sqrt{2}/4\right)^j \tag{5.147}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1 As explained before Lemma 3.1,

$$\epsilon_1(t,\lambda) = \mathcal{L}\delta_1 = -\mathcal{L}G_a = t\,\tilde{\epsilon}_1(t,\lambda)$$

For $t \in (0,1/4)$ and $\lambda \in S_1$ we are interested in an upperbound of $|\tilde{\epsilon}_1(t,\lambda)|$. For $t \in (1/4,1/2)$ and $\lambda \in S_1$ we are interested in an upperbound of $|\epsilon_1(t,\lambda)| = |\mathcal{L}G_a|$.

Let

$$R_1(t,\lambda) := (2-t)^2\tilde{\epsilon}_1(t,\lambda) \qquad t \in (0,1/4) \tag{5.148}$$

$$R_2(t,\lambda) := (2-t)^2\epsilon_1(t,\lambda) \qquad t \in (1/4,1/2) \tag{5.149}$$

R_1 and R_2 are both polynomials in t and λ. Make a change of variable $t = (x+1)/8$, then an upper bound of $|R_1((x+1)/8,\lambda)|$ with $x \in [-1,1]$, $\lambda \in S_1$ is an upper bound of $|R_1(t,\lambda)|$ with $t \in [0,1/4]$,$\lambda \in S_1$, which is what we seek. Using the method mentioned in the beginning of the section, we obtain the following:

$$|R_1((x+1)/8,\lambda)| \le 0.00441 \qquad x \in [-1,1] \quad \lambda \in S_1 \tag{5.150}$$

$$i.e. \qquad |R_1(t,\lambda)| \le 0.00441 \qquad t \in [0,1/4] \quad \lambda \in S_1 \tag{5.151}$$

Hence

$$|\tilde{\epsilon}_1(t,\lambda)| = \left|\frac{R_1(t,\lambda)}{(2-t)^2}\right| \le \frac{0.00441}{(2-1/4)^2} = 0.00144 \tag{5.152}$$

For $R_2(t,\lambda)$, let $t = (x+3)/8$, then we an upper bound of $|R_2((x+3)/8,\lambda)|$ with $x \in [-1,1]$, $\lambda \in S_1$ is what we seek. Apply the method to $|R_2((x+3)/8,\lambda)|$ we get

$$|R_2((x+3)/8,\lambda)| \le 0.00595 \qquad x \in [-1,1] \quad \lambda \in S_1 \tag{5.153}$$

$$i.e. \qquad |R_2(t,\lambda)| \le 0.00595 \qquad t \in [1/4,1/2] \quad \lambda \in S_1 \tag{5.154}$$

Hence

$$|\epsilon_1(t,\lambda)| = \left|\frac{R_2(t,\lambda)}{(2-t)^2}\right| \le \frac{0.00595}{(2-1/2)^2} < 0.00265 \tag{5.155}$$

The other estimates in Lemma 3.1 are trivial.

5.2. **Proof of the estimate 3.18.** Let $W(\lambda) = W(G_a, H_a)(1/2,\lambda)$. $W(\lambda)$ is a polynomial in λ, the region of interest is $\lambda \in S_1$.

First take $W_0 = W(1/2, \frac{1+i}{4})$, which is just a constant with $|W_0| > 0.79$. Then use the method described in the previous section to acquire an upper bound of $|W(\lambda) - W_0|$. Notice that $W(\lambda) - W_0$ is just a special case of the class of polynomial discussed, so we obtain:

$$|W(\lambda) - W_0| \le 0.33 \quad \lambda \in S_1 \tag{5.156}$$

Thus

$$|W(\lambda)| \ge |W_0| - |W(\lambda) - W_0| > 0.79 - 0.33 > 0.46 \tag{5.157}$$

Sharper estimates can be achieved at the cost of simplicity of the proof.

5.3. **Proof of Lemma 3.3.** For $n \le 10$ we obtain J_n and M_n using the method in §5.5 and §5.6. Using (3.44) and (3.45), we obtain:

$$|a_{n+1}| \le |p_n(\lambda)||a_n| + \frac{1}{(n+1)|n+\lambda|}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{n-k+1}{2^{n-k}}|a_k| \tag{5.158}$$

$$|b_{n+1}| \le |q_n(\lambda)||b_n| + \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+\frac{5}{2})}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}4(n-k+1)|b_k| \tag{5.159}$$

which give upper bounds J_n of $|a_n|$ and M_n of $|b_n|$ for $11 \le n \le 30$, $\lambda \in S_2$. The details are as follows.

We first estimate the difference between $p_n(\lambda)$ and its leading behavior for $n \ge 30$:

$$e_n(\lambda) := p_n(\lambda) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right) = \frac{1}{4}\,\frac{\lambda^2 n - 3\,\lambda\, n - 2\,\lambda - 4\,n}{(n+1)\,(n+\lambda)\,n} \tag{5.160}$$

With the notation

$$\lambda = r + i\,s \qquad (0 \le r \le 1/2, 1/2 \le s \le 4) \tag{5.161}$$

$$z = 1/n \qquad (0 < z \le 1/30) \tag{5.162}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Re}(e_n) &= \frac{z^2\left(r^3z - 2\,r^2z^2 + rs^2z - 2\,s^2z^2 - 3\,r^2z - 3\,s^2z + r^2 - 6\,rz - s^2 - 3\,r - 4\right)}{4\,(1+z)\,(r^2z^2 + s^2z^2 + 2\,rz + 1)}\\ &\le \frac{z^2\left(r^3z + rs^2z + r^2 - 4\right)}{4\,(1+z)\,(r^2z^2 + s^2z^2 + 2\,rz + 1)}\\ &\le \frac{z^2\left(0.5^3/30 + (0.5)\,4^2/30 + 0.5^2 - 4\right)}{4\,(1+z)\,(r^2z^2 + s^2z^2 + 2\,rz + 1)} < 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.163}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Re}(e_n) &= \frac{z^2\left(r^3z - 2\,r^2z^2 + rs^2z - 2\,s^2z^2 - 3\,r^2z - 3\,s^2z + r^2 - 6\,rz - s^2 - 3\,r - 4\right)}{4\,(1+z)\,(r^2z^2 + s^2z^2 + 2\,rz + 1)}\\ &\ge \frac{z^2\left(-2\,r^2z^2 - 2\,s^2z^2 - 3\,r^2z - 3\,s^2z - 6\,rz - s^2 - 3\,r - 4\right)}{4\,(1+z)\,(r^2z^2 + s^2z^2 + 2\,rz + 1)} \ge -\frac{4187}{648000}\end{aligned} \tag{5.164}$$

$$\mathrm{Im}(e_n) = \frac{1}{4}\,\frac{z^2s\left(r^2z + s^2z + 2\,r + 2\,z - 3\right)}{(1+z)\,(r^2z^2 + s^2z^2 + 2\,rz + 1)} \tag{5.165}$$

Hence

$$1/100 < \mathrm{Re}(e_n) < 0 \tag{5.166}$$

$$0 > \mathrm{Im}(e_n) > -\frac{3z^2}{z^2(r^2+s^2)+1} \ge -\frac{3}{r^2+s^2+1/z^2} \ge -\frac{3}{1/4+n^2} \tag{5.167}$$

for $\lambda \in S_2$ and $n \ge 30$. So

$$|p_n|^2 = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n} + \mathrm{Re}(e_n)\right)^2 + \left(\mathrm{Im}(e_n)\right)^2 \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{3}{1/4+n^2}\right)^2 \tag{5.168}$$

Let

$$P_n = \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{3}{1/4+n^2}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \tag{5.169}$$

then $|p_n| \le P_{30}$ for $n \ge 30$. For $10 \le n \le 29$, we obtain P_n using the method in §5.5, see §5.8 for these values of P_n. From (5.158) we have:

$$|a_{n+1}| \le P_n|a_n| + \frac{1}{(n+1)|n+i/2|}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{n-k+1}{2^{n-k}}|a_k| \quad (n \ge 10) \tag{5.170}$$

For $10 \le n \le 29$ if we choose J_{n+1} such that

$$J_{n+1} > P_n\,J_n + \frac{1}{(n+1)|n+i/2|}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{n-k+1}{2^{n-k}}J_k \tag{5.171}$$

then

$$|a_{n+1}| < J_{n+1} \qquad (10 \le n \le 29) \tag{5.172}$$

We use induction to take care of larger n. Explicit calculation shows that for our choice of k_1 and J_n, $J_{30} < k_1^{30}$ (see §5.8). For $n > 30$, assuming for $30 \le m \le n$, $|a_m| \le k_1^m$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_{n+1}| &< P_n|a_n| + \frac{1}{(n+1)n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{n-i+1}{2^{n-i}}|a_i| \\
&< P_n k_1^n + \frac{1}{(n+1)n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{n-i+1}{2^{n-i}}k_1^i + \frac{1}{(n+1)n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{n-i+1}{2^{n-i}}(|a_i| - k_1^i) \\
&< P_{30}k_1^n + \frac{4\,(2\,k_1)^n\,k_1 - 2\,nk_1 - (2\,k_1)^n - 4\,k_1 + n + 1}{2^n\,(2\,k_1-1)^2\,(n+1)\,n} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(n+1)n}\sum_{i=0}^{30}\frac{n-i+1}{2^{n-i}}(J_i - k_1^i) \\
&< P_{30}k_1^n + k_1^n\,\frac{4k_1-1}{(2\,k_1-1)^2\,(n+1)\,n} + \frac{(1-2k_1)n + 1 - 4k_1}{2^n(n+1)n(2k_1-1)^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(n+1)n}\,(\tilde{V}_1\,n + \tilde{V}_0) \\
&< \left(P_{30} + \frac{4k_1-1}{(2\,k_1-1)^2\,(n+1)\,n}\right)k_1^n + \frac{\left(\frac{-1}{2k_1-1} + \tilde{V}_1\right)n + \frac{1-4k_1}{(2k-1)^2} + \tilde{V}_0}{2^n n(n+1)} \\
&< (1.01668 + 0.00301)\,k_1^n \\
&< 1.0197\,k_1^n < k_1^{n+1} \qquad (5.173)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{V}_1 = -196921202$ and $\tilde{V}_0 = 5563721416$ are chosen to satisfy

$$\sum_{i=0}^{30}\frac{n-i+1}{2^{-i}}(J_i - k_1^i) \;<\; \tilde{V}_1\,n + \tilde{V}_0 \qquad (5.174)$$

and explicit calculation shows that

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2k_1-1} + \tilde{V}_1\right)n + \frac{1-4k_1}{(2k-1)^2} + \tilde{V}_0 < 0 \quad (n \ge 30) \qquad (5.175)$$

So Lemma 3.3 (i) is proved.

Part (ii) is similar. It is straightforward to check that for each $n \ge 2$, $|q_n|$ is monotonic on each line segment of ∂S_2 and the maximum is attained at $\lambda = 4\,i + 1/2$. Let

$$Q_n := |q_n(4\,i + 1/2)| \qquad (n \ge 10) \qquad (5.176)$$

Then $|q_n(\lambda)| \le Q_n$ for all $\lambda \in S_2$ and $n \ge 10$. It is also straightforward to check that $|Q_n| < 1$ for all $n \ge 2$.

From (5.159) and M_n ($n \le 10$) we choose M_n recursively for $11 \le n \le 30$ such that

$$M_{n+1} > Q_n M_n + \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+\frac{5}{2})}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}4(n-k+1)M_k \qquad (5.177)$$

so

$$|b_{n+1}| \le |q_n(\lambda)||b_n| + \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+\frac{5}{2})}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}4(n-k+1)|b_k| \;< M_{n+1} \qquad (5.178)$$

It is easy to check (see §5.8) that $M_{30} < k_2^{30}$. For $n > 30$, assuming for all $30 \le m \le n$, $|b_m| \le k_2^m$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_{n+1}| &< |b_n| + \frac{4}{(n+1)(n+5/2)} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n-i+1)|b_i| \\
&< k_2^n + \frac{4}{(n+1)(n+5/2)} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n-i+1)k_2^i \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{(n+1)(n+5/2)} \sum_{i=0}^{30} (n-i+1)\left(M_i - k_2^i\right) \\
&< k_2^n + \frac{4}{(n+1)(n+5/2)} \left[k_2^n \frac{2k_2-1}{(k_2-1)^2} + \frac{n(1-k_2)+1-2k_2}{(k_2-1)^2} + \tilde{W}_1 n + \tilde{W}_0 \right] \\
&< k_2^n \left(1 + \frac{4\,(2k_2-1)}{(k_2-1)^2(n+1)(n+5/2)} \right) \\
&\quad + k_2^n \left(4\,k_2^{-n} \frac{(\tilde{W}_1 - \frac{1}{k_2-1})n + \left(\frac{1-2k_2}{(k_2-1)^2} + \tilde{W}_0 \right)}{(n+1)(n+5/2)} \right) \\
&< k_2^n \left(1 + \frac{4 \cdot 1.4}{0.2^2 \cdot 30 \cdot 32.5} + \frac{4}{1.2^{30}}\, 2.89 \right) \\
&< k_2^n (1 + 0.144 + 0.049) \\
&< k_2^{n+1} \qquad (5.179)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{W}_1 = 271$ and $\tilde{W}_0 = -5622$ are chosen such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{30} (n-i+1)(M_i - k_2^i) \;<\; \tilde{W}_1\, n + \tilde{W}_0 \qquad (5.180)$$

and explicit calculation shows that for $n \ge 30$

$$\frac{(\tilde{W}_1 - \frac{1}{k_2-1})n + \left(\frac{1-2k_2}{(k_2-1)^2} + \tilde{W}_0 \right)}{(n+1)(n+5/2)} = \frac{266n - 5657}{(n+1)(n+5/2)} \le 2.89 \qquad (5.181)$$

ending the proof of Lemma 3.3.

5.4. **Approximations G_a and H_a.** (1). $\lambda \in S_1$, expression of $G_a(t)$, $H_a(t)$.

For $t \in [0, 1/4)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_a(t) := &\left(\frac{106}{111}\,\lambda^4 - \frac{89}{86} + \frac{52}{113}\,i\lambda^5 - \frac{82}{89}\,\lambda^3 + \frac{61}{36}\,\lambda^2\right)t^6 + \left(\frac{18}{181}\,i\lambda^3 - \frac{79}{214}\,\lambda^5\right.\\
&\left. - \frac{11}{75} - \frac{26}{259}\,\lambda^3 - \frac{59}{362}\,i\lambda^6 - \frac{19}{88}\,\lambda - \frac{29}{93}\,i\lambda^4 + \frac{44}{205}\,\lambda^2 + \frac{28}{283}\,\lambda^4 + \frac{58}{1195}\,i\lambda^5\right)t^5\\
&+ \left(-\frac{5}{574}\,i\lambda^2 + \frac{35}{96}\,\lambda^4 + \frac{23}{873}\,\lambda^6 + \frac{259}{368}\,\lambda^2 - \frac{20}{43} - \frac{37}{450}\,\lambda^5 - \frac{19}{527}\,i\lambda^6 + \frac{19}{864}\,i\lambda^3\right.\\
&\left. - \frac{58}{161}\,\lambda^3 - \frac{2}{29}\,i\lambda^4 + \frac{43}{243}\,i\lambda^5 + \frac{17}{749}\,\lambda\right)t^4 + \left(-\frac{41}{90} - \frac{31}{257}\,i\lambda^4 + \frac{22}{75}\,\lambda^4 + \frac{78}{127}\,\lambda^2\right.\\
&\left. + \frac{271}{7045}\,i\lambda^3 + \frac{45}{314}\,i\lambda^5 - \frac{33}{230}\,\lambda^5 - \frac{87}{293}\,\lambda^3 + \frac{6}{713}\,\lambda^6 - \frac{3}{1081}\,i\lambda^2 - \frac{7}{111}\,i\lambda^6 + \frac{43}{519}\,\lambda\right)t^3\\
&+ \left(\frac{79}{359}\,\lambda^4 + \frac{8}{917}\,\lambda^6 + \frac{9}{83}\,i\lambda^5 - \frac{4}{1389}\,i\lambda^2 - \frac{41}{402}\,\lambda^5 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{39}{125}\,\lambda - \frac{19}{424}\,i\lambda^6\right.\\
&\left. + \frac{12}{439}\,i\lambda^3 + \frac{59}{110}\,\lambda^2 - \frac{2}{7677}\,i\lambda - \frac{272}{3173}\,i\lambda^4 - \frac{93}{407}\,\lambda^3\right)t^2\\
&+ \left(\frac{1}{4}\,\lambda^2 + \frac{3}{4}\,\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)t + \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \in (1/4, 1/2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_a(t) := &\left(-\frac{121}{18}\,i\lambda^4 - \frac{421}{77}\,\lambda - \frac{183}{23}\,\lambda^5 - \frac{1045}{58} - 17\,\lambda^3\right)t^7 + \left(\frac{361}{27}\,i\lambda^4 - \frac{38}{17}\,i\lambda^6 + \frac{76}{7}\,\lambda\right.\\
&\left. + \frac{657}{122}\,i\lambda^5 + \frac{287}{15}\,\lambda^2 + \frac{236}{21}\,\lambda^4 + \frac{491}{31}\,\lambda^5 + \frac{250}{7} + \frac{175}{129}\,i\lambda^3 + \frac{72}{163}\,\lambda^6 + \frac{1387}{41}\,\lambda^3\right)t^6\\
&+ \left(-\frac{313}{21}\,\lambda^5 - \frac{29}{330}\,i\lambda^2 - \frac{327}{26}\,i\lambda^4 - \frac{571}{31}\,\lambda^4 - \frac{1305}{41}\,\lambda^3 - \frac{193}{19}\,\lambda + \frac{216}{59}\,i\lambda^6 - \frac{218}{7}\,\lambda^2\right.\\
&\left. - \frac{238}{27}\,i\lambda^5 - \frac{1178}{35} - \frac{62}{85}\,\lambda^6 - \frac{71}{32}\,i\lambda^3\right)t^5 + \left(\frac{153}{22}\,i\lambda^5 + 7/4\,i\lambda^3 + \frac{335}{64}\,\lambda + \frac{423}{25}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+\frac{145}{9}\lambda^3+\frac{1381}{56}\lambda^2+\frac{76}{677}i\lambda^2+\frac{151}{20}\lambda^5+\frac{116}{201}\lambda^6+\frac{247}{17}\lambda^4+\frac{312}{49}i\lambda^4-\frac{26}{9}i\lambda^6\Bigg)t^4$$
$$+\Bigg(-\frac{181}{33}\lambda^3-\frac{93}{43}i\lambda^4-\frac{113}{72}\lambda-\frac{136}{53}\lambda^5+\frac{65}{59}i\lambda^6-\frac{61}{839}i\lambda^2-\frac{220}{83}i\lambda^5-\frac{39}{176}\lambda^6-\frac{504}{85}$$
$$-\frac{172}{31}\lambda^4-\frac{5}{3333}i\lambda-\frac{324}{35}\lambda^2-\frac{2543}{3815}i\lambda^3\Bigg)t^3+\Bigg(\frac{4}{223}i\lambda^2+\frac{530}{187}\lambda^2+\frac{67}{107}\lambda+\frac{63}{83}i\lambda^5$$
$$+\frac{17}{89}i\lambda^3+\frac{34}{45}\lambda^3+\frac{71}{132}+\frac{1}{9639}i-\frac{35}{111}i\lambda^6+\frac{264}{167}\lambda^4+\frac{14}{39}\lambda^5+\frac{8}{127}\lambda^6+\frac{56}{185}i\lambda^4$$
$$+\frac{1}{1180}i\lambda\Bigg)t^2+\Bigg(\frac{32}{953}i\lambda^6-\frac{18}{511}\lambda^2-\frac{2}{7333}i\lambda-\frac{23}{222}\lambda^3-\frac{17}{837}i\lambda^3-\frac{2}{637}i\lambda^2-\frac{133}{787}\lambda^4$$
$$-\frac{5}{739}\lambda^6-\frac{9}{220}i\lambda^4-\frac{12}{247}\lambda^5-\frac{53}{656}i\lambda^5+\frac{38}{53}\lambda-\frac{92}{151}-\frac{1}{19278}i\Bigg)t-\frac{673}{672}\lambda+\frac{3}{1631}i\lambda^4$$
$$+\frac{8}{1717}\lambda^3-\frac{5}{2888}i\lambda^6+\frac{1}{955}i\lambda^3+\frac{1}{44699}i\lambda+\frac{1}{240}i\lambda^5+\frac{15}{3052}+\frac{1}{154231}i+\frac{1}{5265}i\lambda^2$$
$$+\frac{11}{1262}\lambda^4+\frac{3}{1372}\lambda^5+\frac{2}{5759}\lambda^6+\frac{110}{7481}\lambda^2$$

For $t\in(1/2,3/4)$,let $s=1-t$

$$H_a(1-s):=\Bigg(\frac{64}{67}i\lambda^5-\frac{95}{158}i\lambda^6-\frac{205}{461}i\lambda^4-\frac{17}{13}\Bigg)s^6+\Bigg(\frac{148}{61}+\frac{19}{305}\lambda^4+\frac{10}{199}\lambda-\frac{69}{35}i\lambda^5$$
$$+\frac{98}{107}i\lambda^4+\frac{19}{206}\lambda^5+\frac{23}{348}\lambda^6+\frac{41}{33}i\lambda^6-\frac{28}{485}\lambda^2\Bigg)s^5+\Bigg(\frac{182}{109}i\lambda^5+\frac{24}{583}\lambda^3+\frac{31}{408}\lambda^2$$
$$-\frac{159}{151}i\lambda^6-\frac{14}{135}\lambda^6-\frac{17}{74}\lambda-\frac{118}{77}-\frac{42}{605}\lambda^4-\frac{31}{40}i\lambda^4-\frac{41}{302}\lambda^5\Bigg)s^4+\Bigg(\frac{9}{134}\lambda^6+\frac{61}{130}i\lambda^6$$
$$-\frac{33}{236}+\frac{60}{193}\lambda-\frac{24}{593}\lambda^2-\frac{119}{160}i\lambda^5+\frac{30}{569}\lambda^4-\frac{11}{602}\lambda^3+\frac{99}{287}i\lambda^4+\frac{31}{350}\lambda^5\Bigg)s^3+\Bigg(-\frac{233}{599}\lambda$$
$$-\frac{83}{975}i\lambda^4-\frac{15}{697}\lambda^6-\frac{11}{399}\lambda^5+\frac{47}{256}i\lambda^5+\frac{24}{535}\lambda^3+\frac{9}{359}\lambda^2+\frac{68}{65}-\frac{49}{423}i\lambda^6-\frac{1}{102}\lambda^4\Bigg)s^2$$
$$+\Bigg(\frac{193}{643}\lambda-\frac{5}{2957}\lambda^3-\frac{79}{58}+\frac{9}{92}\lambda^2+\frac{11}{733}i\lambda^6+\frac{5}{1156}\lambda^5-\frac{75}{3151}i\lambda^5+\frac{4}{1967}\lambda^4+\frac{5}{453}i\lambda^4+$$
$$\frac{5}{1458}\lambda^6\Bigg)s-\frac{4}{6811}i\lambda^4-\frac{1}{24270}\lambda-\frac{5}{6274}i\lambda^6+\frac{10}{7901}i\lambda^5-\frac{2}{7433}\lambda^5-\frac{1}{4713}\lambda^6+\frac{498}{499}$$
$$+\frac{1}{8478}\lambda^3+\frac{1}{7548}\lambda^2-\frac{1}{8040}\lambda^4$$

For $t \in (3/4, 1]$, let $s = 1 - t$,

$$H_a(1-s) := \left(\frac{13}{76}\,\lambda - \frac{201}{286}\right)s^5 + \left(\frac{11}{2267}\,\lambda^5 + \frac{13}{1097}\,\lambda^4 + \frac{21}{1000}\,\lambda^3 - \frac{17}{3153}\,\lambda^2 - \frac{21}{62}\,\lambda + \frac{75}{62}\right)s^4 + \left(\frac{1}{1267}\,\lambda^5 + \frac{11}{1538}\,\lambda^4 + \frac{5}{1326}\,\lambda^3 + \frac{7}{953}\,\lambda^2 + \frac{85}{246}\,\lambda - \frac{346}{255}\right)s^3 + \left(\frac{1}{6961}\,\lambda^6 + \frac{1}{37023}\,\lambda^5 + \frac{4}{1103}\,\lambda^4 + \frac{60}{1679}\,\lambda^3 + \frac{17}{1590}\,\lambda^2 - \frac{152}{387}\,\lambda + \frac{98}{73}\right)s^2 + \left(1/10\,\lambda^2 + 3/10\,\lambda - 7/5\right)s + 1$$

5.5. **Estimate of modulus of some rational functions on the boundary of a rectangle.**

5.5.1. *A description of the method.* Here we describe a method to obtain an upper bound and a lower bound of $|F(\lambda)|$ on the boundary of a rectangle

$$R := \{z : \mathrm{Re}(z) \in [0, 1/2], \mathrm{Im}(z) \in [a, b]\}$$

where

$$F(\lambda) = P(\lambda) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{a}_j}{\lambda - s_j} \tag{5.182}$$

where $P(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree m, $(m \le 12)$, s_j are distinct complex numbers outside of R, and $\tilde{a}_j$'s are constants. The estimates of $F(\lambda)$ are obtained in 4 steps.

(i) Define a partition $\mathcal{P}$ on the boundary ∂S_2, given by $\{d_i\}_{0 \le i \le N-1}$, satisfying

$$d_0 \in \{a\,i,\, a\,i + 1/2,\, b\,i + 1/2,\, b\,i\} \subseteq \mathcal{P} \tag{5.183}$$

d_i's are ordered counterclockwise. Let $d_N = d_0$.
For each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, denote the disk that has $[d_{i-1}, d_i]$ as a diameter by D_i, the center of D_i by c_i. Also let $r_i = \frac{d_i - d_{i-1}}{2}$. Since all vertices of S_2 are in $\mathcal{P}$, each $[d_{i-1}, d_i]$ either lies on a horizontal side or a vertical side of ∂S_2. In the following steps we will find an upper bound and a lower bound of $|F(\lambda)|$ on each $[d_{i-1}, d_i]$.

Now fix $i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$.

(ii) Make a change of variable

$$\lambda_i(x) = c_i + r_i\,x \tag{5.184}$$

λ_i is a bijection that maps the unit disk $\{|x| \le 1\}$ to D_i, and the image of $[-1, 1]$ under λ_i is $[d_{i-1}, d_i]$. Consider the Taylor expansion of $F(\lambda_i(x))$:

$$\begin{aligned} & F(\lambda_i(x)) \\ &= P(c_i + r_i\,x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{a}_j}{c_i + r_i\,x - s_j} \\ &= P(c_i + r_i\,x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{a}_j}{c_i - s_j} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{r_i\,x}{c_i - s_j}} \\ &= P(c_i + r_i\,x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{a}_j}{c_i - s_j} \sum_{k=0}^{m_0} \left(-\frac{r_i\,x}{c_i - s_j}\right)^k + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{a}_j}{c_i - s_j} \frac{\left(-\frac{r_i\,x}{c_i - s_j}\right)^{m_0+1}}{1 + \frac{r_i\,x}{c_i - s_j}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.185}$$

Let

$$\tilde{F}_{i,1}(x) = P(c_i + r_i\,x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{a}_j}{c_i - s_j} \sum_{k=0}^{m_0} \left(-\frac{r_i\,x}{c_i - s_j}\right)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \tilde{b}_{k,i}\,x^k \tag{5.186}$$

$$E_{i,1} > \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left|\frac{\tilde{a}_j}{c_i - s_j}\right| \frac{\left|\frac{r_i}{c_i - s_j}\right|^{m_0+1}}{1 - \left|\frac{r_i\,x}{c_i - s_j}\right|} \tag{5.187}$$

where $M = \max(m, m_0)$, then

$$\left|F(\lambda_i(x)) - \tilde{F}_{i,1}(x)\right| < E_{i,1} \quad (|x| \le 1) \tag{5.188}$$

Repacing each coefficient $\tilde{b}_{k,i}$ by a rational number $\tilde{c}_{k,i}$ within e^{-9} of it we obtain

$$F_{i,1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \tilde{c}_{k,i}\,x^k \tag{5.189}$$

Let

$$E_{i,2} > \sum_{k=0}^{M} \left|\tilde{b}_{k,i} - \tilde{c}_{k,i}\right| \tag{5.190}$$

Then

$$\left|F_{i,1}(x) - \tilde{F}_{i,1}(x)\right| < E_{i,2} \qquad (|x| \le 1) \tag{5.191}$$

(iii) Consider $x \in [-1, 1]$. We estimate $|F_{i,1}(x)|^2$ using Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind.

$$|F_{i,1}(x)|^2 = [\mathrm{Re}(F_{i,1}(x))]^2 + [\mathrm{Im}(F_{i,1}(x))]^2 \tag{5.192}$$

$|F_{i,1}(x)|^2$ is a polynomial of degree $2M$. Express each power of x by a linear combination of Chebyshev polynomials $T_k(x)$ then we have a nonnegative polynomial:

$$|F_{i,1}(x)|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{2M} \tilde{e}_{k,i}\,T_k(x) \tag{5.193}$$

For $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ let $\tilde{f}_{k,i}$ be a rational number within e^{-9} of it and let

$$A_i(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{3} \tilde{f}_{k,i} T_k(x) \tag{5.194}$$

$$E_{i,3} > \sum_{k=0}^{3} \left|\tilde{e}_{k,i} - \tilde{f}_{k,i}\right| + \sum_{k=4}^{2M} |\tilde{e}_{k,i}| \tag{5.195}$$

Then

$$\left||F_{i,1}(x)|^2 - A_i(x)\right| < E_{i,3} \qquad x \in [-1, 1] \tag{5.196}$$

Now $A_i(x)$ is a cubic polynomial, so we can calculate its maximum $U_{i,1}$ and minimum $L_{i,1}$ on $[-1, 1]$,

$$L_{i,1} \le A_i(x) \le U_{i,1} \tag{5.197}$$

In cases we deal with in this paper, we can always choose $E_{i,l}$ $(l = 1, 2, 3)$, U_i and L_i such that

$$\sqrt{L_{i,1} - E_{i,3}} >> E_{i,1} + E_{i,2}$$

so from (5.196) and (5.197) we get:

$$\sqrt{L_{i,1} - E_{i,3}} \le |F_{i,1}(x)| \le \sqrt{U_{i,1} + E_{i,3}} \tag{5.198}$$

Furthermore, from (5.188) and (5.191) we get:

$$|F_{i,1}(x) - F(\lambda_i(x))| \le E_{i,1} + E_{i,2} \tag{5.199}$$

Thus if for each i we choose

$$U_i > \sqrt{U_{i,1} + E_{i,3}} + E_{i,1} + E_{i,2} \tag{5.200}$$

$$L_i < \sqrt{L_{i,1} - E_{i,3}} - E_{i,1} - E_{i,2} \tag{5.201}$$

then

$$L_i < |F(\lambda_i(x))| < U_i \qquad x \in [-1, 1] \tag{5.202}$$

i.e.

$$L_i < |F(\lambda)| < U_i \qquad \lambda \in [d_{i-1}, d_i] \tag{5.203}$$

Finally let

$$U = \max_{1 \le i \le N} U_i \tag{5.204}$$

$$L = \min_{1 \le i \le N} L_i \tag{5.205}$$

Then

$$L < |F(\lambda)| < U \qquad (\lambda \in \partial R) \tag{5.206}$$

5.5.2. *Derivation of J_n, P_n, upper bounds of $|G_a(t_0)|$ and $|G'_a(t_0)|$ on S_2 and a lower bound of $|W_a(t_0)|$ on ∂S_2.* Each one of a_n, $(1 \le n \le 10)$, $G_a(t_0)$, $G'_a(t_0)$ and $W_a(t_0)$ is a rational function of the form in (5.182) with $R = S_2$. We use the method described in §5.5.1 to obtain upper bounds of $|a_n|$, $(1 \le n \le 10)$, $|G_a(t_0)|$, $|G'_a(t_0)|$ over ∂S_2 and a lower bound of $|W_a(t_0)|$ on ∂S_2.

Two partitions are used

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{d_i^{(1)}\}_{0 \le i \le 8} \tag{5.207}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \{d_i^{(2)}\}_{0 \le i \le 8} \tag{5.208}$$

where

$$d_0^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} + 4\,i,\ \ d_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} + 4\,i,\ \ d_2^{(1)} = 4\,i,\ \ d_3^{(1)} = \frac{17}{6}\,i,\ \ d_4^{(1)} = \frac{5}{3}\,i,$$
$$d_5^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\,i,\ \ d_6^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\,i,\ \ d_8^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{17}{8}\,i,\ \ d_9^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{15}{4}\,i$$

and $d_i^{(1)} + d_i^{(2)} = \frac{9}{2}\,i + \frac{1}{2}$ for each i.

To obtain upper bounds of $|a_n|$, $(1 \le n \le 6)$ we used partition $\mathcal{P}_1$. To obtain upper bounds of $|a_n|$, $(7 \le n \le 10)$, $|p_n|$ $(11 \le n \le 29)$, $|G_a(t_0)|$ and $|G'_a(t_0)|$ we used partition $\mathcal{P}_2$.

In all these calculations we chose to keep 11 terms in the Taylor expansions in Step (i), i.e. $m_0 = 10$.

Note that each one of a_n's, $G_a(t_0)$ and $G'_a(t_0)$ is analytic in S_2, so an upper bound of its modulus on the boundary ∂S_2 is also an upper bound on whole S_2.

To obtain a lower bound of $W_a(t_0)$ on S_2 we added a couple of steps.

First approximate W_a by a rational function $F(\lambda)$ which can be estimated by the method in §5.5.1:

$$W_a(t_0) = F(\lambda) + \lambda^{13} \sum_{k=0}^{15} \tilde{g}_i \lambda^i \tag{5.209}$$

where $F(\lambda)$ is a rational function as described in §5.5.1, and fortunately by explicit calculation

$$|\lambda|^{13} \sum_{k=0}^{15} |\tilde{g}_i|\, |\lambda|^i < 0.079 \qquad (|\lambda| \le |4\,i + 1/2|) \tag{5.210}$$

Use the method in §5.5.1 and choose the partition to be $\mathcal{P}_2$, $m_0 = 10$, we obtain:

$$|F(\lambda)| > 1.14 \qquad (\lambda \in \partial S_2) \tag{5.211}$$

Thus

$$|W_a(t_0)| > 1.14 - 0.08 = 1.06 \qquad (\lambda \in \partial S_2) \tag{5.212}$$

Finally, to show a lower bound of $|W_a(t_0)|$ on the boundary ∂S_2 is also a lower bound on S_2 it suffices to show that $W_a(t_0)$ has no roots in S_2, see §5.7 for the proof.

5.6. **Estimate of modulus of some polynomials on the boundary of S_2.**

5.6.1. *A description of the method.* In this subsection we describe the method used to estimate $|P(\lambda)|$ on the boundary of S_2, where $P(\lambda)$ can be any one of b_n $(1 \le n \le 10)$, $H_a(t_0)$ or $H_a'(t_0)$. Denote the degree of $P(\lambda)$ by m. The method is similar to the one in §5.5. Slight modifications are made.
(i) Let $\mathcal{P}$, $\{d_i\}_{0\le i\le N}$ and $\{c_i\}_{1\le i\le N}$ all be the same as in §5.5.1

Fix $i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$.

(ii) Make a change of variable (5.184), then

$$P(\lambda_i(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \tilde{a}_{k,i}\, x^k \tag{5.213}$$

For each k express x^k by a linear combination of Chebyshev polynomials $T_k(x)$ then we have

$$P(\lambda_i(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \tilde{b}_{k,i}\, T_k(x) \tag{5.214}$$

Let

$$P_{i,1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{5} \tilde{b}_{k,i}\, T_k(x) \tag{5.215}$$

$$E_{i,1} > \sum_{k=6}^{m} \left|\tilde{b}_{k,i}\right| \tag{5.216}$$

Then

$$|P(\lambda_i(x)) - P_{i,1}(x)| < E_{i,1} \qquad x \in [-1, 1] \tag{5.217}$$

(iii) Estimate the polynomial $|P_{i,1}(x)|^2$ which is of degree at most 10.

$$|P_{i,1}(x)|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{10} \tilde{c}_{k,i}\, x^k \tag{5.218}$$

Express each power of x by a linear combination of Chebyshev polynomials $T_k(x)$ then we have

$$|P_{i,1}(x)|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{10} \tilde{d}_{k,i}\, T_k(x) \tag{5.219}$$

Let

$$A_i(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{3} \tilde{e}_{k,i}\, T_k(x) \tag{5.220}$$

$$|E_{i,2}| > \sum_{k=0}^{3} \left|\tilde{d}_{k,i} - \tilde{e}_{k,i}\right| + \sum_{k=4}^{10} \left|\tilde{d}_{k,i}\right| \tag{5.221}$$

where each $\tilde{e}_{k,i}$ is a rational number within e^{-7} of $\tilde{d}_{k,i}$, then

$$\left||P_{i,1}(x)|^2 - A_i(x)\right| < E_{i,2} \qquad x \in [-1, 1] \tag{5.222}$$

Now we can determine the maximum $U_{i,1}$ and the minimum $L_{i,1}$ of the cubic polynomial A_i on $[-1, 1]$. We obtain

$$L_{i,1} \le A_i(x) \le U_{i,1} \qquad x \in [-1, 1] \tag{5.223}$$

From (5.222) and (5.223) we get

$$\sqrt{L_{i,1}-E_{i,2}} \le |P_{i,1}(x)| \le \sqrt{U_{i,1}+E_{i,2}} \tag{5.224}$$

and in view of (5.217) if we choose for each i:

$$U_i > \sqrt{U_{i,1}+E_{i,2}} + E_{i,1} \tag{5.225}$$

$$L_i < \sqrt{L_{i,1}-E_{i,2}} - E_{i,1} \tag{5.226}$$

then

$$L_i < |P(\lambda_i(x))| < U_i \qquad x \in [-1,1] \tag{5.227}$$

i.e.

$$L_i < |P(\lambda)| < U_i \qquad \lambda \in [d_{i-1}, d_i] \tag{5.228}$$

Let

$$U = \max_{1\le i\le N} U_i \tag{5.229}$$

$$L = \min_{1\le i\le N} L_i \tag{5.230}$$

Then

$$L < |P(\lambda)| < U \qquad \lambda \in \partial S_2 \tag{5.231}$$

5.6.2. *Derivation of M_n, upper bounds of $H_a(t_0)$ and $H_a'(t_0)$.* Each one of b_n, $H_a(t_0)$ and $H_a'(t_0)$ is a polynomial. To obtain the upper bounds of their moduli, 2 partitions are used

$$\mathcal{P}_3 = \{d_i^{(3)}\}_{0\le i\le 6} \tag{5.232}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 = \{d_i^{(4)}\}_{0\le i\le 6} \tag{5.233}$$

where

$$d_0^{(3)} = \frac{i}{2},\ \ d_1^{(3)} = \frac{i}{2}+\frac{1}{2},\ \ d_2^{(3)} = \frac{7\,i}{2}+\frac{1}{2}$$
$$d_3^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2}+4\,i,\ \ d_4^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4}+4\,i,\ \ d_5^{(3)} = 4\,i,\ \ d_6^{(3)} = \frac{7}{2}\,i,$$

and $d_i^{(3)} + d_i^{(4)} = \frac{9}{2}\,i + \frac{1}{2}$ for each i.

To obtain upper bounds of $|b_n|$ and $|H_a'(t_0)|$, $(1 \le n \le 10)$ we used partition $\mathcal{P}_3$. To obtain upper bounds of $|H_a(t_0)|$ we used partition $\mathcal{P}_4$.

5.7. **Estimate of $W(G_a, H_a)(t_0)$ in S_2.** Equally divide S_2 into 7 squares:

$$S_{2,k} := \{z : 0 \le \mathrm{Re}(z) \le \frac{1}{2},\ \mathrm{Im}(C_k) - \frac{1}{4} \le \mathrm{Im}(z) \le \mathrm{Im}(C_k) + \frac{1}{4}\} \tag{5.234}$$

where for each $k \in \{1, 2, ..., 7\}$

$$C_k = \frac{3\,i}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + (k-1)\,\frac{i}{2}$$

is the center of the square $S_{2,k}$. $W_a(t_0) := W(G_a, H_a)(t_0)$ is of the form

$$W_a(t_0) = \sum_{j=0}^{7} \frac{\tilde{a}_j}{\lambda + j} + \sum_{k=0}^{12} \tilde{b}_k\,\lambda^k + \lambda^{13} \sum_{k=0}^{15} \tilde{g}_k \lambda^k \tag{5.235}$$

In view of (5.210), if we let

$$W_1(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{7} \frac{\tilde{a}_j}{\lambda + j} + \sum_{k=0}^{12} \tilde{b}_k\,\lambda^k \tag{5.236}$$

then

$$|W_a(t_0) - W_1(\lambda)| < 0.079 \qquad (\lambda \in S_2) \tag{5.237}$$

For each i, let

$$\bar{A}_i = W_1(C_i) \tag{5.238}$$

then for $\lambda \in S_{2,i}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left|W_1(\lambda) - \bar{A}_i\right| &= \left|\sum_{j=0}^{7} \frac{\tilde{a}_j}{\lambda + j} - \sum_{j=0}^{7} \frac{\tilde{a}_j}{C_i + j} + \sum_{k=0}^{12} \tilde{b}_j\, \lambda^k - \sum_{k=0}^{12} \tilde{b}_k\, C_i^k\right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{7} \frac{|\tilde{a}_j|\,|C_i - \lambda|}{|\lambda + j|\,|C_i + j|} + \left|\sum_{k=0}^{12} \left(\tilde{b}_k - C_i^k\right)\right| \end{aligned} \tag{5.239}$$

For $\lambda \in S_{2,i}$,

$$|C_i - \lambda| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}; \tag{5.240}$$

$$|\lambda + j| \geq \left|C_i - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i}{4} + j\right| \tag{5.241}$$

so

$$\sum_{j=0}^{7} \frac{|\tilde{a}_j|\,|C_i - \lambda|}{|\lambda + j|\,|C_i + j|} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{7} \frac{|\tilde{a}_j|\,\sqrt{2}/4}{|C_i - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i}{4} + j|\,|C_i + j|} := R_{i,1} \tag{5.242}$$

On the other hand

$$\sum_{k=0}^{12} \left(\tilde{b}_k - C_i^k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{12} \tilde{c}_{k,i}\,(\lambda - C_i)^k \tag{5.243}$$

so by explicit calculation,

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{12} \left(\tilde{b}_k - C_i^k\right)\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{12} |\tilde{c}_{k,i}|\,|\lambda - C_i|^k \leq \sum_{k=1}^{12} |\tilde{c}_{k,i}| \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}\right)^k := R_{i,2} \tag{5.244}$$

Now choose E_i such that

$$E_i > R_{i,1} + R_{i,2} \tag{5.245}$$

Combine (5.239), (5.242), (5.244) and (5.245) we have

$$\left|W_1(\lambda) - \bar{A}_i\right| < E_i \qquad (\lambda \in S_{2,i}) \tag{5.246}$$

Combine (5.246) with (5.237) we obtain:

$$|W_a(t_0)| > \bar{A}_i - (E_i + 0.079) \tag{5.247}$$

From straightforward calculation we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\bar{A}_1| > 1.95, \quad |\bar{A}_2| > 1.80, \quad |\bar{A}_3| > 1.74, \quad |\bar{A}_4| > 1.68, \\ &|\bar{A}_5| > 1.61, \quad |\bar{A}_6| > 1.54, \quad |\bar{A}_7| > 1.48, \end{aligned} \tag{5.248}$$

According to calculations for $R_{i,1}$ and $R_{i,2}$, we can choose valid E_i as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &|E_1| = 1.28, \quad |E_2| > 0.77, \quad |E_3| = 0.67, \quad |E_4| = 0.65, \\ &|E_5| = 0.66, \quad |E_6| = 0.67, \quad |E_7| = 0.65, \end{aligned} \tag{5.249}$$

Hence from (5.247), (5.248) and (5.249) we have

$$|W_a(t_0)| > \min_{1 \leq i \leq 7} \{\bar{A}_i - (E_i + 0.079)\} > 0.59 \tag{5.250}$$

This crude estimate is enough for the proof of Proposition 3.2, but we are able to obtain a better estimate once we know that that $W_a(t_0)$ has no roots in S_2 and thus the minimum of $|W_a(t_0)|$ on S_2 is attained on the boundary. The method in §5.5 provides us with a sharper result (5.212).

5.8. **Values of J_n, P_n and M_n.**

n	$10^7\,J_n$	P_n	$10^7\,M_n$
0	10000000		10000000
1	14142986		32466329
2	14385562		43849481
3	13584388		25844183
4	12609618		22641590
5	11709327		34192044
6	10953644		18884907
7	10383616		29748748
8	10372084		25563511
9	10432493		22348202
10	10540865	2847/2737	27433266
11	11256315	1975/1904	74641000
12	11917388	5417/5235	117161600
13	12539695	793/768	158112600
14	13132397	1381/1340	200013200
15	13700995	1533/1490	244928600
16	14248989	2897/2820	294605900
17	14778796	20077/19570	350585000
18	15292210	1871/1826	414285300
19	15790675	6316/6171	487071000
20	16275383	8243/8062	570301600
21	16747362	5323/5211	665370000
22	17207507	3763/3687	773733100
23	17656621	103/101	896936200
24	18095424	2193/2152	1036633000
25	18524561	1276/1253	1194603700
26	18944602	1839/1807	1372770700
27	19356079	3627/3566	1573213300
28	19759479	2581/2539	1798182200
29	20155227	2157/2123	2050113400
30	20543752	1047/1031	2331642500

5.9. **Estimates of $|B_n/A_n|$, $|B_n/A_n|$ and $|B_n/A_n^2|$.**

5.9.1. *Upper bound of $|B_n/A_n|$.* Let $\lambda = x + iy$, then

$$F_1(x,y,n) := \frac{B_n(x+iy)}{A_n(x+iy)} \tag{5.251}$$

is a rational function in x, y and n. Let $z = 1/n$, then

$$M_1(x,y,z) := |F_1(x,y,1/z)|^2 = \frac{P_1(x,y,z)}{Q_1(x,y,z)} \tag{5.252}$$

is a real valued rational function, where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(x,y,z) &= \left(x^2z^2 + z^2y^2 - 6\,xz^2 + 4\,xz + 9\,z^2 - 12\,z + 4\right)\cdot \\ &\qquad \left(x^2z^2 + z^2y^2 + 6\,xz^2 + 4\,xz + 9\,z^2 + 12\,z + 4\right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.253}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(x,y,z) &= x^4z^4 + 2\,x^2y^2z^4 + y^4z^4 + 16\,x^3z^4 + 16\,xy^2z^4 + 16\,x^3z^3 + 46\,x^2z^4 \\ &\quad + 16\,xy^2z^3 + 82\,y^2z^4 + 168\,x^2z^3 - 144\,xz^4 + 88\,y^2z^3 + 88\,x^2z^2 + 176\,xz^3 \end{aligned} \tag{5.254}$$

$$+ 40\,z^2y^2 + 81\,z^4 + 512\,xz^2 - 360\,z^3 + 192\,xz + 184\,z^2 + 480\,z + 144 \tag{5.255}$$

Take partial derivative of $M_1(x,y,z)$ with respect to x:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}M_1(x,y,z) = z\frac{P_2(x,y,z)}{Q_2(x,y,z)} \tag{5.256}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2(x,y,z) &= 1536 + (4096\,x + 7168)\,z + \left(4480\,x^2 + 640\,y^2 + 17664\,x + 6528\right)z^2 \\
&\quad + \left(2560\,x^3 + 1024\,xy^2 + 17664\,x^2 + 2304\,y^2 + 14848\,x - 6912\right)z^3 \\
&\quad + \big(800\,x^4 + 576\,x^2y^2 + 32\,y^4 + 9088\,x^3 + 3456\,xy^2 + 13504\,x^2 - 2496\,y^2 \\
&\qquad - 10368\,x - 13536\big)z^4 \\
&\quad + \big(128\,x^5 + 128\,x^3y^2 + 2496\,x^4 + 1792\,x^2y^2 + 64\,y^4 + 6144\,x^3 - 384\,xy^2 \\
&\qquad - 5760\,x^2 - 16128\,y^2 - 10368\,x - 25920\big)z^5 \\
&\quad + \big(8\,x^6 + 8\,x^4y^2 - 8\,x^2y^4 - 8\,y^6 + 336\,x^5 + 352\,x^3y^2 + 16\,xy^4 + 1400\,x^4 \\
&\qquad + 944\,x^2y^2 + 120\,y^4 - 1440\,x^3 - 10656\,xy^2 - 1800\,x^2 - 9720\,y^2 \\
&\qquad - 14256\,x - 20088\big)z^6 \\
&\quad + \big(16\,x^6 + 16\,x^4y^2 - 16\,x^2y^4 - 16\,y^6 + 128\,x^5 + 256\,x^3y^2 + 128\,xy^4 - 144\,x^4 \\
&\qquad - 1440\,x^2y^2 - 144\,y^4 - 4608\,xy^2 - 1296\,x^2 + 1296\,y^2 - 10368\,x + 11664\big)z^7
\end{aligned} \tag{5.257}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2(x,y,z) &= \big(x^4z^4 + 2\,x^2y^2z^4 + y^4z^4 + 16\,x^3z^4 + 16\,xy^2z^4 + 16\,x^3z^3 + 46\,x^2z^4 \\
&\quad + 16\,xy^2z^3 + 82\,y^2z^4 + 168\,x^2z^3 - 144\,xz^4 + 88\,y^2z^3 + 88\,x^2z^2 + 176\,xz^3 \\
&\quad + 40\,z^2y^2 + 81\,z^4 + 512\,xz^2 - 360\,z^3 + 192\,xz + 184\,z^2 + 480\,z + 144\big)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{5.258}$$

It is straightforward to check that for $x \in [0, 1/2]$, $y \in [4, 10]$ and $z \in [0, 1/10]$, both $P_2(x,y,z)$ and $Q_2(x,y,z)$ are positive, so

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}M_1(x,y,z) \geq 0 \tag{5.259}$$

so

$$M_1(x,y,z) \leq M_1(1/2,y,z) \tag{5.260}$$

Use similar method we also have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}M_1(x,y,z) \geq 0 \tag{5.261}$$

so

$$M_1(1/2,y,z) \leq M_1(1/2,10,z) \tag{5.262}$$

To summarize, for any fixed $z \in [0, 1/10]$ the maximum of M_1 is attained at $x = 1/2$, $y = 10$. In other words, given fixed $n \geq 10$, the maximum of $|B_n(\lambda)/A_n(\lambda)|$ on S_3 is attained at $\lambda = 1/2 + 10i$. Let $Z_n^{(1)}$ denote the maximum of $|B_n(\lambda)/A_n(\lambda)|$ on S_3. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_n(\lambda)/A_n(\lambda)| &\leq Z_n^{(1)} \\
&= \sqrt{M_1(1/2,10,1/n)} \\
&= \left|\frac{B_n(1/2+10\,i)}{A_n(1/2+10\,i)}\right| \\
&= \left(\frac{\left(16\,n^2 - 40\,n + 425\right)\left(16\,n^2 + 56\,n + 449\right)}{2304\,n^4 + 9216\,n^3 + 71392\,n^2 + 149952\,n + 305161}\right)^{1/2}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.263}$$

If we take derivative of $M_1(1/2, 10, z)$ with respect to z, then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}M_1(1/2,10,z) = \frac{P_3(z)}{Q_3(z)} \tag{5.264}$$

where

$$P_3(z) = 26832450160\,z^6 + 20079135232\,z^5 + 3697458944\,z^4 + 1477025792\,z^3$$

$$+ 15159296\,z^2 + 17563648\,z - 1769472 \tag{5.265}$$

$$Q_3(z) = \left(305161\,z^4 + 149952\,z^3 + 71392\,z^2 + 9216\,z + 2304\right)^2 \tag{5.266}$$

It is obvious that Q_3 is positive and P_3 is increasing on $[0, 1/10]$. We notice that

$$P_3(1/15) > 0 \tag{5.267}$$

$$P_3(1/16) < 0 \tag{5.268}$$

Hence for $z \in [0, 1/16]$, M_1 decreases as z increases. In other words, for $n \geq 16$, $Z_n^{(1)}$ increases as n increases. Since

$$\frac{B_n}{A_n} = \frac{\lambda^2 + 4\,\lambda\,n + 4\,n^2 - 9}{-12\,n^2 - (20 + 8\,\lambda)\,n - \lambda^2 - 8\,\lambda + 9} \tag{5.269}$$

we can see that for each λ, as $n \to \infty$, $|B_n/A_n| \to 1/3$, so $Z_n^{(1)} \uparrow 1/3$ as $n \to \infty$.

5.9.2. *Lower bound of* $|A_n|$. The study of A_n is similar.

$$A_n(\lambda) = \frac{-12\,n^2 - (20 + 8\,\lambda)\,n - \lambda^2 - 8\,\lambda + 9}{8\,n^2 + 28\,n + 20} \tag{5.270}$$

Let $\lambda = x + iy$, then

$$F_2(x, y, n) := A_n(x + iy) \tag{5.271}$$

is a rational function in x, y and n. Let $z = 1/n$, then

$$M_2(x, y, z) := |F_2(x, y, 1/z)|^2 = \frac{P_4(x, y, z)}{Q_4(x, y, z)} \tag{5.272}$$

is a real valued rational function, where

$$P_4(x, y, z) = x^4z^4 + 2\,x^2y^2z^4 + y^4z^4 + 16\,x^3z^4 + 16\,xy^2z^4 + 16\,x^3z^3 + 46\,x^2z^4$$
$$+ 16\,xy^2z^3 + 82\,y^2z^4 + 168\,x^2z^3 - 144\,xz^4 + 88\,y^2z^3 + 88\,x^2z^2$$
$$+ 176\,xz^3 + 40\,z^2y^2 + 81\,z^4 + 512\,xz^2 - 360\,z^3 + 192\,xz + 184\,z^2$$
$$+ 480\,z + 144 \tag{5.273}$$

$$Q_4(x, y, z) = 16\,(z + 1)^2\,(5\,z + 2)^2 \tag{5.274}$$

Take partial derivative of $M_2(x, y, z)$ with respect to x:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} M_2(x, y, z) = z \frac{P_5(x, y, z)}{Q_5(x, y, z)} \tag{5.275}$$

where

$$P_5(x, y, z) = (xz + 4\,z + 4)\left(x^2z^2 + z^2y^2 + 8\,xz^2 + 8\,xz - 9\,z^2 + 20\,z + 12\right) \tag{5.276}$$

$$Q_5(x, y, z) = 4\,(z + 1)^2\,(5\,z + 2)^2 \tag{5.277}$$

(5.278)

By inspection P_6 and Q_6 are both positive, so

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} M_2(x, y, z) \geq 0 \tag{5.279}$$

$$M_2(x, y, z) \geq M_2(0, y, z) \tag{5.280}$$

Now consider the partial derivative of $M_2(0, y, z)$with respect to y:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} M_2(0, y, z) = \frac{4\,y^3z^4 + 164\,yz^4 + 176\,yz^3 + 80\,z^2y}{16\,(z + 1)^2\,(5\,z + 2)^2} \tag{5.281}$$

It is obviously nonnegative for $y \geq 0$ and $z \geq 0$, so

$$M_2(0, y, z) \geq M_2(0, 4, z) \tag{5.282}$$

So given any $z \in [0, 1/10]$ the minimum of $M_2(x, y, z)$ is attained at $x = 0$, $y = 4$. Equivalently, for each $n \geq 10$, the minimum of $|A_n|$ on S_3 is attained at $\lambda = 4\,i$. Let $Z_n^{(2)}$ denote the minimum of $|A_n(\lambda)|$ on S_3. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n(\lambda)| &\geq Z_n^{(2)} \\ &= \sqrt{M_2(0, 4, 1/n)} \\ &= |A_n(4\,i)| \\ &= \left(\frac{144\,n^4 + 480\,n^3 + 824\,n^2 + 1048\,n + 1649}{16\,\left(1 + n\right)^2 \left(5 + 2\,n\right)^2} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{5.283}$$

Notice that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} M_2(0, 4, z) = \frac{8923\,z^4 + 6144\,z^3 - 456\,z^2 - 1472\,z - 528}{8\,\left(z + 1\right)^3 \left(5\,z + 2\right)^3} \tag{5.284}$$

By inspection the derivative is negative for $z \in [0, 1/10]$, so for $n \geq 10$, $Z_n^{(2)}$ is monotonically increasing. Since for each n, $\lim_{n \to \infty} |A_n| = 3/2$, $Z_n^{(2)} \uparrow 3/2$ as $n \to \infty$.

5.9.3. *Upper bound of* $|B_n / A_n^2|$.

$$\frac{B_n(\lambda)}{A_n^2(\lambda)} = \frac{\left(\lambda^2 + 4\,\lambda\,n + 4\,n^2 - 9\right)\left(8\,n^2 + 28\,n + 20\right)}{\left(-12\,n^2 - \left(20 + 8\,\lambda\right) n - \lambda^2 - 8\,\lambda + 9\right)^2} \tag{5.285}$$

Let $\lambda = x + iy$, then

$$F_3(x, y, n) := \frac{B_n(x + iy)}{A_n^2(x + iy)} \tag{5.286}$$

is a rational function in x, y and n. Let $z = 1/n$, then

$$M_3(x, y, z) := |F_3(x, y, 1/z)|^2 \tag{5.287}$$

is a real valued rational function. Consider the partial derivative of $M_3(x, y, z)$ with respect to x.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} M_3(x, y, z) = 64\,z\,(z + 1)^2\,(5\,z + 2)^2\,\frac{P_6(x, y, z)}{Q_6(x, y, z)} \tag{5.288}$$

where

$$P_6(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{7} f_i(x, y) z^i \tag{5.289}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_6(x, y, z) = \Big(& x^4 z^4 + 2\,x^2 y^2 z^4 + y^4 z^4 + 16\,x^3 z^4 + 16\,x y^2 z^4 + 16\,x^3 z^3 + 46\,x^2 z^4 \\ & + 16\,x y^2 z^3 + 82\,y^2 z^4 + 168\,x^2 z^3 - 144\,x z^4 + 88\,y^2 z^3 + 88\,x^2 z^2 + 176\,x z^3 \\ & + 40\,z^2 y^2 + 81\,z^4 + 512\,x z^2 - 360\,z^3 + 192\,x z + 184\,z^2 + 480\,z + 144 \Big)^3 \end{aligned} \tag{5.290}$$

where

$$f_0(x,y) = -384 \tag{5.291}$$

$$f_1(x,y) = -1216\,x - 256 \tag{5.292}$$

$$f_2(x,y) = -1632\,x^2 - 288\,y^2 - 1024\,x + 4384 \tag{5.293}$$

$$f_3(x,y) = -1200\,x^3 - 624\,xy^2 - 1536\,x^2 - 512\,y^2 + 8560\,x + 8064 \tag{5.294}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_4(x,y) = &-520\,x^4 - 528\,x^2y^2 - 72\,y^4 - 1152\,x^3 - 960\,xy^2 + 6480\,x^2 \\ &- 1552\,y^2 + 13824\,x - 4104 \end{aligned} \tag{5.295}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_5(x,y) = &-132\,x^5 - 216\,x^3y^2 - 84\,xy^4 - 464\,x^4 - 672\,x^2y^2 - 144\,y^4 \\ &+ 2360\,x^3 - 1064\,xy^2 + 8640\,x^2 - 5760\,y^2 - 1332\,x - 19440 \end{aligned} \tag{5.296}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_6(x,y) = &-18\,x^6 - 42\,x^4y^2 - 30\,x^2y^4 - 6\,y^6 - 96\,x^5 - 208\,x^3y^2 \\ &- 112\,xy^4 + 410\,x^4 - 108\,x^2y^2 - 86\,y^4 + 2304\,x^3 - 3600\,xy^2 \\ &+ 1026\,x^2 - 3546\,y^2 - 12960\,x - 8586 \end{aligned} \tag{5.297}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_7(x,y) = &-x^7 - 3\,x^5y^2 - 3\,x^3y^4 - xy^6 - 8\,x^6 - 24\,x^4y^2 - 24\,x^2y^48\,y^6 \\ &+ 27\,x^5 + 18\,x^3y^2 - 9\,xy^4 + 216\,x^4 - 432\,x^2y^2 - 72\,y^4 \\ &+ 333\,x^3 - 1647\,xy^2 - 1944\,x^2 + 648\,y^2 - 4455\,x + 5832 \end{aligned} \tag{5.298}$$

It is obvious that $Q_6(x,y,z) > 0$, $f_1(x,y) \le 0$ and $f_2(x,y) \le 0$ for $x \in [0,1/2]$, $y \in [4,10]$ and $z \in= [0,1/10]$. By taking absolute value of every term and use the condition that $|x| \le 1/2$ and $|y| \le 10$ we obtain crude estimates for upper bounds of $|f_i(x,y)|$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_6(x,y,z) &\le \sum_{i=0}^{7} f_i(x,y) z^i \\ &\le -384 + \sum_{i=3}^{7} |f_i(x,y)|(1/10)^i \\ &< -384 + 96 + 95 + 26 + 9 < 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.299}$$

We get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} M_3(x,y,z) \le 0 \tag{5.300}$$

$$M_3(x,y,z) \le M_3(0,y,z) \tag{5.301}$$

From §5.9.1 and §5.9.2 we see that given n both $|B_n(iy)/A_n(iy)|$ and $|A_n(iy)|$ are monotonically increasing for $y \in [4,10]$, so for $\lambda \in [j, j+1]$, $j \in \{4,5,..,9\}$ we have

$$\left| \frac{B_n(\lambda)}{A_n^2(\lambda)} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{\frac{B_n(\lambda)}{A_n(\lambda)}}{A_n(\lambda)} \right| \le \frac{M_1(0,j+1,1/n)}{M_2(0,j,1/n)} \tag{5.302}$$

For $j \in \{4,5,..,9\}$ let

$$M_{4,j}(z) = \frac{M_1(0,j+1,z)}{M_2(0,j,z)} \tag{5.303}$$

$$Z^{(3)}(n) = \max_{j=4,5..,9} \left\{ (M_{4,j}(z))^{1/2} \right\} \tag{5.304}$$

then for all $\lambda \in [4i, 10i]$

$$\left| \frac{B_n(\lambda)}{A_n^2(\lambda)} \right|^2 \le Z^{(3)}(n)^2 \tag{5.305}$$

In view of (5.301), for each n and $\lambda \in S_3$:

$$\left|\frac{B_n(\lambda)}{A_n^2(\lambda)}\right| \le Z^{(3)}(n) \tag{5.306}$$

The expressions of $M_{4,j}$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_{4,4}(z) = \\
&\frac{16\,\left(34\,z^2+12\,z+4\right)\left(34\,z^2-12\,z+4\right)(z+1)^2\,(5\,z+2)^2}{(2756\,z^4+1840\,z^3+1184\,z^2+480\,z+144)\,(1649\,z^4+1048\,z^3+824\,z^2+480\,z+144)} \\
&M_{4,5}(z) = \\
&\frac{16\,\left(45\,z^2+12\,z+4\right)\left(45\,z^2-12\,z+4\right)(z+1)^2\,(5\,z+2)^2}{(4329\,z^4+2808\,z^3+1624\,z^2+480\,z+144)\,(2756\,z^4+1840\,z^3+1184\,z^2+480\,z+144)} \\
&M_{4,6}(z) = \\
&\frac{16\,\left(73\,z^2+12\,z+4\right)\left(73\,z^2-12\,z+4\right)(z+1)^2\,(5\,z+2)^2}{(9425\,z^4+5272\,z^3+2744\,z^2+480\,z+144)\,(6500\,z^4+3952\,z^3+2144\,z^2+480\,z+144)} \\
&M_{4,7}(z) = \\
&\frac{16\,\left(73\,z^2+12\,z+4\right)\left(73\,z^2-12\,z+4\right)(z+1)^2\,(5\,z+2)^2}{(9425\,z^4+5272\,z^3+2744\,z^2+480\,z+144)\,(6500\,z^4+3952\,z^3+2144\,z^2+480\,z+144)} \\
&M_{4,8}(z) = \\
&\frac{16\,\left(90\,z^2+12\,z+4\right)\left(90\,z^2-12\,z+4\right)(z+1)^2\,(5\,z+2)^2}{(13284\,z^4+6768\,z^3+3424\,z^2+480\,z+144)\,(9425\,z^4+5272\,z^3+2744\,z^2+480\,z+144)} \\
&M_{4,9}(z) = \\
&\frac{16\,\left(109\,z^2+12\,z+4\right)\left(109\,z^2-12\,z+4\right)(z+1)^2\,(5\,z+2)^2}{(18281\,z^4+8440\,z^3+4184\,z^2+480\,z+144)\,(13284\,z^4+6768\,z^3+3424\,z^2+480\,z+144)}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.307}$$

It is straightforward to check that each $M_{4,j}(z)$ has a positive derivative with respect to z on $[0, 1/10]$. Hence as n increases, $Z_n^{(3)}$ decreases monotonically.

5.10. **Proof of** (3.76) **and** (3.77)**.**

5.10.1. *Proof of* (3.76).

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left[(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0})) - (\mathcal{N}^2(\mathbf{0}))\right]_n\right| &= \left|-\frac{B_n}{A_n} - \left(-\frac{B_n}{A_n - \frac{B_{n+1}}{A_{n+1}}}\right)\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{B_n}{A_n}\right| \left|\frac{\frac{B_{n+1}}{A_{n+1}}}{A_n - \frac{B_{n+1}}{A_{n+1}}}\right| \\
&\le \frac{Z_n^{(1)}\, Z_{n+1}^{(1)}}{Z_n^{(2)} - Z_{n+1}^{(1)}}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.308}$$

For $10 \le n \le 20$ we can check directly from (5.263) and (5.283) that the value is less than 0.106. For $n > 20$ we have

$$\frac{Z_n^{(1)}\, Z_{n+1}^{(1)}}{Z_n^{(2)} - Z_{n+1}^{(1)}} \le \frac{(1/3)^2}{Z_{21}^{(2)} - 1/3} < 0.106 \tag{5.309}$$

5.10.2. *Proof of* (3.77). Note that we can write

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0})]_{n_0} &= [\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0})]_{10} \\ &= 1 - \frac{4}{545}\frac{\left(-139+6\sqrt{545}\right)\sqrt{545}}{\lambda+44-\sqrt{545}} - \frac{4}{545}\frac{\left(139+6\sqrt{545}\right)\sqrt{545}}{\lambda+44+\sqrt{545}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.310}$$

so we have unique numbers $\tilde{e}_i$, $(i = 18, 19)$, and $s_{18} = -44 + \sqrt{545}$, $s_{19} = -44 - \sqrt{545}$, such that

$$[\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0})]_{10} = 1 + \sum_{i=18}^{19} \frac{\tilde{e}_i}{\lambda - s_i} \tag{5.311}$$

To simplify calculations, we approximate $[\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0})]_{10}$ by $Q(\lambda)$, where

$$Q(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{\tilde{d}_{18}}{\lambda - \tilde{s}_{18}} + \frac{\tilde{d}_{19}}{\lambda - \tilde{s}_{19}}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{s}_{18} = -1735/84, \quad \tilde{s}_{19} = -1953/29, \\ &\tilde{d}_{18} = -38/207, \quad \tilde{d}_{19} = -2343/49 \end{aligned} \tag{5.312}$$

By straightforward calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} |[\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0})]_{10} - Q(\lambda)| &\leq \sum_{i=18}^{19} \left| \frac{\tilde{e}_i}{\lambda - s_i} - \frac{\tilde{d}_i}{\lambda - \tilde{s}_i} \right| \\ &= \sum_{i=18}^{19} \frac{|\lambda|\,|\tilde{e}_i - \tilde{d}_i| + |\tilde{e}_i\tilde{s}_i - \tilde{d}_i s_i|}{|\lambda - s_i||\lambda - \tilde{s}_i|} \\ &< \frac{1}{312500} \end{aligned} \tag{5.313}$$

c_{10} is a polynomial of degree 18 and it has 18 distinct real roots $\{s_j\}_{0\leq i\leq 17}$ satisfying

$$1 = s_0 > -0.54 > s_1 > s_2 > ... > s_{17} \tag{5.314}$$

c_{11} is a polynomial of degree 20 and it has 20 distinct real roots $\{t_j\}_{0\leq i\leq 19}$ satisfying

$$1 = t_0 > -0.54 > t_1 > t_2 > ... > t_{19} \tag{5.315}$$

The only common root of c_{10} and c_{11} is $\lambda = 1$. We can write:

$$c_{10} = l_1 \prod_{i=0}^{17} (\lambda - s_i) \tag{5.316}$$

$$c_{11} = l_2 \prod_{i=0}^{19} (\lambda - t_i) \tag{5.317}$$

where l_1 is the leading coefficient of c_{10} and l_2 is the leading coefficient of c_{11}, so

$$r_{10} = \frac{c_{11}}{c_{10}} = P_1(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{17} \frac{\tilde{a}_i}{\lambda - s_i} \tag{5.318}$$

where $\tilde{a}_i$'s are constants and $P_1(\lambda)$ is a quadratic polynomial.

Now we approximate c_{10} by $\tilde{c}_{10}$

$$\tilde{c}_{10} = l_1 \prod_{i=0}^{17} (\lambda - \tilde{s}_i) \tag{5.319}$$

where for each $1 \le i \le 17$, $\tilde{s}_i$ is a rational number within e^{-9} of s_i, and $\tilde{s}_0 = s_0 = 1$. Now we crudely estimate c_{11}, $c_{10} - \tilde{c}_{10}$ and $\tilde{c}_{10}$. Rewrite

$$c_{11} = \sum_{j=0}^{20} \tilde{b}_j (\lambda - 7\,i - 1/4)^j \tag{5.320}$$

then

$$|c_{11}| \le \sum_{j=0}^{20} |\tilde{b}_j| \left(\frac{\sqrt{145}}{4}\right)^j < 37209/50 \quad (\lambda \in S_3) \tag{5.321}$$

Rewrite

$$c_{10} - \tilde{c}_{10} = \sum_{j=0}^{18} \tilde{c}_j (\lambda - 7\,i - 1/4)^j \tag{5.322}$$

then

$$|c_{10} - \tilde{c}_{10}| \le \sum_{j=0}^{18} |\tilde{c}_j| \left(\frac{\sqrt{145}}{4}\right)^j < 3/250000 \quad (\lambda \in S_3) \tag{5.323}$$

By definition

$$\tilde{c}_{10} = l_1 \prod_{i=0}^{17} (\lambda - \tilde{s}_i) = l_1 (\lambda - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{17} (\lambda - \tilde{s}_i) \tag{5.324}$$

Since for each $1 \le i \le 17$, $\tilde{s}_i < 0$, we have

$$|\tilde{c}_{10}| > |l_1||4\,i - 1/2| \prod_{i=1}^{17} |4\,i - \tilde{s}_i| > 786187/1000000 \tag{5.325}$$

Hence from (5.321), (5.323) and (5.325) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{c_{11}}{c_{10}} - \frac{c_{11}}{\tilde{c}_{10}}\right| &= \frac{|c_{11}||\tilde{c}_{10} - c_{10}|}{|c_{10}\tilde{c}_{10}|} \\ &= \frac{\frac{37209}{50}\frac{3}{250000}}{\left(\frac{786187}{1000000} - \frac{3}{250000}\right)\left(\frac{786187}{1000000}\right)} \\ &< 0.0145 \end{aligned} \tag{5.326}$$

Now we have explicit numbers $\tilde{e}_i$'s and a quadratic polynomial $\tilde{P}_1(\lambda)$ such that

$$\frac{c_{11}}{\tilde{c}_{10}} = \tilde{P}_1(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{17} \frac{-\tilde{e}_i}{\lambda - \tilde{s}_i} \tag{5.327}$$

To simplify the calculation, replace each $\tilde{e}_i$ by a rational number $\tilde{d}_i$ with e^{-7} of it and each coefficient in $\tilde{P}_1(\lambda)$ by a rational number within e^{-7} of it we obtain:

$$\tilde{r}_{10} := \tilde{\tilde{P}}_1(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{17} \frac{-\tilde{d}_i}{\lambda - \tilde{s}_i} \tag{5.328}$$

By straightforward calculation

$$\left|\tilde{r}_{10} - \frac{c_{11}}{\tilde{c}_{10}}\right| \le \left|\tilde{\tilde{P}}_1(\lambda) - \tilde{P}_1(\lambda)\right| + \sum_{i=1}^{17} \frac{|\tilde{d}_i - \tilde{e}_i|}{|4\,i - \tilde{s}_i|} < 3 \cdot 10^{-7} \tag{5.329}$$

Finally, consider

$$F(\lambda) := Q(\lambda) - \tilde{r}_{10} = 1 - \tilde{\tilde{P}}_1(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{19} \frac{\tilde{d}_i}{\lambda - \tilde{s}_i} \tag{5.330}$$

(see (5.333) for explicit expression of $F(\lambda)$). Use the method in §5.5.1 with partition $\mathcal{P} = \{4\,i, 4\,i+1/2, 7\,i+1/2, 10\,i+1/2, 7\,i\}$ and $m_0 = 2$, we have

$$|F(\lambda)| > 0.6098 \tag{5.331}$$

In view of (5.313), (5.326) (5.329) and (5.331) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|[\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0})]_{10} - \frac{c_{11}}{c_{10}}\right| \\ &\geq |Q(\lambda) - \tilde{r}_{10}| - |[\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0})]_{10} - Q(\lambda)| - \left|\frac{c_{11}}{c_{10}} - \frac{c_{11}}{\tilde{c}_{10}}\right| - \left|\tilde{r}_{10} - \frac{c_{11}}{\tilde{c}_{10}}\right| \\ &> 0.595 \end{aligned} \tag{5.332}$$

Thus we have (3.77).

The expression of $F(\lambda)$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda) = &\frac{1}{920}\,\lambda^2 + \frac{2}{23}\,\lambda + \frac{387}{920} - \frac{38}{207}\left(\lambda + \frac{1735}{84}\right)^{-1} - \frac{2343}{49}\left(\lambda + \frac{1953}{29}\right)^{-1} \\ &- \frac{1704}{101}\left(\lambda + \frac{108406}{1283}\right)^{-1} - \frac{4297}{537}\left(\lambda + \frac{73708}{1189}\right)^{-1} - \frac{2765}{768}\left(\lambda + \frac{59605}{1299}\right)^{-1} \\ &- \frac{1588}{1195}\left(\lambda + \frac{53402}{1589}\right)^{-1} - \frac{763}{3245}\left(\lambda + \frac{31328}{1279}\right)^{-1} + \frac{53}{10426}\left(\lambda + \frac{19885}{1029}\right)^{-1} \\ &+ \frac{23}{2173}\left(\lambda + \frac{52237}{3423}\right)^{-1} + \frac{367}{7339}\left(\lambda + \frac{21932}{1491}\right)^{-1} + \frac{160}{4019}\left(\lambda + \frac{38219}{3029}\right)^{-1} \\ &+ \frac{71}{9409}\left(\lambda + \frac{1316}{117}\right)^{-1} + \frac{358}{3591}\left(\lambda + \frac{28039}{2844}\right)^{-1} + \frac{717}{10073}\left(\lambda + \frac{11626}{1435}\right)^{-1} \\ &+ \frac{274}{14543}\left(\lambda + \frac{36794}{5013}\right)^{-1} + \frac{280}{3639}\left(\lambda + \frac{121869}{21650}\right)^{-1} + \frac{179}{3360}\left(\lambda + \frac{15715}{4138}\right)^{-1} \\ &+ \frac{159}{8224}\left(\lambda + \frac{19660}{9407}\right)^{-1} + \frac{43}{17095}\left(\lambda + \frac{2595}{4718}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{5.333}$$

5.11. **The method to prove** (4.89)**,** (4.98) − (4.101)**, and** (4.109) − (4.110)**.** The functions we estimate on ∂R_2 are of two types:

Group (I)

$$\delta_1^{(1)} = r_1 e^{-W_1} - 1 \tag{5.334}$$

$$\epsilon_n^{(1)} = -1 - A_{n-1} e^{-W_n} - B_{n-1} e^{-W_n - W_{n-1}} \quad (2 \leq n \leq 50) \tag{5.335}$$

$$\epsilon^{(3)} = -1 - A_{50}\, e^{-W_{50}} - B_{50}\, e^{-2\,W_{50}} \tag{5.336}$$

Group (II)

$$C_n^{(1)} = B_n e^{-W_{n+1} - W_n} \tag{5.337}$$

$$C^{(3)} = B_{50}\, e^{-2\,W_{50}} \tag{5.338}$$

We obtain estimates for each function on each one of the following subintervals of l_i, $(1 \le i \le 6)$

$$l_{1,1} = [10\,i, 18\,i] \quad l_{1,2} = [18\,i, 30\,i] \quad l_{1,3} = [30\,i, 48\,i] \\ l_{1,4} = [48\,i, 70\,i] \tag{5.339}$$

$$l_{3,j} = l_{1,j} + 1/2 \qquad (j = 1, 2, 3, 4) \tag{5.340}$$

$$l_{2,1} = (70\,i, 110\,i] \quad l_{2,2} = [110\,i, 175\,i] \quad l_{2,3} = [175\,i, 275\,i] \\ l_{2,4} = [275\,i, 380\,i] \tag{5.341}$$

$$l_{4,j} = l_{2,j} + 1/2 \qquad (j = 1, 2, 3, 4) \tag{5.342}$$

$$l_{5,1} = l_5 = [10\,i, 10\,i + 1/2] \tag{5.343}$$

$$l_{6,1} = l_6 = [380\,i, 380\,i + 1/2] \tag{5.344}$$

For each function in Group (II), the estimate follows easily from intermediate results obtained in the process of estimating the corresponding function in Group (I). See (5.367). We shall describe the method we use to estimate a function $\tilde{G}(\lambda)$ of Group (I) on an interval $[a_0, b_0]$ in detail.

We see that $\tilde{G}(\lambda)$ is of the form

$$\tilde{G}(\lambda) = \tilde{q}_1 e^{\tilde{f}_1} + \tilde{q}_2 e^{\tilde{f}_2} - 1 \tag{5.345}$$

where $\tilde{q}_1$ and $\tilde{q}_2$ are polynomials of degree 2 in λ and $\tilde{f}_1$ and $\tilde{f}_2$ are polynomials of degree at most 5 in $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)$ and of degree 1 in $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)$. First let ϕ be a polynomial of degree 1 which maps $[-1, 1]$ bijectively to $[a, b]$ and let

$$G := \tilde{G} \circ \phi \qquad q_i := \tilde{q}_i \circ \phi \qquad f_i := \tilde{f}_i \qquad (i = 1, 2) \tag{5.346}$$

The problem thus transforms into finding an upper bound for the function $|G|$ of a single real variable x

$$|G| = \left| q_1 e^{f_1} + q_2 e^{f_2} - 1 \right| \qquad x \in [-1, 1] \tag{5.347}$$

where $q_{1,2}$ are a polynomials of degree 2 in x and $f_{1,2}$ are polynomials of degree 5 or 1 in x.
The estimate of $|G|$ is obtained in 3 steps:
Step 1: For each i, express f_i as a linear combination of Chebyshev polynomials $T_j(x)$, $0 \le j \le 5$.

$$f_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{5} g_{i,j} T_j(x) \tag{5.348}$$

Denote

$$h_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} g_{i,j} T_j(x)\ , \qquad \tilde{r}_i(x) = \sum_{j=3}^{5} g_{i,j} T_j(x)\ , \qquad \overline{r}_i = \sum_{j=3}^{5} |g_{i,j}| \tag{5.349}$$

then

$$f_i = g_{i,0} + h_i + \tilde{r}_i \tag{5.350}$$

Step 2: For each i, approximate e^{f_i} by a polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} e^{f_i} &= e^{g_{i,0} + h_i + \tilde{r}_i} \\ &= e^{g_{i,0}} \left(1 + h_i + \frac{h_i^2}{2} + \frac{h_i^3}{6} + \int_0^{h_i} \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} \int_0^{s_3} e^{s_4} \mathrm{d}s_4\, \mathrm{d}s_3\, \mathrm{d}s_2\, \mathrm{d}s_1 \right) \left(1 + \int_0^{\tilde{r}_i} e^s \mathrm{d}s \right) \\ &= H_i + R_{i,1} + R_{i,2} \end{aligned} \tag{5.351}$$

where

$$H_i = e^{g_{i,0}} \left(1 + h_i + \frac{h_i^2}{2} + \frac{h_i^3}{6}\right) \tag{5.352}$$

$$R_{i,1} = e^{g_{i,0}} e^{h_i} \int_0^{\tilde{r}_i} e^s \mathrm{d}s \tag{5.353}$$

$$R_{i,2} = e^{g_{i,0}} \left(\int_0^{h_i} \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} \int_0^{s_3} e^{s_4} \mathrm{d}s_4 \, \mathrm{d}s_3 \, \mathrm{d}s_2 \, \mathrm{d}s_1\right) \tag{5.354}$$

Step 3: We have

$$|G(x)| \leq |H(x)| + |q_1 R_{1,1}| + |q_1 R_{1,2}| + |q_2 R_{2,1}| + |q_2 R_{2,2}| \tag{5.355}$$

where

$$H(x) = q_1(x) H_1(x) + q_2(x) H_2(x) - 1 \tag{5.356}$$

is a polynomial of degree 8.

To estimate $H(x)$ we simply express $H(x)$ as a linear combination of Chebyshev polynomials $T_l(x)$, $0 \leq l \leq 8$.

$$|H(x)| = \left|\sum_{l=0}^{8} \tilde{h}_l T_l(x)\right| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{8} \left|\tilde{h}_l\right| \tag{5.357}$$

since

$$\sup_{x \in [-1,1]} |T_l(x)| \leq 1 \qquad \forall l \in \mathbb{N} \tag{5.358}$$

For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, to estimate $q_i R_{i,1}$ we first notice that by (5.349) and (5.358) we have

$$|\tilde{r}_i(x)| \leq \overline{r}_i \tag{5.359}$$

We choose $E_{i,1}$ such that

$$E_{i,1} \geq \left\|q_i e^{h_i}\right\| = \sup_{x \in [-1,1]} \left|q_i(x) e^{h_i(x)}\right| \tag{5.360}$$

$E_{i,1}$ is chosen rigorously in the following way. For each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, denote $Q_i = |q_i|^2$ and consider the function

$$S(x) := \left|q_i(x) e^{h_i(x)}\right|^2 = Q_i(x) e^{2\mathrm{Re} h_i(x)} \tag{5.361}$$

We approximate $S(x)$ by approximating first its derivative:

$$S'(x) = e^{2\mathrm{Re} h_i(x)} \left(2\mathrm{Re}\left(h_i'(x)\right) Q_i(x) + Q_i'(x)\right) \tag{5.362}$$

Let $\tilde{\epsilon}$ be small enough. We construct a polynomial $\tilde{P}(x)$ with real coefficients and known roots r_i such that explicitly

$$\left|\tilde{P}(x) - \left(2\mathrm{Re}\left(h_i'(x)\right) Q_i(x) + Q_i'(x)\right)\right| < \tilde{\epsilon} \qquad x \in [-1, 1] \tag{5.363}$$

By construction, the maximum value of the approximating function

$$\tilde{S}(x) := S(0) + \int_0^x e^{2\mathrm{Re} h_i(s)} \tilde{P}(s) \mathrm{d}s \tag{5.364}$$

on $[-1, 1]$ is known exactly. Also

$$\left|S(x) - \tilde{S}(x)\right| \leq \left|\int_0^x e^{2\mathrm{Re} h_i(s)} \left[\tilde{P}(s) - \left(2\mathrm{Re}\left(h_i'(s)\right) Q_i(s) + Q_i'(x)\right)\right]\right| \leq \exp\left(\sup_{x \in [-1,1]} 2\mathrm{Re}(h_i(x))\right) \tilde{\epsilon} \tag{5.365}$$

Thus

$$\left|q_i(x) e^{h_i(x)}\right| \leq \sqrt{S(x)} \leq \left(\sup_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} \tilde{S}(x) + \exp\left(\sup_{x \in [-1,1]} 2\mathrm{Re}(h_i(x))\right) \tilde{\epsilon}\right)^{1/2} := E_{i,1} \tag{5.366}$$

In particular for $i=2$ we obtain upper bounds for the corresponding functions in Group (II):

$$\left|q_i(x)e^{f_i(x)}\right| \le \left|q_i(x)e^{h_i(x)}\right| \left|\exp\left(g_{i,0}\right)\right| \exp\left(\overline{r}_i\right) \le E_{i,1} \left|\exp\left(g_{i,0}\right)\right| \exp\left(\overline{r}_i\right) \tag{5.367}$$

Now let us get back to estimating $q_i R_{i,1}$,

$$|q_i R_{i,1}| < |e^{g_{i,0}}|\, E_{i,1} e^{\overline{r}_i} \overline{r}_i \tag{5.368}$$

To estimate $q_i R_{i,2}$ we write

$$q_i R_{i,2} = e^{g_{i,0}} q_i \left(\int_0^{h_i} \int_0^{s_1} \cdots \int_0^{s_4} e^{s_5} \mathrm{d}s_5 \cdots \mathrm{d}s_1 \right) + e^{g_{i,0}} q_i \frac{h_i^4}{24} \tag{5.369}$$

and let

$$E_{i,3} := |e^{g_{i,0}}|\, \|q_i\| \, \frac{\|h_i\|^5}{120} \exp\left(\sup_{x\in[-1,1]} \mathrm{Re}(h_i(x)) \right) \tag{5.370}$$

$$e^{g_{i,0}} q_i \frac{h_i^4}{24} = \sum_j \tilde{l}_j T_j(x) \tag{5.371}$$

$$E_{i,4} := \sum_j \left|\tilde{l}_j\right| \tag{5.372}$$

then

$$|q_i R_{i,2}| \le E_{i,3} + E_{i,4} \tag{5.373}$$

and

$$|G(x)| \le \sum_{l=0}^{8} \left|\tilde{h}_l\right| + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(|e^{g_{i,0}}|\, E_{i,1} e^{\overline{r}_i} \overline{r}_i + E_{i,3} + E_{i,4} \right) \tag{5.374}$$

implying an upper bound of $|\tilde{G}|$ on $[a,b]$ in terms of $\tilde{h}_l$, $g_{i,0}$, $\overline{r}_i$ and $E_{i,j}$ $(0 \le l \le 8,\, i=1,2,\, j=1,3,4)$.

5.12. **Proof of the estimates in Lemma 4.1 (iv).**

5.12.1. $U_1 = 1/30$.

On $[10\,i, 380\,i]$, write $\lambda = t\,i$. Then t is real and positive. We have

$$\left| \frac{A_n(t\,i)}{A_{n+1}(t\,i)} - 1 \right|^2 = \frac{P_1(n,t)}{Q_1(n,t)} \tag{5.375}$$

where P_1, Q_1 are real-valued polynomials in n and t, where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(n,t) &= 256\,n^4t^2 + 16\,n^2t^4 + 1888\,n^3t^2 + 72\,nt^4 + 1936\,n^4 + 5720\,n^2t^2 \\ &\quad + 81\,t^4 + 17600\,n^3 + 8600\,nt^2 + 61208\,n^2 + 5362\,t^2 + 96400\,n + 58081 \end{aligned} \tag{5.376}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(n,t) &= (2\,n+5)^2\,(n+1)^2 \left(144\,n^4 + 40\,n^2t^2 + t^4 + 1056\,n^3 + 168\,nt^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2488\,n^2 + 210\,t^2 + 2024\,n + 529\right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.377}$$

Now, P_1/Q_1 is increasing in t since,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left| \frac{A_n(t\,i)}{A_{n+1}(t\,i)} - 1 \right|^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{P_1(n,t)}{Q_1(n,t)} = \frac{P_2(n,t)}{Q_2(n,t)} \tag{5.378}$$

where, after simplification P_2 and Q_2 are manifestly positive polynomials whose explicit forms are not relevant. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{P_1(n,t)}{Q_1(n,t)} \le \frac{P_1(n,380)}{Q_1(n,380)} \\ &= \frac{36968336\,n^4 + 272644800\,n^3 + 334447789208\,n^2 + 1502539856400\,n + 1689734490881}{(144\,n^4 + 1056\,n^3 + 5778488\,n^2 + 24261224\,n + 20881684529)\,(2\,n+5)^2\,(n+1)^2} \end{aligned} \tag{5.379}$$

The right side of (5.379) is decreasing in n. Indeed

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}n}\frac{P_1(n,380)}{Q_1(n,380)} = -\frac{P_3(n)}{Q_3(n)} \tag{5.380}$$

where P_3 and Q_3 are manifestly positive for positive values of n. Hence for $n \geq 50$ and $10 \leq t \leq 380$ we have

$$\left|\frac{A_n(t\,i)}{A_{n+1}(t\,i)} - 1\right|^2 \leq \frac{P_1(n,t)}{Q_1(n,t)} \leq \frac{P_1(50,380)}{Q_1(50,380)} < U_1^2 \tag{5.381}$$

Similarly, on $[10\,i + 1/2, 380\,i + 1/2]$, writing $\lambda = t\,i + 1/2$, $|A_n/A_{n+1} - 1|^2$ is monotonically increasing in t and thus

$$\left|\frac{A_n(t\,i+1/2)}{A_{n+1}(t\,i+1/2)} - 1\right|^2 \leq \left|\frac{A_n(380\,i+1/2)}{A_{n+1}(380\,i+1/2)} - 1\right|^2 \tag{5.382}$$

The right hand side of the equation (5.382) is monotonically decreasing in n, so

$$\left|\frac{A_n(t\,i+1/2)}{A_{n+1}(t\,i+1/2)} - 1\right|^2 \leq \left|\frac{A_{50}(380\,i+1/2)}{A_{51}(380\,i+1/2)} - 1\right|^2 \leq U_1^2 \tag{5.383}$$

On $[10\,i, 10\,i + 1/2]$, write $\lambda = t + 10\,i$, $|A_n/A_{n+1} - 1|^2$ is monotonically decreasing in t and thus

$$\left|\frac{A_n(t+10\,i)}{A_{n+1}(t+10\,i)} - 1\right|^2 \leq \left|\frac{A_n(10\,i)}{A_{n+1}(10\,i)} - 1\right|^2 \tag{5.384}$$

The right hand side of the equation (5.384) is monotonically decreasing in n, so

$$\left|\frac{A_n(t+10\,i)}{A_{n+1}(t+10\,i)} - 1\right|^2 \leq \left|\frac{A_{50}(10\,i)}{A_{51}(10\,i)} - 1\right|^2 \leq U_1^2 \tag{5.385}$$

Finally, on $[380\,i, 380\,i + 1/2]$, write $\lambda = t + 380\,i$, $|A_n/A_{n+1} - 1|^2$ is monotonically decreasing in t and thus

$$\left|\frac{A_n(t+380\,i)}{A_{n+1}(t+380\,i)} - 1\right|^2 \leq \left|\frac{A_n(380\,i)}{A_{n+1}(380\,i)} - 1\right|^2 \tag{5.386}$$

The right hand side of the equation (5.386) is monotonically decreasing in n, so

$$\left|\frac{A_n(t+380\,i)}{A_{n+1}(t+380\,i)} - 1\right|^2 \leq \left|\frac{A_{50}(380\,i)}{A_{51}(380\,i)} - 1\right|^2 \leq U_1^2 \tag{5.387}$$

5.12.2. $U_2 = 7\sqrt{2}/500$.
We now obtain an upper bound of $|F_n - F_{n+1}|$ for $n \geq 50$ and $\lambda \in \partial R_2$. Let $N = n - 50$.
Consider $\lambda \in [10\,i, 380\,i]$, write $\lambda = t\,i$, and denote $\Delta_N = F_{N+50} - F_{N+51} = R(N,t) + iS(N,t)$, where

$$R(N,t) = \frac{P_4(N,t)}{Q_4(N,t)} \qquad t \in [10, 380], N \geq 0 \tag{5.388}$$

$$S(N,t) = \frac{P_5(N,t)}{Q_4(N,t)} \qquad t \in [10, 380], N \geq 0 \tag{5.389}$$

where P_4, Q_4 and P_5 are all real polynomials in N and t. Explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} Q_4(N,t) = {} & \big(144\,N^4 + 40\,N^2t^2 + t^4 + 29280\,N^3 + 4088\,t^2N + 2232184\,N^2 \\ & + 104482\,t^2 + 75618040\,N + 960442081\big)^2\big(144\,N^4 + 40\,N^2t^2 + t^4 + 29856\,N^3 \\ & + 4168\,t^2N + 2320888\,N^2 + 108610\,t^2 + 80170824\,N + 1038321729\big)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.390}$$

is positive. We shall obtain bounds for $R(N,t)$ and $S(N,t)$ separately. Let $U_{2,R} = 7/500$. Since Q_4 is obviously positive, proving $|R(N,t)| \le U_{2,R}$ and $|S(N,t)| \le U_{2,I}$ is equivalent to proving the following inequalities

$$-U_{2,R}Q_4(N,t) \le P_4(N,t) \le U_{2,R}Q_4(N,t) \le 0 \tag{5.391}$$

$$-U_{2,I}Q_4(N,t) \le P_5(N,t) \le U_{2,I}Q_4(N,t) \le 0 \tag{5.392}$$

To prove (5.391) and (5.392) we write

$$T_1(N,t) = P_4(N,t) - U_{2,R}Q_4(N,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{16} w_i^{(1)}(t)N^i \tag{5.393}$$

$$T_2(N,t) = P_4(N,t) + U_{2,R}Q_4(N,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{16} w_i^{(2)}(t)N^i \tag{5.394}$$

$$T_3(N,t) = P_5(N,t) - U_{2,I}Q_4(N,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{16} w_i^{(3)}(t)N^i \tag{5.395}$$

$$T_4(N,t) = P_5(N,t) + U_{2,I}Q_4(N,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{16} w_i^{(4)}(t)N^i \tag{5.396}$$

By our choice of $U_{2,R}$ and $U_{2,i}$, we can show the following,

$$w_i^{(j)}(t) \le 0 \qquad (j = 1,3,\ 0 \le i \le 16,\ t \in [10, 380]) \tag{5.397}$$

$$w_i^{(j)}(t) \ge 0 \qquad (j = 2,4,\ 0 \le i \le 16,\ t \in [10, 380]) \tag{5.398}$$

and consequently (5.391) and (5.392). The details are in Section 5.13 in the Appendix.

Use the same method and still let $U_{2,R} = 7/500$ be an upper bound of $|\mathrm{Re}(\Delta_n)|$ and $U_{2,I} = 7/500$ as an upper bound of $|\mathrm{Im}(\Delta_n)|$, then we are able to show that on the other three sides of ∂R_2

$$|F_n - F_{n+1}| \le U_2 \tag{5.399}$$

5.12.3. $L_1 = 8/25$.
We would like to show now for $n \ge 50$ and $\lambda \in \partial R_2$

$$\mathrm{Re}\sqrt{F_n} > L_1 := 8/25 \tag{5.400}$$

Let $u = \mathrm{Re}\sqrt{F_n}$ and $v = \mathrm{Im}\sqrt{F_n}$ we have

$$\mathrm{Re}(F_n) = u^2 - v^2 \tag{5.401}$$

$$\mathrm{Im}(F_n) = 2uv \tag{5.402}$$

We will show that $\mathrm{Re}(F_n) \ge L_1^2$ and $\mathrm{Im}(F_n) > 0$. Since we chose the branch of square root to be the one that is positive on the positive real axis, we have

$$u > \sqrt{u^2 - v^2} \ge L_1$$

For $\lambda \in [10\,i, 380\,i]$, let $\lambda = t\,i$ we can check straightforwardly that for all nonnegative t and $n \ge 50$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathrm{Re}\left(F_n(t\,i)\right) > 0 \tag{5.403}$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(F_n(t\,i)\right) > 0 \tag{5.404}$$

So we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(F_n(t\,i)\right) \ge \mathrm{Re}\left(F_n(0)\right) = \frac{16\,n^4 + 32\,n^3 + 152\,n^2 + 648\,n + 801}{\left(12\,n^2 + 20\,n - 9\right)^2} \tag{5.405}$$

Then we can check that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}n}\mathrm{Re}\left(F_n(0)\right) > 0 \tag{5.406}$$

and thus

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(t\,i)) \geq \mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(0)) \geq \mathrm{Re}\,(F_{50}(0)) > L_1^2 \tag{5.407}$$

For $\lambda \in [10\,i + 1/2, 380\,i + 1/2]$, let $\lambda = t\,i + 1/2$ we can check straightforwardly that for all nonnegative t and $n \geq 50$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(t\,i + 1/2)) > 0 \tag{5.408}$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\,(F_n(t\,i + 1/2)) > 0 \tag{5.409}$$

So we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(t\,i + 1/2)) \geq \mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(1/2)) = \frac{256\,n^4 + 1024\,n^3 + 3168\,n^2 + 9472\,n + 11561}{(48\,n^2 + 96\,n - 19)^2} \tag{5.410}$$

Then we can check that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}n}\mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(1/2)) < 0 \tag{5.411}$$

and thus

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(t\,i + 1/2)) \geq \mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(1/2)) \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(1/2)) = 1/9 > L_1^2 \tag{5.412}$$

For $\lambda \in [10\,i, 10\,i + 1/2]$, let $\lambda = t + 10\,i$ we can check straightforwardly that for all nonnegative t and $n \geq 50$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(10\,i + t)) > 0 \tag{5.413}$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\,(F_n(10\,i + t)) > 0 \tag{5.414}$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(10\,i + t)) \geq \mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(10\,i)) \tag{5.415}$$

Then by (5.403) and (5.406) we obtain

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(10\,i)) \geq \mathrm{Re}\,(F_n(0)) \geq \mathrm{Re}\,(F_{50}(0)) > L_1^2 \tag{5.416}$$

For $\lambda \in [380\,i, 380\,i + 1/2]$, let $\lambda = t + 380\,i$ and write

$$\mathrm{Re}(F_n) = \frac{P_6(n,t)}{Q_6(n,t)} \tag{5.417}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_6(n,t) = \big(&144\,n^4 + 192\,n^3 t + 88\,n^2 t^2 + 16\,n t^3 + 480\,n^3 + 512\,n^2 t + 168\,n t^2 + 16\,t^3 \\ &+ t^4 + 5776184\,n^2 + 2310576\,n t + 288846\,t^2 + 12706840\,n + 2310256\,t + 20863200881\big)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Defining $N = n - 50$ then we can check directly that

$$T_5(N,t) = P_6(N + 50, t) - L_1^2 Q_6(N + 50, t) \tag{5.418}$$

is positive for all nonnegative N and t. Hence $\mathrm{Re}(F_n) > L_1^2$ for $\lambda \in [380\,i, 380\,i + 1/2]$ and all $n \geq 50$.

5.12.4. $U_3 = 9/40$.
We show that $U_3 = 9/40$ is an upper bound for $|B_n/\,(A_n A_{n+1})|$ for $\lambda \in \partial R_2$. Denote

$$V_n(\lambda) = \left|\frac{B_n(\lambda)}{A_n(\lambda) A_{n+1}(\lambda)}\right|^2 \tag{5.419}$$

For $\lambda \in [10\,i, 380\,i]$, let $\lambda = t\,i$ then

$$V_n(t\,i) = \frac{P_7(n,t)}{Q_7(n,t)} \tag{5.420}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_7(n,t) = &\left(144\,n^4 + 40\,n^2t^2 + t^4 + 1056\,n^3 + 168\,nt^2 + 2488\,n^2 + 210\,t^2 + 2024\,n\right.\\
&\left. + 529\right)\left(144\,n^4 + 40\,n^2t^2 + t^4 + 480\,n^3 + 88\,nt^2 + 184\,n^2 + 82\,t^2 - 360\,n + 81\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.421}
$$

which is manifestly positive for all $t \in [10, 380]$ and $n \geq 50$. Next we just check that for all nonnegative N and t

$$
P_7(N + 50, t) - U_3^2 Q_7(N + 50, t) \leq 0 \tag{5.422}
$$

which is also obvious.

For $\lambda \in [10\,i + 1/2, 380\,i + 1/2]$, let $\lambda = t\,i + 1/2$. Then

$$
V_n(t\,i + 1/2) = \frac{P_8(n,t)}{Q_8(n,t)} \tag{5.423}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_8(n,t) = &\left(2304\,n^4 + 640\,n^2t^2 + 16\,t^4 + 18432\,n^3 + 2816\,nt^2 + 48864\,n^2 + 3624\,t^2\right.\\
&\left. + 48000\,n + 15625\right)\left(2304\,n^4 + 640\,n^2t^2 + 16\,t^4 + 9216\,n^3 + 1536\,nt^2 + 7392\,n^2\right.\\
&\left. + 1448\,t^2 - 3648\,n + 361\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.424}
$$

which is manifestly positive for all $t \in [10, 380]$ and $n \geq 50$. Next we check that for all nonnegative N and t

$$
P_8(N + 50, t) - U_3^2 Q_8(N + 50, t) \leq 0 \tag{5.425}
$$

For $\lambda \in [10\,i, 10\,i + 1/2]$ and $\lambda \in [380\,i, 380\,i + 1/2]$, using a similar method we obtain that for $t \in [0, 1/2]$ and $n \geq 50$

$$
V_n(10\,i + t) \leq U_3^2 \tag{5.426}
$$

$$
V_n(380\,i + t) \leq U_3^2 \tag{5.427}
$$

5.13. **Proof of** (5.397) **and** (5.398)**.**

We give the proof for $\lambda \in [10\,i, 380\,i]$. The proof is similar for $\lambda \in [10\,i + 1/2, 380\,i + 1/2]$. On the other two sides of ∂R_2 the sign of $w_i^{(j)}(t)$ is clear by inspection.

5.13.1. $t \in [10, 60]$.

For each $0 \leq i \leq 16$ and $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, assume that $w_i^{(j)}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree α. If $\alpha \leq 3$ it is trivial to calculate the maximum or minimum of $w_i^{(j)}(t)$ on $[10, 60]$. If $\alpha > 3$, we express $w_i^{(j)}(t)$ as a linear combination of Chebyshev polynomials:

$$
w_i^{(j)}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha} \tilde{a}_l T_l\left(\frac{t - 35}{25}\right) \tag{5.428}
$$

Using (5.358) we have lower bounds and upper bounds for $w_i^{(j)}(t)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\inf_{t\in[10,60]} \left\{\sum_{l=0}^{3} \tilde{a}_l T_l\left(\frac{t - 35}{25}\right)\right\} - \sum_{l=4}^{\alpha} |\tilde{a}_l| \leq w_i^{(j)}(t)\\
&\leq \sup_{t\in[10,60]} \left\{\sum_{l=0}^{3} \tilde{a}_l T_l\left(\frac{t - 35}{25}\right)\right\} + \sum_{l=4}^{\alpha} |\tilde{a}_l|
\end{aligned} \tag{5.429}
$$

We can check that for $j = 1, 3$ the upper bound of $w_i^{(j)}(t)$ given by (5.429) is negative and for $j = 2, 4$ the lower bound. of $w_i^{(j)}(t)$ given by (5.429) is positive.

5.13.2. $t \in [60, 380]$.

For each $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, assume that $K(t) = w_i^{(j)}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree α, then $K(t)$ has the property that the β-th derivative of $K(t)$ is monotonic and does not change sign on $[60, 380]$ for each $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$. We can show this by induction.

It is obvious that the α-th derivative of $K(t)$, which is a constant, does not change sign on any interval. Since the β-th derivative $K^{(\beta)}(t)$ does not change sign on $[60, 380]$ we have for each β

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^\beta K(60)}{\mathrm{d}t^\beta} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\beta K(380)}{\mathrm{d}t^\beta} \geq 0 \tag{5.430}$$

Assume that $j \leq 0$. Suppose the $(\alpha - j)$-th derivative does not change sign on $[60, 380]$ and (5.430) holds for $\beta = \alpha - j - 1$. Then the $(\alpha - j - 1)$-th derivative is monotonic and does not change sign on $[60, 380]$. By induction on j we see that $K(t)$ is monotonic and does not change sign on $[60, 380]$. Now it is trivial to check that

$$w_i^{(j)}(60) < 0 \qquad w_i^{(j)}(380) < 0 \qquad (j = 1, 3) \tag{5.431}$$

$$w_i^{(j)}(60) > 0 \qquad w_i^{(j)}(380) > 0 \qquad (j = 2, 4) \tag{5.432}$$

Hence each $w_i^{(j)}(t)$ does not change sign on the interval $[60, 380]$ and (5.397) and (5.398) are proved.

5.14. **Table of coefficients $a_{n,i}^{(1,2)}$ and $b_{n,i}^{(1,2)}$.**

Note: In the table below, a pair of the form "A, B" is used to denote the complex number $A + B\,i$

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	i	0	1	2	3	4	5
$a_{1,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{49}{12}, \frac{43}{15}$	$\frac{17}{10}, \frac{31}{139}$	$\frac{-9}{26}, \frac{-7}{80}$	$\frac{10}{111}, \frac{2}{61}$	$\frac{-3}{118}, \frac{-1}{86}$	$\frac{1}{135}, \frac{3}{784}$	$a_{17,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{11}{16}, \frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{67}{101}, \frac{13}{20}$	$\frac{-4}{197}, \frac{-3}{25}$	$\frac{-2}{233}, \frac{9}{451}$	$\frac{2}{609}, \frac{-1}{420}$	$0, 0$
$a_{1,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{45}{11}, \frac{17}{6}$	$\frac{22}{13}, \frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{-13}{38}, \frac{-9}{92}$	$\frac{3}{34}, \frac{3}{82}$	$\frac{-2}{81}, \frac{-4}{307}$	$\frac{2}{279}, \frac{2}{461}$	$a_{17,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{51}{73}, \frac{41}{31}$	$\frac{19}{29}, \frac{28}{43}$	$\frac{-5}{273}, \frac{-5}{42}$	$\frac{-3}{337}, \frac{4}{205}$	$\frac{2}{605}, \frac{-1}{440}$	$0, 0$
$a_{2,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{63}{20}, \frac{-40}{11}$	$\frac{19}{12}, \frac{13}{36}$	$\frac{-26}{89}, \frac{-8}{65}$	$\frac{14}{201}, \frac{9}{230}$	$\frac{-6}{313}, \frac{-4}{343}$	$\frac{2}{327}, \frac{2}{605}$	$a_{18,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{31}{48}, \frac{22}{17}$	$\frac{43}{68}, \frac{38}{59}$	$\frac{-3}{199}, \frac{-4}{35}$	$\frac{-3}{343}, \frac{5}{279}$	$\frac{1}{336}, \frac{-1}{511}$	$0, 0$
$a_{2,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{60}{19}, \frac{-11}{3}$	$\frac{96}{61}, \frac{18}{47}$	$\frac{-25}{87}, \frac{-8}{61}$	$\frac{4}{59}, \frac{3}{71}$	$\frac{-6}{325}, \frac{-5}{387}$	$\frac{1}{171}, \frac{2}{517}$	$a_{18,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{23}{35}, \frac{59}{46}$	$\frac{5}{8}, \frac{20}{31}$	$\frac{-4}{301}, \frac{-11}{97}$	$\frac{-1}{111}, \frac{9}{514}$	$\frac{1}{334}, \frac{-1}{536}$	$0, 0$
$a_{3,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{96}{37}, \frac{42}{17}$	$\frac{34}{23}, \frac{13}{29}$	$\frac{-14}{55}, \frac{-9}{64}$	$\frac{8}{135}, \frac{6}{139}$	$\frac{-6}{359}, \frac{-6}{433}$	$\frac{2}{371}, \frac{1}{205}$	$a_{19,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{14}{23}, \frac{64}{51}$	$\frac{35}{58}, \frac{58}{91}$	$\frac{-3}{283}, \frac{-16}{147}$	$\frac{-3}{343}, \frac{15}{931}$	$\frac{1}{373}, \frac{-1}{616}$	$0, 0$
$a_{3,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{99}{38}, \frac{105}{43}$	$\frac{22}{15}, \frac{22}{47}$	$\frac{-58}{233}, \frac{-14}{95}$	$\frac{5}{88}, \frac{6}{131}$	$\frac{-1}{64}, \frac{-4}{269}$	$\frac{2}{409}, \frac{2}{379}$	$a_{19,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{31}{50}, \frac{61}{49}$	$\frac{28}{47}, \frac{44}{69}$	$\frac{-5}{556}, \frac{-11}{102}$	$\frac{-2}{223}, \frac{2}{127}$	$\frac{1}{370}, \frac{-1}{646}$	$0, 0$
$a_{4,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{42}{19}, \frac{44}{19}$	$\frac{18}{13}, \frac{22}{43}$	$\frac{-19}{85}, \frac{-11}{72}$	$\frac{6}{121}, \frac{9}{190}$	$\frac{-8}{615}, \frac{-3}{187}$	$\frac{1}{276}, \frac{1}{170}$	$a_{20,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{27}{47}, \frac{39}{32}$	$\frac{34}{59}, \frac{17}{27}$	$\frac{-3}{443}, \frac{-26}{251}$	$\frac{-6}{695}, \frac{8}{551}$	$\frac{1}{415}, \frac{-1}{732}$	$0, 0$
$a_{4,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{20}{9}, \frac{55}{24}$	$\frac{37}{27}, \frac{19}{36}$	$\frac{-5}{23}, \frac{-22}{139}$	$\frac{14}{299}, \frac{3}{61}$	$\frac{-1}{85}, \frac{-7}{421}$	$\frac{1}{326}, \frac{4}{661}$	$a_{20,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{17}{29}, \frac{23}{19}$	$\frac{37}{65}, \frac{17}{27}$	$\frac{-3}{565}, \frac{-4}{39}$	$\frac{-3}{340}, \frac{2}{141}$	$\frac{1}{411}, \frac{-1}{767}$	$0, 0$
$a_{5,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{25}{13}, \frac{83}{38}$	$\frac{48}{37}, \frac{24}{43}$	$\frac{-9}{46}, \frac{-5}{31}$	$\frac{5}{126}, \frac{22}{439}$	$\frac{-5}{569}, \frac{-1}{59}$	$\frac{1}{585}, \frac{4}{665}$	$a_{21,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{19}{35}, \frac{32}{27}$	$\frac{27}{49}, \frac{33}{53}$	$\frac{-1}{287}, \frac{-7}{71}$	$\frac{-2}{237}, \frac{3}{229}$	$\frac{1}{463}, \frac{-1}{855}$	$0, 0$
$a_{5,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{31}{16}, \frac{54}{25}$	$\frac{86}{67}, \frac{123}{215}$	$\frac{-7}{37}, \frac{-22}{133}$	$\frac{11}{298}, \frac{11}{215}$	$\frac{-4}{527}, \frac{-3}{175}$	$\frac{1}{823}, \frac{1}{167}$	$a_{21,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{46}{83}, \frac{20}{17}$	$\frac{43}{79}, \frac{28}{45}$	$\frac{-1}{463}, \frac{-21}{215}$	$\frac{-6}{697}, \frac{1}{78}$	$\frac{1}{458}, \frac{-1}{897}$	$0, 0$
$a_{6,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{17}{10}, \frac{-59}{14}$	$\frac{28}{23}, \frac{16}{27}$	$\frac{-9}{53}, \frac{-1}{6}$	$\frac{6}{199}, \frac{3}{59}$	$\frac{-1}{206}, \frac{-4}{241}$	$0, \frac{2}{365}$	$a_{22,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{18}{35}, \frac{68}{59}$	$\frac{19}{36}, \frac{8}{13}$	$0, \frac{-3}{32}$	$\frac{-1}{122}, \frac{4}{337}$	$\frac{1}{516}, \frac{-1}{981}$	$0, 0$
$a_{6,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{12}{7}, \frac{-72}{17}$	$\frac{53}{44}, \frac{26}{43}$	$\frac{-9}{55}, \frac{-11}{65}$	$\frac{4}{145}, \frac{2}{39}$	$\frac{-2}{523}, \frac{-3}{182}$	$0, \frac{2}{375}$	$a_{22,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{31}{59}, \frac{111}{97}$	$\frac{25}{48}, \frac{59}{96}$	$0, \frac{-4}{43}$	$\frac{-6}{719}, \frac{5}{431}$	$\frac{1}{509}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{7,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{35}{23}, \frac{55}{28}$	$\frac{71}{62}, \frac{13}{21}$	$\frac{-6}{41}, \frac{-15}{89}$	$\frac{3}{139}, \frac{17}{341}$	$\frac{-1}{615}, \frac{-7}{456}$	$0, \frac{1}{217}$	$a_{23,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{20}{41}, \frac{46}{41}$	$\frac{48}{95}, \frac{17}{28}$	$\frac{1}{582}, \frac{-5}{56}$	$\frac{-2}{253}, \frac{3}{278}$	$\frac{1}{575}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{7,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{43}{28}, \frac{35}{18}$	$\frac{26}{23}, \frac{22}{35}$	$\frac{-8}{57}, \frac{-8}{47}$	$\frac{4}{207}, \frac{13}{261}$	$0, \frac{-3}{199}$	$\frac{-1}{812}, \frac{1}{227}$	$a_{23,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{215}{431}, \frac{39}{35}$	$\frac{289}{579}, \frac{17}{28}$	$\frac{1}{355}, \frac{-7}{79}$	$\frac{-3}{373}, \frac{6}{569}$	$\frac{1}{565}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{8,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{11}{8}, \frac{103}{55}$	$\frac{14}{13}, \frac{79}{124}$	$\frac{-1}{8}, \frac{-24}{143}$	$\frac{1}{70}, \frac{1}{21}$	$0, \frac{-3}{220}$	$\frac{-1}{618}, \frac{1}{274}$	$a_{24,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{32}{69}, \frac{-83}{16}$	$\frac{16}{33}, \frac{112}{187}$	$\frac{1}{266}, \frac{-4}{47}$	$\frac{-2}{263}, \frac{3}{305}$	$\frac{1}{638}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{8,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{43}{31}, \frac{89}{48}$	$\frac{33}{31}, \frac{20}{31}$	$\frac{-14}{117}, \frac{-13}{77}$	$\frac{10}{811}, \frac{6}{127}$	$\frac{1}{714}, \frac{-2}{151}$	$\frac{-1}{564}, \frac{1}{290}$	$a_{24,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{37}{78}, \frac{-26}{5}$	$\frac{11}{23}, \frac{76}{127}$	$\frac{1}{210}, \frac{-7}{83}$	$\frac{-3}{388}, \frac{1}{104}$	$\frac{1}{625}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{9,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{5}{4}, \frac{34}{19}$	$\frac{63}{62}, \frac{13}{20}$	$\frac{-7}{66}, \frac{-19}{115}$	$\frac{1}{121}, \frac{7}{157}$	$\frac{1}{409}, \frac{-5}{426}$	$\frac{-1}{526}, \frac{1}{364}$	$a_{25,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{15}{34}, \frac{16}{15}$	$\frac{20}{43}, \frac{13}{22}$	$\frac{1}{182}, \frac{-19}{234}$	$\frac{-1}{137}, \frac{4}{445}$	$\frac{1}{705}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{9,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{24}{19}, \frac{39}{22}$	$\frac{300}{299}, \frac{21}{32}$	$\frac{-8}{79}, \frac{-25}{151}$	$\frac{2}{301}, \frac{7}{159}$	$\frac{2}{693}, \frac{-10}{881}$	$\frac{-1}{504}, \frac{1}{389}$	$a_{25,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{14}{31}, \frac{18}{17}$	$\frac{17}{37}, \frac{36}{61}$	$\frac{5}{779}, \frac{-7}{87}$	$\frac{-1}{135}, \frac{4}{455}$	$\frac{1}{688}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{10,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{8}{7}, \frac{12}{7}$	$\frac{23}{24}, \frac{25}{38}$	$\frac{-6}{67}, \frac{-5}{31}$	$\frac{3}{860}, \frac{3}{73}$	$\frac{1}{287}, \frac{-1}{101}$	$\frac{-1}{515}, \frac{1}{503}$	$a_{26,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{29}{69}, \frac{26}{25}$	$\frac{21}{47}, \frac{74}{127}$	$\frac{2}{287}, \frac{-11}{142}$	$\frac{-3}{430}, \frac{5}{606}$	$\frac{1}{775}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{10,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{37}{32}, \frac{17}{10}$	$\frac{18}{19}, \frac{73}{110}$	$\frac{-4}{47}, \frac{-29}{180}$	$\frac{2}{927}, \frac{10}{247}$	$\frac{2}{527}, \frac{-1}{105}$	$\frac{-1}{506}, \frac{1}{542}$	$a_{26,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{31}{72}, \frac{31}{30}$	$\frac{15}{34}, \frac{32}{55}$	$\frac{1}{128}, \frac{-29}{378}$	$\frac{-1}{141}, \frac{1}{124}$	$\frac{1}{754}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{11,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{41}{39}, \frac{28}{17}$	$\frac{29}{32}, \frac{67}{101}$	$\frac{-20}{267}, \frac{-5}{32}$	$0, \frac{5}{133}$	$\frac{2}{493}, \frac{-3}{365}$	$\frac{-1}{546}, \frac{1}{721}$	$a_{27,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{95}{237}, \frac{68}{67}$	$\frac{94}{219}, \frac{23}{40}$	$\frac{4}{487}, \frac{-23}{311}$	$\frac{-1}{150}, \frac{2}{263}$	$\frac{1}{847}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{11,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{33}{31}, \frac{31}{19}$	$\frac{17}{19}, \frac{237}{355}$	$\frac{-11}{155}, \frac{-12}{77}$	$\frac{-1}{767}, \frac{10}{271}$	$\frac{2}{469}, \frac{-6}{761}$	$\frac{-1}{543}, \frac{1}{783}$	$a_{27,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{23}{56}, \frac{125}{124}$	$\frac{14}{33}, \frac{31}{54}$	$\frac{7}{778}, \frac{-23}{314}$	$\frac{-2}{295}, \frac{3}{404}$	$\frac{1}{822}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{12,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{33}{34}, \frac{-47}{10}$	$\frac{6}{7}, \frac{195}{293}$	$\frac{-13}{209}, \frac{-11}{73}$	$\frac{-2}{661}, \frac{3}{88}$	$\frac{1}{233}, \frac{-3}{445}$	$\frac{-1}{609}, 0$	$a_{28,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{13}{34}, \frac{110}{111}$	$\frac{19}{46}, \frac{17}{30}$	$\frac{1}{108}, \frac{-7}{99}$	$\frac{-1}{157}, \frac{3}{427}$	$\frac{1}{919}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{12,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{62}{63}, \frac{-33}{7}$	$\frac{11}{13}, \frac{99}{148}$	$\frac{-29}{494}, \frac{-3}{20}$	$\frac{-3}{769}, \frac{16}{479}$	$\frac{1}{226}, \frac{-1}{155}$	$\frac{-1}{610}, 0$	$a_{28,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{11}{28}, \frac{63}{64}$	$\frac{20}{49}, \frac{13}{23}$	$\frac{1}{100}, \frac{-7}{100}$	$\frac{-2}{309}, \frac{3}{437}$	$\frac{1}{890}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{13,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{9}{10}, \frac{29}{19}$	$\frac{13}{16}, \frac{177}{266}$	$\frac{-17}{332}, \frac{-12}{83}$	$\frac{-3}{589}, \frac{13}{423}$	$\frac{3}{700}, \frac{-1}{182}$	$\frac{-1}{703}, 0$	$a_{29,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{15}{41}, \frac{30}{31}$	$\frac{31}{78}, \frac{19}{34}$	$\frac{3}{296}, \frac{-5}{74}$	$\frac{-2}{329}, \frac{2}{307}$	$\frac{1}{992}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{13,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{21}{23}, \frac{56}{37}$	$\frac{61}{76}, \frac{155}{232}$	$\frac{-5}{104}, \frac{-22}{153}$	$\frac{-2}{345}, \frac{4}{133}$	$\frac{2}{457}, \frac{-2}{381}$	$\frac{-1}{708}, 0$	$a_{29,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{98}{261}, \frac{25}{26}$	$\frac{11}{28}, \frac{29}{52}$	$\frac{2}{185}, \frac{-17}{254}$	$\frac{-4}{647}, \frac{1}{157}$	$\frac{1}{958}, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{14,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{26}{31}, \frac{28}{19}$	$\frac{27}{35}, \frac{69}{104}$	$\frac{-1}{24}, \frac{-9}{65}$	$\frac{-4}{609}, \frac{5}{181}$	$\frac{1}{242}, \frac{-2}{449}$	$\frac{-1}{833}, 0$	$a_{30,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{7}{20}, \frac{18}{19}$	$\frac{18}{47}, \frac{38}{69}$	$\frac{1}{92}, \frac{-13}{201}$	$\frac{-1}{172}, \frac{4}{659}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{14,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{17}{20}, \frac{19}{13}$	$\frac{16}{21}, \frac{207}{311}$	$\frac{-7}{180}, \frac{-11}{80}$	$\frac{-1}{140}, \frac{1}{37}$	$\frac{2}{477}, \frac{-1}{235}$	$\frac{-1}{839}, 0$	$a_{30,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{23}{64}, \frac{16}{17}$	$\frac{14}{37}, \frac{11}{20}$	$\frac{7}{608}, \frac{-8}{125}$	$\frac{-2}{339}, \frac{1}{169}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{15,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{18}{23}, \frac{37}{26}$	$\frac{11}{15}, \frac{33}{50}$	$\frac{-8}{239}, \frac{-7}{53}$	$\frac{-1}{132}, \frac{3}{121}$	$\frac{1}{257}, \frac{-3}{832}$	$0, 0$	$a_{31,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{57}{170}, \frac{25}{27}$	$\frac{17}{46}, \frac{19}{35}$	$\frac{1}{87}, \frac{-7}{113}$	$\frac{-1}{180}, \frac{2}{353}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{15,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{27}{34}, \frac{24}{17}$	$\frac{34}{47}, \frac{43}{65}$	$\frac{-4}{129}, \frac{-8}{61}$	$\frac{-3}{373}, \frac{4}{165}$	$\frac{3}{764}, \frac{-2}{581}$	$0, 0$	$a_{31,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{10}{29}, \frac{23}{25}$	$\frac{19}{52}, \frac{13}{24}$	$\frac{4}{331}, \frac{-10}{163}$	$\frac{-3}{532}, \frac{1}{181}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{16,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{30}{41}, \frac{84}{61}$	$\frac{23}{33}, \frac{19}{29}$	$\frac{-8}{303}, \frac{-14}{111}$	$\frac{-3}{365}, \frac{17}{764}$	$\frac{1}{278}, \frac{-1}{342}$	$0, 0$	$a_{32,i}^{(1)}$	$\frac{9}{28}, \frac{29}{32}$	$\frac{36}{101}, \frac{23}{43}$	$\frac{3}{250}, \frac{-6}{101}$	$\frac{-2}{377}, \frac{3}{565}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$
$a_{16,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{32}{43}, \frac{56}{41}$	$\frac{11}{16}, \frac{21}{32}$	$\frac{-5}{207}, \frac{-1}{8}$	$\frac{-4}{465}, \frac{1}{46}$	$\frac{1}{276}, \frac{-1}{358}$	$0, 0$	$a_{32,i}^{(2)}$	$\frac{40}{121}, \frac{9}{10}$	$\frac{37}{105}, \frac{39}{73}$	$\frac{3}{239}, \frac{-1}{17}$	$\frac{-2}{371}, \frac{1}{193}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	i	0	1	2	3	4	5
$a^{(1)}_{33,i}$	$\frac{29}{94}, \frac{47}{53}$	$\frac{21}{61}, \frac{19}{36}$	$\frac{3}{242}, \frac{-7}{123}$	$\frac{-1}{197}, \frac{3}{601}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{1,i}$	$\frac{83}{11}, \frac{34}{11}$	$\frac{59}{37}, \frac{3}{77}$	$\frac{-13}{41}, \frac{-2}{129}$	$\frac{9}{107}, \frac{2}{325}$	$\frac{-22}{879}, \frac{-1}{409}$	$\frac{1}{126}, 0$
$a^{(2)}_{33,i}$	$\frac{20}{63}, \frac{15}{17}$	$\frac{16}{47}, \frac{10}{19}$	$\frac{3}{232}, \frac{-4}{71}$	$\frac{-3}{581}, \frac{2}{411}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{1,i}$	$\frac{83}{11}, \frac{71}{23}$	$\frac{51}{32}, \frac{5}{114}$	$\frac{-13}{41}, \frac{-3}{172}$	$\frac{10}{119}, \frac{2}{289}$	$\frac{-1}{40}, \frac{-1}{364}$	$\frac{3}{379}, \frac{1}{918}$
$a^{(1)}_{34,i}$	$\frac{8}{27}, \frac{33}{38}$	$\frac{144}{433}, \frac{13}{25}$	$\frac{2}{157}, \frac{-7}{128}$	$\frac{-2}{411}, \frac{2}{425}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{2,i}$	$\frac{189}{29}, \frac{-68}{21}$	$\frac{27}{17}, \frac{28}{363}$	$\frac{-11}{35}, \frac{-6}{197}$	$\frac{7}{85}, \frac{2}{167}$	$\frac{-4}{165}, \frac{-3}{638}$	$\frac{3}{397}, \frac{1}{544}$
$a^{(2)}_{34,i}$	$\frac{18}{59}, \frac{19}{22}$	$\frac{24}{73}, \frac{14}{27}$	$\frac{2}{151}, \frac{-15}{277}$	$\frac{-4}{809}, \frac{1}{218}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{2,i}$	$\frac{176}{27}, \frac{-159}{49}$	$\frac{27}{17}, \frac{5}{61}$	$\frac{-16}{51}, \frac{-14}{433}$	$\frac{6}{73}, \frac{3}{236}$	$\frac{-5}{207}, \frac{-2}{401}$	$\frac{1}{133}, \frac{1}{513}$
$a^{(1)}_{35,i}$	$\frac{39}{137}, \frac{23}{27}$	$\frac{9}{28}, \frac{20}{39}$	$\frac{9}{692}, \frac{-27}{514}$	$\frac{-2}{429}, \frac{1}{225}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{3,i}$	$\frac{88}{15}, \frac{920}{307}$	$\frac{30}{19}, \frac{5}{44}$	$\frac{-17}{55}, \frac{-9}{203}$	$\frac{23}{288}, \frac{4}{233}$	$\frac{-7}{304}, \frac{-2}{303}$	$\frac{2}{285}, \frac{1}{396}$
$a^{(2)}_{35,i}$	$\frac{22}{75}, \frac{11}{13}$	$\frac{7}{22}, \frac{22}{43}$	$\frac{4}{297}, \frac{-9}{173}$	$\frac{-1}{211}, \frac{1}{231}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{3,i}$	$\frac{88}{15}, \frac{323}{108}$	$\frac{30}{19}, \frac{9}{76}$	$\frac{-25}{81}, \frac{-3}{65}$	$\frac{9}{113}, \frac{1}{56}$	$\frac{-3}{131}, \frac{-2}{291}$	$\frac{2}{287}, \frac{1}{381}$
$a^{(1)}_{36,i}$	$\frac{20}{73}, \frac{151}{181}$	$\frac{14}{45}, \frac{46}{91}$	$\frac{3}{227}, \frac{-5}{99}$	$\frac{-2}{447}, \frac{3}{712}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{4,i}$	$\frac{70}{13}, \frac{-10}{3}$	$\frac{47}{30}, \frac{4}{27}$	$\frac{-13}{43}, \frac{-5}{88}$	$\frac{30}{391}, \frac{5}{232}$	$\frac{-3}{139}, \frac{-2}{247}$	$\frac{1}{156}, \frac{1}{333}$
$a^{(2)}_{36,i}$	$\frac{13}{46}, \frac{34}{41}$	$\frac{4}{13}, \frac{57}{113}$	$\frac{4}{293}, \frac{-1}{20}$	$\frac{-2}{439}, \frac{1}{244}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{4,i}$	$\frac{70}{13}, \frac{-207}{62}$	$\frac{36}{23}, \frac{11}{72}$	$\frac{-16}{53}, \frac{-12}{205}$	$\frac{12}{157}, \frac{1}{45}$	$\frac{-9}{419}, \frac{-1}{120}$	$\frac{3}{472}, \frac{2}{647}$
$a^{(1)}_{37,i}$	$\frac{24}{91}, \frac{9}{11}$	$\frac{25}{83}, \frac{151}{303}$	$\frac{5}{374}, \frac{-7}{144}$	$\frac{-2}{465}, \frac{1}{250}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{5,i}$	$\frac{566}{113}, \frac{61}{21}$	$\frac{73}{47}, \frac{11}{61}$	$\frac{-18}{61}, \frac{-4}{59}$	$\frac{14}{191}, \frac{5}{199}$	$\frac{-3}{149}, \frac{-1}{109}$	$\frac{1}{172}, \frac{1}{303}$
$a^{(2)}_{37,i}$	$\frac{43}{158}, \frac{13}{16}$	$\frac{14}{47}, \frac{93}{187}$	$\frac{2}{145}, \frac{-9}{187}$	$\frac{-2}{457}, \frac{1}{257}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{5,i}$	$\frac{516}{103}, \frac{29}{10}$	$\frac{45}{29}, \frac{12}{65}$	$\frac{-48}{163}, \frac{-5}{72}$	$\frac{10}{137}, \frac{9}{350}$	$\frac{-1}{50}, \frac{-2}{213}$	$\frac{3}{521}, \frac{1}{296}$
$a^{(1)}_{38,i}$	$\frac{15}{59}, \frac{65}{81}$	$\frac{7}{24}, \frac{28}{57}$	$\frac{5}{371}, \frac{-11}{235}$	$\frac{-2}{483}, \frac{2}{525}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{6,i}$	$\frac{47}{10}, \frac{-65}{19}$	$\frac{20}{13}, \frac{13}{62}$	$\frac{-27}{94}, \frac{-15}{194}$	$\frac{3}{43}, \frac{5}{179}$	$\frac{-7}{374}, \frac{-1}{101}$	$\frac{2}{379}, \frac{1}{289}$
$a^{(2)}_{38,i}$	$\frac{16}{61}, \frac{71}{89}$	$\frac{15}{52}, \frac{25}{51}$	$\frac{1}{72}, \frac{-7}{151}$	$\frac{-3}{712}, \frac{1}{270}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{6,i}$	$\frac{47}{10}, \frac{-24}{7}$	$\frac{43}{28}, \frac{70}{327}$	$\frac{-53}{185}, \frac{-19}{241}$	$\frac{5}{72}, \frac{8}{281}$	$\frac{-5}{269}, \frac{-1}{99}$	$\frac{2}{383}, \frac{2}{567}$
$a^{(1)}_{39,i}$	$\frac{12}{49}, \frac{26}{33}$	$\frac{13}{46}, \frac{16}{33}$	$\frac{4}{295}, \frac{-6}{133}$	$\frac{-2}{501}, \frac{1}{275}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{7,i}$	$\frac{31}{7}, \frac{79}{28}$	$\frac{35}{23}, \frac{9}{38}$	$\frac{-12}{43}, \frac{-10}{117}$	$\frac{15}{226}, \frac{5}{166}$	$\frac{-5}{287}, \frac{-8}{769}$	$\frac{3}{622}, \frac{2}{565}$
$a^{(2)}_{39,i}$	$\frac{20}{79}, \frac{18}{23}$	$\frac{26}{93}, \frac{15}{31}$	$\frac{4}{287}, \frac{-8}{179}$	$\frac{-1}{246}, \frac{2}{567}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{7,i}$	$\frac{31}{7}, \frac{31}{11}$	$\frac{38}{25}, \frac{7}{29}$	$\frac{-27}{97}, \frac{-2}{23}$	$\frac{7}{106}, \frac{3}{98}$	$\frac{-8}{463}, \frac{-2}{189}$	$\frac{3}{629}, \frac{1}{278}$
$a^{(1)}_{40,i}$	$\frac{13}{55}, \frac{17}{22}$	$\frac{17}{62}, \frac{11}{23}$	$\frac{2}{147}, \frac{-36}{827}$	$\frac{-2}{519}, \frac{1}{288}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{8,i}$	$\frac{21}{5}, \frac{64}{23}$	$\frac{257}{171}, \frac{11}{42}$	$\frac{-13}{48}, \frac{-11}{119}$	$\frac{6}{95}, \frac{7}{220}$	$\frac{-2}{123}, \frac{-4}{373}$	$\frac{1}{225}, \frac{1}{280}$
$a^{(2)}_{40,i}$	$\frac{11}{45}, \frac{10}{13}$	$\frac{16}{59}, \frac{21}{44}$	$\frac{3}{215}, \frac{-5}{116}$	$\frac{-1}{255}, \frac{1}{297}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{8,i}$	$\frac{21}{5}, \frac{25}{9}$	$\frac{3}{2}, \frac{25}{94}$	$\frac{-10}{37}, \frac{-29}{309}$	$\frac{12}{191}, \frac{1}{31}$	$\frac{-1}{62}, \frac{-6}{551}$	$\frac{1}{228}, \frac{2}{551}$
$a^{(1)}_{41,i}$	$\frac{13}{57}, \frac{22}{29}$	$\frac{25}{94}, \frac{25}{53}$	$\frac{2}{147}, \frac{-5}{119}$	$\frac{-2}{537}, \frac{1}{301}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{9,i}$	$4, \frac{-46}{13}$	$\frac{49}{33}, \frac{57}{200}$	$\frac{-5}{19}, \frac{-6}{61}$	$\frac{8}{133}, \frac{5}{151}$	$\frac{-3}{197}, \frac{-2}{183}$	$\frac{3}{727}, \frac{2}{557}$
$a^{(2)}_{41,i}$	$\frac{17}{72}, \frac{40}{53}$	$\frac{5}{19}, \frac{8}{17}$	$\frac{3}{215}, \frac{-27}{649}$	$\frac{-1}{264}, \frac{2}{621}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{9,i}$	$4, \frac{-39}{11}$	$\frac{43}{29}, \frac{13}{45}$	$\frac{-16}{61}, \frac{-42}{421}$	$\frac{11}{184}, \frac{5}{149}$	$\frac{-4}{265}, \frac{-5}{451}$	$\frac{2}{491}, \frac{2}{549}$
$a^{(1)}_{42,i}$	$\frac{13}{59}, \frac{38}{51}$	$\frac{8}{31}, \frac{20}{43}$	$\frac{2}{147}, \frac{-11}{271}$	$\frac{-3}{832}, \frac{1}{314}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{10,i}$	$\frac{42}{11}, \frac{46}{17}$	$\frac{22}{15}, \frac{15}{49}$	$\frac{-12}{47}, \frac{-23}{222}$	$\frac{9}{157}, \frac{10}{293}$	$\frac{-5}{349}, \frac{-4}{361}$	$\frac{2}{519}, \frac{2}{555}$
$a^{(2)}_{42,i}$	$\frac{13}{57}, \frac{20}{27}$	$\frac{12}{47}, \frac{13}{28}$	$\frac{3}{215}, \frac{-8}{199}$	$\frac{-1}{273}, \frac{1}{324}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{10,i}$	$\frac{42}{11}, \frac{27}{10}$	$\frac{63}{43}, \frac{22}{71}$	$\frac{-14}{55}, \frac{-13}{124}$	$\frac{9}{158}, \frac{19}{550}$	$\frac{-2}{141}, \frac{-11}{980}$	$\frac{1}{263}, \frac{1}{274}$
$a^{(1)}_{43,i}$	$\frac{10}{47}, \frac{41}{56}$	$\frac{79}{315}, \frac{28}{61}$	$\frac{3}{221}, \frac{-2}{51}$	$\frac{-1}{286}, \frac{1}{327}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{11,i}$	$\frac{95}{26}, \frac{275}{103}$	$\frac{29}{20}, \frac{14}{43}$	$\frac{-28}{113}, \frac{-4}{37}$	$\frac{11}{201}, \frac{5}{143}$	$\frac{-1}{74}, \frac{-3}{268}$	$\frac{2}{553}, \frac{1}{276}$
$a^{(2)}_{43,i}$	$\frac{15}{68}, \frac{51}{70}$	$\frac{33}{133}, \frac{11}{24}$	$\frac{1}{72}, \frac{-4}{103}$	$\frac{-2}{563}, \frac{1}{338}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{11,i}$	$\frac{117}{32}, \frac{8}{3}$	$\frac{55}{38}, \frac{26}{79}$	$\frac{-20}{81}, \frac{-13}{119}$	$\frac{5}{92}, \frac{3}{85}$	$\frac{-4}{299}, \frac{-3}{265}$	$\frac{1}{281}, \frac{2}{545}$
$a^{(1)}_{44,i}$	$\frac{7}{34}, \frac{18}{25}$	$\frac{10}{41}, \frac{24}{53}$	$\frac{5}{369}, \frac{-3}{79}$	$\frac{-1}{295}, \frac{2}{681}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{12,i}$	$\frac{214}{61}, \frac{-62}{17}$	$\frac{93}{65}, \frac{11}{32}$	$\frac{-13}{54}, \frac{-15}{134}$	$\frac{9}{172}, \frac{10}{281}$	$\frac{-4}{313}, \frac{-2}{177}$	$\frac{2}{589}, \frac{1}{274}$
$a^{(2)}_{44,i}$	$\frac{19}{89}, \frac{58}{81}$	$\frac{27}{112}, \frac{14}{31}$	$\frac{4}{289}, \frac{-5}{133}$	$\frac{-1}{290}, \frac{1}{352}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{12,i}$	$\frac{179}{51}, \frac{-84}{23}$	$\frac{10}{7}, \frac{17}{49}$	$\frac{-6}{25}, \frac{-13}{115}$	$\frac{4}{77}, \frac{5}{139}$	$\frac{-11}{870}, \frac{-3}{263}$	$\frac{2}{599}, \frac{1}{271}$
$a^{(1)}_{45,i}$	$\frac{48}{241}, \frac{17}{24}$	$\frac{9}{38}, \frac{21}{47}$	$\frac{7}{519}, \frac{-4}{109}$	$\frac{-2}{607}, \frac{1}{354}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{13,i}$	$\frac{27}{8}, \frac{13}{5}$	$\frac{24}{17}, \frac{9}{25}$	$\frac{-11}{47}, \frac{-34}{295}$	$\frac{20}{399}, \frac{3}{83}$	$\frac{-2}{165}, \frac{-9}{791}$	$\frac{2}{627}, \frac{1}{272}$
$a^{(2)}_{45,i}$	$\frac{6}{29}, \frac{19}{27}$	$\frac{15}{64}, \frac{33}{74}$	$\frac{5}{363}, \frac{-2}{55}$	$\frac{-1}{299}, \frac{2}{733}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{13,i}$	$\frac{27}{8}, \frac{148}{57}$	$\frac{24}{17}, \frac{4}{11}$	$\frac{-17}{73}, \frac{-5}{43}$	$\frac{10}{201}, \frac{5}{137}$	$\frac{-2}{167}, \frac{-1}{87}$	$\frac{1}{319}, \frac{1}{269}$
$a^{(1)}_{46,i}$	$\frac{11}{57}, \frac{-67}{12}$	$\frac{50}{217}, \frac{15}{34}$	$\frac{2}{149}, \frac{-8}{225}$	$\frac{-1}{312}, \frac{1}{368}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{14,i}$	$\frac{13}{4}, \frac{18}{7}$	$\frac{53}{38}, \frac{65}{173}$	$\frac{-5}{22}, \frac{-11}{93}$	$\frac{5}{104}, \frac{3}{82}$	$\frac{-1}{87}, \frac{-3}{262}$	$\frac{2}{669}, \frac{1}{269}$
$a^{(2)}_{46,i}$	$\frac{133}{664}, \frac{-123}{22}$	$\frac{13}{57}, \frac{11}{25}$	$\frac{1}{73}, \frac{-5}{142}$	$\frac{-2}{615}, \frac{1}{381}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{14,i}$	$\frac{13}{4}, \frac{41}{16}$	$\frac{39}{28}, \frac{11}{29}$	$\frac{-12}{53}, \frac{-13}{109}$	$\frac{30}{629}, \frac{12}{325}$	$\frac{-7}{617}, \frac{-2}{173}$	$\frac{1}{341}, \frac{1}{267}$
$a^{(1)}_{47,i}$	$\frac{14}{75}, \frac{13}{19}$	$\frac{13}{58}, \frac{27}{62}$	$\frac{1}{75}, \frac{-1}{29}$	$\frac{-2}{641}, \frac{1}{382}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{15,i}$	$\frac{69}{22}, \frac{-191}{51}$	$\frac{62}{45}, \frac{16}{41}$	$\frac{-19}{86}, \frac{-11}{91}$	$\frac{10}{217}, \frac{1}{27}$	$\frac{-3}{275}, \frac{-3}{260}$	$\frac{1}{358}, \frac{1}{267}$
$a^{(2)}_{47,i}$	$\frac{13}{67}, \frac{32}{47}$	$\frac{71}{320}, \frac{10}{23}$	$\frac{2}{147}, \frac{-3}{88}$	$\frac{-1}{316}, \frac{1}{396}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{15,i}$	$\frac{91}{29}, \frac{-15}{4}$	$\frac{11}{8}, \frac{11}{28}$	$\frac{-11}{50}, \frac{-19}{156}$	$\frac{7}{153}, \frac{5}{134}$	$\frac{-1}{93}, \frac{-11}{945}$	$\frac{1}{366}, \frac{2}{529}$
$a^{(1)}_{48,i}$	$\frac{19}{105}, \frac{31}{46}$	$\frac{12}{55}, \frac{49}{114}$	$\frac{2}{151}, \frac{-14}{419}$	$\frac{-1}{329}, \frac{1}{396}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{16,i}$	$\frac{97}{32}, \frac{168}{67}$	$\frac{34}{25}, \frac{23}{57}$	$\frac{-20}{93}, \frac{-8}{65}$	$\frac{5}{113}, \frac{4}{107}$	$\frac{-4}{387}, \frac{-1}{86}$	$\frac{1}{385}, \frac{2}{529}$
$a^{(2)}_{48,i}$	$\frac{13}{69}, \frac{65}{97}$	$\frac{8}{37}, \frac{3}{7}$	$\frac{1}{74}, \frac{-4}{121}$	$\frac{-1}{324}, \frac{1}{411}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{16,i}$	$\frac{91}{30}, \frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{53}{39}, \frac{13}{32}$	$\frac{-3}{14}, \frac{-17}{137}$	$\frac{5}{114}, \frac{7}{186}$	$\frac{-5}{491}, \frac{-3}{256}$	$\frac{1}{394}, \frac{2}{525}$
$a^{(1)}_{49,i}$	$\frac{10}{57}, \frac{57}{86}$	$\frac{10}{47}, \frac{14}{33}$	$\frac{1}{76}, \frac{-6}{185}$	$\frac{-1}{337}, \frac{2}{821}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{17,i}$	$\frac{44}{15}, \frac{52}{21}$	$\frac{39}{29}, \frac{47}{113}$	$\frac{-9}{43}, \frac{-61}{487}$	$\frac{15}{353}, \frac{9}{239}$	$\frac{-4}{409}, \frac{-2}{171}$	$\frac{1}{417}, \frac{2}{525}$
$a^{(2)}_{49,i}$	$\frac{19}{104}, \frac{29}{44}$	$\frac{4}{19}, \frac{11}{26}$	$\frac{5}{373}, \frac{-5}{156}$	$\frac{-2}{665}, \frac{1}{426}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{17,i}$	$\frac{44}{15}, \frac{42}{17}$	$\frac{51}{38}, \frac{18}{43}$	$\frac{-5}{24}, \frac{-13}{103}$	$\frac{4}{95}, \frac{3}{79}$	$\frac{-4}{415}, \frac{-11}{934}$	$\frac{1}{427}, \frac{1}{261}$
$a^{(1)}_{50,i}$	$\frac{8}{47}, \frac{15}{23}$	$\frac{6}{29}, \frac{13}{31}$	$\frac{2}{153}, \frac{-5}{159}$	$\frac{-1}{345}, \frac{1}{425}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(1)}_{18,i}$	$\frac{54}{19}, \frac{-23}{6}$	$\frac{73}{55}, \frac{65}{152}$	$\frac{-11}{54}, \frac{-15}{118}$	$\frac{2}{49}, \frac{3}{79}$	$\frac{-5}{541}, \frac{-1}{85}$	$\frac{1}{454}, \frac{1}{261}$
$a^{(2)}_{50,i}$	$\frac{11}{62}, \frac{37}{57}$	$\frac{8}{39}, \frac{23}{55}$	$\frac{5}{376}, \frac{-8}{257}$	$\frac{-1}{341}, \frac{1}{442}$	$0, 0$	$0, 0$	$b^{(2)}_{18,i}$	$\frac{54}{19}, \frac{-96}{25}$	$\frac{53}{40}, \frac{31}{72}$	$\frac{-14}{69}, \frac{-11}{86}$	$\frac{7}{173}, \frac{5}{131}$	$\frac{-1}{110}, \frac{-2}{169}$	$\frac{1}{466}, \frac{3}{779}$

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	i	0	1	2	3	4	5
$b^{(1)}_{19,i}$	$\frac{146}{53}, \frac{46}{19}$	$\frac{38}{29}, \frac{25}{57}$	$\frac{-24}{121}, \frac{-17}{132}$	$\frac{2}{51}, \frac{5}{131}$	$\frac{-3}{344}, \frac{-5}{423}$	$\frac{1}{498}, \frac{1}{260}$	$b^{(1)}_{35,i}$	$\frac{63}{34}, \frac{35}{17}$	$\frac{37}{34}, \frac{17}{31}$	$\frac{-11}{84}, \frac{-9}{64}$	$\frac{4}{219}, \frac{7}{183}$	$\frac{-1}{624}, \frac{-6}{557}$	$0, \frac{2}{673}$
$b^{(2)}_{19,i}$	$\frac{102}{37}, \frac{29}{12}$	$\frac{72}{55}, \frac{15}{34}$	$\frac{-15}{76}, \frac{-7}{54}$	$\frac{4}{103}, \frac{1}{26}$	$\frac{-3}{350}, \frac{-7}{589}$	$\frac{1}{513}, \frac{1}{259}$	$b^{(2)}_{35,i}$	$\frac{13}{7}, \frac{37}{18}$	$\frac{25}{23}, \frac{11}{20}$	$\frac{-13}{100}, \frac{-10}{71}$	$\frac{3}{167}, \frac{8}{209}$	$\frac{-1}{667}, \frac{-1}{93}$	$0, \frac{1}{339}$
$b^{(1)}_{20,i}$	$\frac{123}{46}, \frac{67}{28}$	$\frac{22}{17}, \frac{22}{49}$	$\frac{-17}{88}, \frac{-3}{23}$	$\frac{7}{186}, \frac{33}{859}$	$\frac{-1}{122}, \frac{-3}{253}$	$\frac{1}{552}, \frac{2}{519}$	$b^{(1)}_{36,i}$	$\frac{49}{27}, \frac{51}{25}$	$\frac{14}{13}, \frac{21}{38}$	$\frac{-13}{102}, \frac{-9}{64}$	$\frac{8}{465}, \frac{7}{184}$	$\frac{-1}{789}, \frac{-2}{189}$	$0, \frac{1}{348}$
$b^{(2)}_{20,i}$	$\frac{91}{34}, \frac{43}{18}$	$\frac{22}{17}, \frac{14}{31}$	$\frac{-5}{26}, \frac{-8}{61}$	$\frac{6}{161}, \frac{8}{207}$	$\frac{-5}{621}, \frac{-6}{503}$	$\frac{1}{570}, \frac{1}{259}$	$b^{(2)}_{36,i}$	$\frac{20}{11}, \frac{57}{28}$	$\frac{29}{27}, \frac{46}{83}$	$\frac{-10}{79}, \frac{-11}{78}$	$\frac{6}{355}, \frac{7}{184}$	$\frac{-1}{856}, \frac{-3}{284}$	$0, \frac{2}{701}$
$b^{(1)}_{21,i}$	$\frac{13}{5}, \frac{-47}{12}$	$\frac{32}{25}, \frac{11}{24}$	$\frac{-13}{69}, \frac{-5}{38}$	$\frac{3}{83}, \frac{10}{259}$	$\frac{-1}{130}, \frac{-1}{84}$	$\frac{1}{618}, \frac{1}{260}$	$b^{(1)}_{37,i}$	$\frac{16}{9}, \frac{97}{48}$	$\frac{33}{31}, \frac{59}{106}$	$\frac{-16}{129}, \frac{-9}{64}$	$\frac{5}{309}, \frac{17}{450}$	$0, \frac{-1}{96}$	$0, \frac{2}{721}$
$b^{(2)}_{21,i}$	$\frac{13}{5}, \frac{-47}{12}$	$\frac{23}{18}, \frac{6}{13}$	$\frac{-3}{16}, \frac{-9}{68}$	$\frac{30}{839}, \frac{4}{103}$	$\frac{-2}{265}, \frac{-3}{251}$	$\frac{1}{640}, \frac{2}{519}$	$b^{(2)}_{37,i}$	$\frac{41}{23}, \frac{119}{59}$	$\frac{17}{16}, \frac{24}{43}$	$\frac{-9}{73}, \frac{-11}{78}$	$\frac{1}{63}, \frac{17}{450}$	$0, \frac{-3}{289}$	$0, \frac{1}{363}$
$b^{(1)}_{22,i}$	$\frac{48}{19}, \frac{89}{38}$	$\frac{24}{19}, \frac{29}{62}$	$\frac{-11}{60}, \frac{-23}{173}$	$\frac{7}{202}, \frac{5}{129}$	$\frac{-5}{696}, \frac{-8}{671}$	$\frac{1}{700}, \frac{1}{261}$	$b^{(1)}_{38,i}$	$\frac{68}{39}, \frac{793}{396}$	$\frac{20}{19}, \frac{14}{25}$	$\frac{-7}{58}, \frac{-9}{64}$	$\frac{13}{857}, \frac{3}{80}$	$0, \frac{-10}{979}$	$0, \frac{1}{374}$
$b^{(2)}_{22,i}$	$\frac{43}{17}, \frac{201}{86}$	$\frac{24}{19}, \frac{8}{17}$	$\frac{-23}{126}, \frac{-27}{202}$	$\frac{6}{175}, \frac{3}{77}$	$\frac{-1}{142}, \frac{-5}{418}$	$\frac{1}{728}, \frac{2}{521}$	$b^{(2)}_{38,i}$	$\frac{117}{67}, 2$	$\frac{41}{39}, \frac{50}{89}$	$\frac{-3}{25}, \frac{-10}{71}$	$\frac{4}{269}, \frac{3}{80}$	$0, \frac{-4}{393}$	$0, \frac{1}{377}$
$b^{(1)}_{23,i}$	$\frac{59}{24}, \frac{44}{19}$	$\frac{5}{4}, \frac{10}{21}$	$\frac{-5}{28}, \frac{-11}{82}$	$\frac{8}{241}, \frac{7}{180}$	$\frac{-2}{299}, \frac{-6}{503}$	$\frac{1}{805}, \frac{2}{525}$	$b^{(1)}_{39,i}$	$\frac{53}{31}, \frac{-43}{10}$	$\frac{25}{24}, \frac{22}{39}$	$\frac{-53}{451}, \frac{-9}{64}$	$\frac{2}{141}, \frac{8}{215}$	$0, \frac{-6}{599}$	$0, \frac{2}{777}$
$b^{(2)}_{23,i}$	$\frac{32}{13}, \frac{37}{16}$	$\frac{106}{85}, \frac{11}{23}$	$\frac{-8}{45}, \frac{-19}{141}$	$\frac{7}{213}, \frac{5}{128}$	$\frac{-3}{458}, \frac{-3}{251}$	$\frac{1}{841}, \frac{1}{262}$	$b^{(2)}_{39,i}$	$\frac{137}{80}, \frac{-43}{10}$	$\frac{26}{25}, \frac{13}{23}$	$\frac{-7}{60}, \frac{-10}{71}$	$\frac{8}{575}, \frac{8}{215}$	$0, \frac{-5}{501}$	$0, \frac{1}{392}$
$b^{(1)}_{24,i}$	$\frac{67}{28}, \frac{39}{17}$	$\frac{21}{17}, \frac{15}{31}$	$\frac{-27}{155}, \frac{-5}{37}$	$\frac{7}{220}, \frac{8}{205}$	$\frac{-4}{645}, \frac{-1}{84}$	$\frac{1}{942}, \frac{2}{529}$	$b^{(1)}_{40,i}$	$\frac{47}{28}, \frac{61}{31}$	$\frac{33}{32}, \frac{17}{30}$	$\frac{-4}{35}, \frac{-9}{64}$	$\frac{2}{151}, \frac{9}{244}$	$0, -\frac{1}{102}$	$0, \frac{1}{404}$
$b^{(2)}_{24,i}$	$\frac{103}{43}, \frac{16}{7}$	$\frac{53}{43}, \frac{18}{37}$	$\frac{-13}{75}, \frac{-11}{81}$	$\frac{5}{159}, \frac{17}{434}$	$\frac{-1}{165}, \frac{-3}{251}$	$\frac{1}{992}, \frac{2}{529}$	$b^{(2)}_{40,i}$	$\frac{42}{25}, \frac{55}{28}$	$\frac{35}{34}, \frac{25}{44}$	$\frac{-5}{44}, \frac{-9}{64}$	$\frac{10}{771}, \frac{8}{217}$	$0, \frac{-3}{307}$	$0, \frac{1}{408}$
$b^{(1)}_{25,i}$	$\frac{7}{3}, \frac{-261}{65}$	$\frac{61}{50}, \frac{30}{61}$	$\frac{-9}{53}, \frac{-17}{125}$	$\frac{7}{230}, \frac{5}{128}$	$\frac{-3}{524}, \frac{-6}{505}$	$0, \frac{2}{535}$	$b^{(1)}_{41,i}$	$\frac{28}{17}, \frac{39}{20}$	$\frac{51}{50}, \frac{61}{107}$	$\frac{-83}{746}, \frac{-8}{57}$	$\frac{8}{649}, \frac{3}{82}$	$0, \frac{-7}{729}$	$0, \frac{1}{421}$
$b^{(2)}_{25,i}$	$\frac{7}{3}, \frac{-205}{51}$	$\frac{28}{23}, \frac{42}{85}$	$\frac{-12}{71}, \frac{-22}{161}$	$\frac{4}{133}, \frac{2}{51}$	$\frac{-1}{179}, \frac{-1}{84}$	$0, \frac{3}{803}$	$b^{(2)}_{41,i}$	$\frac{28}{17}, \frac{37}{19}$	$\frac{57}{56}, \frac{4}{7}$	$\frac{-19}{172}, \frac{-9}{64}$	$\frac{12}{995}, \frac{8}{219}$	$0, \frac{-2}{209}$	$0, \frac{1}{425}$
$b^{(1)}_{26,i}$	$\frac{25}{11}, \frac{101}{45}$	$\frac{41}{34}, \frac{258}{517}$	$\frac{-22}{133}, \frac{-13}{95}$	$\frac{8}{275}, \frac{9}{230}$	$\frac{-4}{761}, \frac{-2}{169}$	$0, \frac{1}{271}$	$b^{(1)}_{42,i}$	$\frac{21}{13}, \frac{29}{15}$	$\frac{111}{110}, \frac{47}{82}$	$\frac{-21}{194}, \frac{-7}{50}$	$\frac{2}{175}, \frac{5}{138}$	$0, \frac{-2}{213}$	$0, \frac{2}{879}$
$b^{(2)}_{26,i}$	$\frac{41}{18}, \frac{56}{25}$	$\frac{59}{49}, \frac{194}{387}$	$\frac{-12}{73}, \frac{-18}{131}$	$\frac{5}{174}, \frac{15}{382}$	$\frac{-3}{586}, \frac{-3}{253}$	$0, \frac{2}{543}$	$b^{(2)}_{42,i}$	$\frac{34}{21}, \frac{56}{29}$	$\frac{144}{143}, \frac{27}{47}$	$\frac{-10}{93}, \frac{-8}{57}$	$\frac{2}{179}, \frac{3}{83}$	$0, \frac{-1}{107}$	$\frac{-1}{998}, \frac{1}{444}$
$b^{(1)}_{27,i}$	$\frac{51}{23}, \frac{20}{9}$	$\frac{31}{26}, \frac{43}{85}$	$\frac{-5}{31}, \frac{-11}{80}$	$\frac{1}{36}, \frac{11}{281}$	$\frac{-2}{417}, \frac{-11}{934}$	$0, \frac{3}{826}$	$b^{(1)}_{43,i}$	$\frac{46}{29}, \frac{23}{12}$	$\frac{608}{609}, \frac{19}{33}$	$\frac{-2}{19}, \frac{-13}{93}$	$\frac{2}{189}, \frac{9}{251}$	$0, \frac{-1}{109}$	$\frac{-1}{973}, \frac{1}{459}$
$b^{(2)}_{27,i}$	$\frac{20}{9}, \frac{51}{23}$	$\frac{25}{21}, \frac{31}{61}$	$\frac{-17}{106}, \frac{-25}{181}$	$\frac{11}{401}, \frac{13}{331}$	$\frac{-3}{643}, \frac{-6}{509}$	$0, \frac{1}{276}$	$b^{(2)}_{43,i}$	$\frac{27}{17}, \frac{23}{12}$	$\frac{269}{270}, \frac{15}{26}$	$\frac{-7}{67}, \frac{-7}{50}$	$\frac{7}{678}, \frac{13}{363}$	$0, \frac{-2}{219}$	$\frac{-1}{957}, \frac{1}{464}$
$b^{(1)}_{28,i}$	$\frac{13}{6}, \frac{11}{5}$	$\frac{33}{28}, \frac{21}{41}$	$\frac{-11}{70}, \frac{-17}{123}$	$\frac{4}{151}, \frac{9}{230}$	$\frac{-1}{230}, \frac{-2}{171}$	$0, \frac{1}{280}$	$b^{(1)}_{44,i}$	$\frac{81}{52}, \frac{-57}{13}$	$\frac{81}{82}, \frac{11}{19}$	$\frac{-9}{88}, \frac{-6}{43}$	$\frac{3}{308}, \frac{5}{141}$	$0, \frac{-3}{335}$	$\frac{-1}{937}, \frac{1}{481}$
$b^{(2)}_{28,i}$	$\frac{13}{6}, \frac{90}{41}$	$\frac{20}{17}, \frac{18}{35}$	$\frac{-5}{32}, \frac{-19}{137}$	$\frac{4}{153}, \frac{2}{51}$	$\frac{-1}{237}, \frac{-2}{171}$	$0, \frac{1}{281}$	$b^{(2)}_{44,i}$	$\frac{39}{25}, \frac{-57}{13}$	$\frac{69}{70}, \frac{29}{50}$	$\frac{-6}{59}, \frac{-6}{43}$	$\frac{3}{316}, \frac{4}{113}$	$0, \frac{-6}{673}$	$\frac{-1}{924}, \frac{1}{485}$
$b^{(1)}_{29,i}$	$\frac{55}{26}, \frac{-78}{19}$	$\frac{99}{85}, \frac{14}{27}$	$\frac{-15}{98}, \frac{-5}{36}$	$\frac{3}{119}, \frac{5}{128}$	$\frac{-1}{255}, \frac{-6}{517}$	$0, \frac{1}{286}$	$b^{(1)}_{45,i}$	$\frac{26}{17}, \frac{83}{44}$	$\frac{43}{44}, \frac{18}{31}$	$\frac{-20}{201}, \frac{-11}{79}$	$\frac{7}{783}, \frac{2}{57}$	$\frac{1}{933}, \frac{-2}{229}$	$\frac{-1}{909}, \frac{1}{503}$
$b^{(2)}_{29,i}$	$\frac{36}{17}, \frac{-37}{9}$	$\frac{43}{37}, \frac{13}{25}$	$\frac{-7}{46}, \frac{-11}{79}$	$\frac{5}{201}, \frac{2}{51}$	$\frac{-3}{791}, \frac{-5}{431}$	$0, \frac{1}{287}$	$b^{(2)}_{45,i}$	$\frac{23}{15}, \frac{32}{17}$	$\frac{39}{40}, \frac{39}{67}$	$\frac{-8}{81}, \frac{-11}{79}$	$\frac{1}{115}, \frac{9}{257}$	$\frac{1}{880}, \frac{-1}{115}$	$\frac{-1}{898}, \frac{1}{509}$
$b^{(1)}_{30,i}$	$\frac{31}{15}, \frac{41}{19}$	$\frac{38}{33}, \frac{11}{21}$	$\frac{-10}{67}, \frac{-11}{79}$	$\frac{3}{125}, \frac{5}{128}$	$\frac{-1}{286}, \frac{-1}{87}$	$0, \frac{2}{585}$	$b^{(1)}_{46,i}$	$\frac{245}{163}, \frac{58}{31}$	$\frac{29}{30}, \frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{31}, \frac{-5}{36}$	$\frac{2}{245}, \frac{6}{173}$	$\frac{1}{793}, \frac{-3}{352}$	$\frac{-1}{887}, \frac{1}{528}$
$b^{(2)}_{30,i}$	$\frac{60}{29}, \frac{28}{13}$	$\frac{23}{20}, \frac{10}{19}$	$\frac{-31}{209}, \frac{-19}{136}$	$\frac{4}{169}, \frac{7}{179}$	$\frac{-2}{593}, \frac{-1}{87}$	$0, \frac{2}{587}$	$b^{(2)}_{46,i}$	$\frac{140}{93}, \frac{28}{15}$	$\frac{27}{28}, \frac{45}{77}$	$\frac{-12}{125}, \frac{-5}{36}$	$\frac{1}{126}, \frac{8}{231}$	$\frac{1}{756}, \frac{-1}{118}$	$\frac{-1}{877}, \frac{1}{534}$
$b^{(1)}_{31,i}$	$\frac{97}{48}, \frac{47}{22}$	$\frac{33}{29}, \frac{9}{17}$	$\frac{-8}{55}, \frac{-6}{43}$	$\frac{6}{263}, \frac{3}{77}$	$\frac{-1}{324}, \frac{-9}{791}$	$0, \frac{2}{599}$	$b^{(1)}_{47,i}$	$\frac{31}{21}, \frac{13}{7}$	$\frac{22}{23}, \frac{24}{41}$	$\frac{-11}{117}, \frac{-9}{65}$	$\frac{1}{135}, \frac{5}{146}$	$\frac{1}{695}, \frac{-2}{241}$	$\frac{-1}{869}, \frac{1}{555}$
$b^{(2)}_{31,i}$	$\frac{89}{44}, \frac{32}{15}$	$\frac{25}{22}, \frac{17}{32}$	$\frac{-12}{83}, \frac{-7}{50}$	$\frac{2}{89}, \frac{3}{77}$	$\frac{-1}{337}, \frac{-1}{88}$	$0, \frac{1}{301}$	$b^{(2)}_{47,i}$	$\frac{34}{23}, \frac{63}{34}$	$\frac{21}{22}, \frac{17}{29}$	$\frac{-7}{75}, \frac{-9}{65}$	$\frac{1}{139}, \frac{4}{117}$	$\frac{1}{668}, \frac{-1}{121}$	$\frac{-1}{861}, \frac{1}{561}$
$b^{(1)}_{32,i}$	$\frac{83}{42}, \frac{36}{17}$	$\frac{9}{8}, \frac{23}{43}$	$\frac{-16}{113}, \frac{-7}{50}$	$\frac{4}{185}, \frac{4}{103}$	$\frac{-1}{371}, \frac{-1}{89}$	$0, \frac{2}{615}$	$b^{(1)}_{48,i}$	$\frac{45}{31}, \frac{35}{19}$	$\frac{18}{19}, \frac{37}{63}$	$\frac{-19}{208}, \frac{-4}{29}$	$\frac{2}{299}, \frac{9}{266}$	$\frac{1}{624}, \frac{-3}{371}$	$\frac{-1}{855}, \frac{1}{583}$
$b^{(2)}_{32,i}$	$\frac{91}{46}, \frac{19}{9}$	$\frac{100}{89}, \frac{22}{41}$	$\frac{-9}{64}, \frac{-8}{57}$	$\frac{19}{892}, \frac{4}{103}$	$\frac{-1}{388}, \frac{-1}{89}$	$0, \frac{1}{309}$	$b^{(2)}_{48,i}$	$\frac{16}{11}, \frac{57}{31}$	$\frac{17}{18}, \frac{10}{17}$	$\frac{-29}{320}, \frac{-4}{29}$	$\frac{2}{309}, \frac{5}{148}$	$\frac{1}{603}, \frac{-2}{249}$	$\frac{-1}{848}, \frac{1}{590}$
$b^{(1)}_{33,i}$	$\frac{29}{15}, \frac{44}{21}$	$\frac{59}{53}, \frac{34}{63}$	$\frac{-4}{29}, \frac{-8}{57}$	$\frac{5}{244}, \frac{8}{207}$	$\frac{-1}{432}, \frac{-7}{631}$	$0, \frac{2}{633}$	$b^{(1)}_{49,i}$	$\frac{10}{7}, \frac{42}{23}$	$\frac{15}{16}, \frac{33}{56}$	$\frac{-11}{124}, \frac{-7}{51}$	$\frac{4}{667}, \frac{13}{389}$	$\frac{1}{570}, \frac{-5}{636}$	$\frac{-1}{845}, \frac{1}{614}$
$b^{(2)}_{33,i}$	$\frac{31}{16}, \frac{23}{11}$	$\frac{10}{9}, \frac{13}{24}$	$\frac{-10}{73}, \frac{-9}{64}$	$\frac{5}{248}, \frac{6}{155}$	$\frac{-1}{454}, \frac{-3}{271}$	$0, \frac{1}{318}$	$b^{(2)}_{49,i}$	$\frac{10}{7}, \frac{31}{17}$	$\frac{29}{31}, \frac{13}{22}$	$\frac{-11}{125}, \frac{-11}{80}$	$\frac{1}{173}, \frac{1}{30}$	$\frac{1}{554}, \frac{-1}{128}$	$\frac{-1}{839}, \frac{1}{621}$
$b^{(1)}_{34,i}$	$\frac{53}{28}, \frac{-122}{29}$	$\frac{11}{10}, \frac{37}{68}$	$\frac{-9}{67}, \frac{-8}{57}$	$\frac{3}{155}, \frac{1}{26}$	$\frac{-1}{513}, \frac{-2}{183}$	$0, \frac{1}{326}$	$b^{(1)}_{50,i}$	$\frac{73}{52}, \frac{-76}{17}$	$\frac{13}{14}, \frac{13}{22}$	$\frac{-5}{58}, \frac{-13}{95}$	$\frac{3}{563}, \frac{3}{91}$	$\frac{1}{528}, \frac{-4}{523}$	$\frac{-1}{838}, \frac{1}{647}$
$b^{(2)}_{34,i}$	$\frac{36}{19}, \frac{-59}{14}$	$\frac{78}{71}, \frac{6}{11}$	$\frac{-49}{367}, \frac{-10}{71}$	$\frac{2}{105}, \frac{1}{26}$	$\frac{-1}{542}, \frac{-3}{275}$	$0, \frac{1}{328}$	$b^{(2)}_{50,i}$	$\frac{45}{32}, \frac{-85}{19}$	$\frac{25}{27}, \frac{16}{27}$	$\frac{-10}{117}, \frac{-10}{73}$	$\frac{4}{781}, \frac{5}{152}$	$\frac{1}{514}, \frac{-2}{263}$	$\frac{-1}{832}, \frac{1}{655}$

6. Acknowledgments

The work of O.C. was partly supported by the NSF grant DMS 1108794.

References

[1] Piotr Bizoń. An unusual eigenvalue problem. *Acta Phys. Polon. B*, 36(1):5-15, 2005.

[2] Ovidiu Costin, Min Huang and Wilhelm Schlag. On the spectral properties of $L_\pm$ in three dimensions. Nonlinearity 25, pp. 125–164, 2012.

[3] Saber Elaydi *An Introduction to Difference Equations.* Springer, New York, 2005.

[4] Lisa Lorentzen and Haakon Wadeland. *Continued fractions with applications*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1992.
[5] Roland Donninger. On stable self-similar blowup for equivariant wave maps. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 64(8):1095–1147, 2011.
[6] Roland Donninger, Birgit Schörkhuber, and Peter Aichelburg. On stable self-similar blow up for equivariant wave maps: The linearized problem. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 13:103–144, 2012.
[7] Piotr Bizoń, Tadeusz Chmaj, and Zbisław Tabor. Dispersion and collapse of wave maps. *Nonlinearity*, 13(4):1411–1423, 2000.
[8] Thierry Cazenave, Jalal Shatah, and A. Shadi Tahvildar-Zadeh. Harmonic maps of the hyperbolic space and development of singularities in wave maps and Yang-Mills fields. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.*, 68(3):315–349, 1998.
[9] Roland Donninger and Peter C. Aichelburg. On the mode stability of a self-similar wave map. *J. Math. Phys.*, 49(4):043515, 9, 2008.
[10] Roland Donninger and Peter C. Aichelburg. Spectral properties and linear stability of self-similar wave maps. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.*, 6(2):359–370, 2009.
[11] Roland Donninger and Peter C. Aichelburg. A note on the eigenvalues for equivariant maps of the SU(2) sigma-model. *Appl. Math. Comp. Sciences*, 1(1):73–82, 2010.
[12] M. Gell-Mann and M. Lévy. The axial vector current in beta decay. *Nuovo Cimento (10)*, 16:705–726, 1960.
[13] J. Krieger. Global regularity and singularity development for wave maps. In *Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XII. Geometric flows*, volume 12 of *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, pages 167–201. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2008.
[14] Charles W. Misner. Harmonic maps as models for physical theories. *Phys. Rev. D (3)*, 18(12):4510–4524, 1978.
[15] Jalal Shatah. Weak solutions and development of singularities of the SU(2) σ-model. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 41(4):459–469, 1988.
[16] Neil Turok and David Spergel. Global texture and the microwave background. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 64(23):2736–2739, Jun 1990.

Mathematics Department, The Ohio State University, Columbus OH 43210, USA
E-mail address: costin.9@osu.edu

Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Mathematisches Institut, Endenicher Allee 60, D-53115 Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: donninge@math.uni-bonn.de

Mathematics Department, The Ohio State University, Columbus OH 43210, USA
E-mail address: xia.48@osu.edu